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## The Many Faces of Alex Waibel


"Official" Alex

"Worried" Alex

"Happiest" Alex
"Happier" Alex

## Werner Heisenberg v Alex Waibel

$\square$ Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle:
$\square$ It is impossible to measure location and momentum of an object precisely and simultaneously
$\square$ But... it can seem like we can measure them precisely if above the quantum level
$\square$ Waibel Uncertainty Principle:
$\square$ It is impossible to measure location and activity of Alex precisely and simultaneously
$\square$ But... it can seem like Alex is in multiple places doing multiple things at once

## Bridging the Linguistic Divide

$\square$ Until recently: Focus was Digital Divide
■ The "digirati": internet connected, laptop,...
■ Smarphones $\rightarrow$ democratization in access
$\square$ Currently: The Linguistic Divide Rules
■ 6,900 languages: Google addresses 1\%

- Almost all information is in the $1 \%$

■ How to democratize linguistic access?

## Multilingual Activities at CMU

$\square$ 1975: Speech research started at CMU (Harpy, Hearsay)
$\square$ 1986: Started Center for Machine Translation $\rightarrow$ LTI in 1996
$\square$ 1989: Knowledge-Based MT (domain specific, high accuracy)
$\square$ 1990: Multilingual speech (Sphinx, Janus)
$\square$ 1991: Example-Based MT
$\square$ 1992: Speech-to-speech MT (cStar)
$\square$ 1995: Statistical MT (Janus)
$\square$ 2000: MT for Low-Resource Languages
$\square$ 2006: Context-Based MT
$\square$ 2012: Linguistic-Core MT (for low resource languages)
$\square 45$ Languages: English, Spanish, French, Japanese, German, Arabic, Korean, Chinese, Urdu/Hindi, Russian, Mapudungun,

## Low Resource Languages

$\square$ 6,900 languages in 2012 - Ethnologue www.ethnologue.com/ethno_docs/distribution.asp?by=area
$\square$ Only 77 (1.2\%) have over 10M speakers
■ $1^{\text {st }}$ Chinese, $5^{\text {th }}$ Arabic, $7^{\text {th }}$ Bengali, $10^{\text {th }}$ Javanese
$\square$ 3,000 have over 10,000 speakers each
$\square$ 3,000 may not survive past 2100
$\square 5 \mathrm{X}$ to 10X number of dialects (35 for Arabic)
$\square$ \# of L's in some interesting countries:
■ Afghanistan: 52, Pakistan: 77, India 400
■ North Korea: 1, Indonesia 700

## Some Linguistics Maps



## Some (very) LD Languages in the US

Anishinaabe (Ojibwe, Potawatame, Odawa) Great Lakes


## Challenges for General MT

$\square$ Ambiguity Resolution

- Lexical, phrasal, structural
$\square$ Structural divergence
- Reordering, vanishing/appearing words, ...
$\square$ Inflectional morphology
- Spanish 40+ verb conjugations, Arabic has more.
- Mapudungun, Anupiac, ... $\rightarrow$ agglomerative
$\square$ Training Data
- Bilingual corrpora, aligned corpora, annotated corpora, bilingual dictionaries
$\square$ Human informants
- Trained linguists, lexicographers, translators
- Untrained bilingual speakers (e.g. crowd sourcing)
$\square$ Evaluation
■ Automated (BLEU, METEOR, TER) vs HTER vs ...


# Context Needed to Resolve Ambiguity 

Example：English $\rightarrow$ Japanese
Power line－densen（電線）
Subway line－chikatetsu（地下鉄）
（Be）on line－onrain（オンライン）
（Be）on the line－denwachuu（電話中）
Line up－narabu（並ぶ）
Line one＇s pockets－kanemochi ni naru（金持ちになる）
Line one＇s jacket－uwagi o nijuu ni suru（上着を二重にする）
Actor＇s line－serifu（セリフ）
Get a line on－joho o eru（情報を得る）

Sometimes local context suffices（as above）$\rightarrow$ n－grams help
．．．but sometimes not

## CONTEXT: More is Better

$\square$ Examples requiring longer-range context:
■ "The line for the new play extended for 3 blocks."
■ "The line for the new play was changed by the scriptw riter."
■ "The line for the new play got tangled with the other props."

■ "The line for the new play better protected the quarterback."
$\square$ Challenges:
■ Short n-grams (3-4 words) insufficient

- Requires more general syntax \& semantics


## Additional Challenges for LD MT

$\square$ Morpho-syntactics is plentiful
■ Beyond inflection: verb-incorporation, agglomeration, ...
$\square$ Data is scarce
■ Insignificant bilingual or annotated data
$\square$ Fluent computational linguists are scarce
■ Field linguists know LD languages best
$\square$ Standardization is scarce
■ Orthographic, dialectal, rapid evolution, ...

## Morpho-Syntactics \& Multi-Morphemics

미nupiaq (North Slope Alaska, Lori Levin) ■Tauqsigñ̃iaġvinmunnianitchugut. ■'We won't go to the store.'


-Pittsburghimukarthussaqarnavianngilaq
■Pittsburgh+PROP+Trim+SG+kar+tuq+ssaq+qar+n aviar+nngit+v+IND+3SG
■"It is not likely that anyone is going to Pittsburgh"

## Morphotactics in Iñupiaq



## Type-Token Curve for Mapudungun



- 400,000+ speakers
- Mostly bilingual
- Mostly in Chile
- Pewenche
- Lafkenche
- Nguluche
- Huilliche


## Paradigms for Machine Translation Interlingua



## Evolutionary Tree of MT Paradigms



## Stat-Transfer (STMT): List of Ingredients

$\square$ Framework: Statistical search-based approach with syntactic translation transfer rules that can be acquired from data but also developed and extended by experts
$\square$ SMT-Phrasal Base: Automatic Word and Phrase translation lexicon acquisition from parallel data
$\square$ Transfer-rule Learning: apply ML-based methods to automatically acquire syntactic transfer rules for translation between the two languages
$\square$ Elicitation: use bilingual native informants to produce a small high-quality word-aligned bilingual corpus of translated phrases and sentences
$\square$ Rule Refinement refine the acquired rules via a process of interaction with bilingual informants
$\square$ XFER + Decoder:

- XFER engine produces a lattice of possible transferred structures at all levels
- Decoder searches and selects the best scoring combination


## Stat-Transfer (ST) MT Approach



## Avenue/Letras STMT Architecture



## Syntax-driven Acquisition Process

Automatic Process for Extracting Syntax-driven Rules and Lexicons from sentence-parallel data:

1. Word-align the parallel corpus (GIZA++)
2. Parse the sentences independently for both languages
3. Tree-to-tree Constituent Alignment:
a) Run our new Constituent Aligner over the parsed sentence pairs
b) Enhance alignments with additional Constituent Projections
4. Extract all aligned constituents from the parallel trees
5. Extract all derived synchronous transfer rules from the constituent-aligned parallel trees
6. Construct a "data-base" of all extracted parallel constituents and synchronous rules with their frequencies and model them statistically (assign them relative-likelihood probabilities)


PFA Node Alignment Algorithm Example
-Any constituent or subconstituent is a candidate for alignment -Triggered by word/phrase alignments
-Tree Structures can be highly divergent


PFA Node Alignment Algorithm Example
-Tree-tree aligner enforces equivalence constraints and optimizes over terminal alignment scores (words/phrases)
-Resulting aligned nodes are highlighted in figure
-Transfer rules are partially lexicalized and read off tree.

## The Setting

$\square$ MURI Languages

- Kinyarwanda
- Bantu (7.5M speakers)
- Malagasy
- Malayo-Polynesian (14.5M)
- Swahili
- Bantu (5M native, 150M $2^{\text {nd }} / 3^{\text {rd }}$ )


## Swahili <br> Anamwona <br> "he is seeing him/her" <br> $\rightarrow$ Morpho-syntactics



## Active Learning for MT (Vamshi, Carbonell, Vogel)




## Active Learning Strategy:

 Diminishing Density Weighted Diversity Sampling$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{density}(S)=\frac{\sum_{x \in \operatorname{Phrases}(s)} P(x / U L) * e^{\wedge}-[\lambda * \operatorname{count}(x / L)]}{|\operatorname{Phrases}(s)|} \quad \operatorname{diversity}(S)=\frac{\sum_{x \in \operatorname{Phrases}(s)} \alpha^{*} \operatorname{count}(x)}{|\operatorname{Phrases}(s)|} \\
& \alpha=0 i f x \in L \\
& \operatorname{Score}(S)=\frac{\left(1+\beta^{2}\right) \operatorname{density}(S) * \operatorname{diversity}(S)}{\beta^{2} \operatorname{density}(S)+\operatorname{diversity}(S)} \alpha=1 i f x \notin L
\end{aligned}
$$



Experiments:
Language Pair: Spanish-English Batch Size: 1000 sentences each
Translation: Moses Phrase SMT Development Set: 343 sens Test Set: 506 sens

Graph:
X: Performance (BLEU)
Y: Data (Thousand words)

## Translation Selection from Mechanical Turk

- Translation Selection:
- Translator Reliabilitv

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{rel}\left(W_{k}\right) & =\frac{\sum_{t_{j} \in T_{k}} \sum_{n_{i} \in U} \alpha}{\left\|T_{k}\right\|} \\
\alpha & = \begin{cases}1 & t_{k j} \equiv t_{n j} \\
0\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

|  | Seed | Iterations |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| crowd pick-rand | 10.64 | 18.64 | 21.07 |
| crowd translation-agreement | 10.64 | 21.81 | 24.67 |
| crowd translator-agreement | 10.64 | 22.78 | 24.94 |
| expert translations | 10.64 | 22.34 | 25.75 |
| crowd all-three | 10.64 | $2 \overline{25.68}$ | 26.01 |

## ARI EL: Universal Typological Compendium



Phylogenetic, Geopolitical,
Typological


## Lexical Transfer Example (Arabic $\rightarrow$ Swhahili)




## Concluding Remarks

$\square$ Massively multilingual research (MT, speech, dialog)

- Of crucial importance for humanity

■ Waibel has been at the very core
$\square$ Research Directions
■ Combining linguistics and Statistics

- Paradigms for cross-language scalability

■ Transfer learning and proactive learning

- Applications: disaster relief, education, eCom, ...


## THANK YOU!



J aime Carbonell, CMU

