Qian-Yi Zhou Jaes

Intel Labs

Jaesik Park Vladlen Koltun







•













- Stage 1: Coarse alignment RANSAC or another sampling scheme
- Stage 2: Local refinement – ICP

Global Registration

Choi, Zhou, K., CVPR 2015

• Expensive: nearest-neighbor queries in the inner loop • Inelegant: two stages instead of direct alignment

$E(\mathbf{T}) = \sum_{(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q})\in\mathcal{K}} \rho(\|\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{T}\mathbf{q}\|)$

$\rho(x) = \frac{x^2}{\mu + x^2}$

Objective

$E(\mathbf{T}) = \sum \rho(\|\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{T}\mathbf{q}\|)$ $(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q})\in\mathcal{K}$

$E(\mathbf{T}, \mathbb{L}) = \sum l_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}} \|\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{T}\mathbf{q}\|^2 + \sum \Psi(l_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}})$ $(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}) \in \mathcal{K}$ $(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}) \in \mathcal{K}$

Optimization

$\Psi(l_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}) = \mu(\sqrt{l_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}} - 1)^2$

Black and Rangarajan, 1996

Results

	$\sigma = 0$		$\sigma = 0.0025$		$\sigma = 0.005$	
	Average	Maximal	Average	Maximal	Average	Max
	RMSE	RMSE	RMSE	RMSE	RMSE	RM
GoICP [42]	0.029	0.130	0.032	0.133	0.037	0.1
GoICP-Trimming [42]	0.035	0.473	0.039	0.475	0.044	0.4
Super 4PCS [26]	0.012	0.019	0.014	0.029	0.017	0.0
OpenCV [8]	0.009	0.013	0.018	0.212	0.032	0.2
PCL [34, 19]	0.003	0.005	0.009	0.061	0.111	0.4
CZK [7]	0.003	0.005	0.008	0.022	0.035	0.2
Our approach	0.003	0.005	0.006	0.011	0.008	0.0

With noisy data, the average RMSE of our approach is more than 2 times lower than the best prior approach. Maximal RMSE is 5.6 times lower.

	Average # of points	GoICP [42]	GoICP- Trimming [42]	OpenCV [8]	Super 4PCS [26]	PCL [34, 19]	CZK [7]	C appr
Bimba	9,416	19.3	19.4	41.0	311.4	18.2	12.8	0.
Children	11,148	21.0	19.2	136.3	238.2	4.8	6.6	0.
Dragon	11,232	94.1	38.4	57.7	483.7	8.6	11.9	0.
Angel	12,072	21.0	20.4	80.9	171.5	8.7	11.3	0.
Bunny	13,357	74.7	72.4	12.3	283.8	55.6	12.7	0.
Average	11,445	46.0	34.0	65.6	297.7	19.2	11.1	0.

Our algorithm is 50 times faster than the fastest prior global registration method.

(a) Rotation perturbation

Our algorithm matches the accuracy achieved by the local algorithms when they are initialized near the ground-truth pose, but does not require an initialization.

	Average # of points	PCL ICP point-to-point	PCL ICP point-to-plane	Sparse ICP point-to-point [5]	Sparse ICP point-to-plane [5]	Our app
Bimba	9,416	0.73	0.31	3.1	11.8	0.1
Children	11,148	0.75	0.46	3.9	15.0	0.2
Dragon	11,232	0.99	0.47	3.6	13.8	0.2
Angel	12,072	0.81	1.01	4.9	18.5	0.2
Bunny	13,357	2.10	1.70	9.2	10.3	0.2
Average	11,445	1.08	0.79	4.9	13.9	0.2

Our global algorithm is 2.8 times faster than a state-of-the-art implementation of ICP.

- Fast algorithm for global registration of partially overlapping 3D surfaces
- More than an order of magnitude faster than prior global registration algorithms and much more robust to noise
- Matches the accuracy of well-initialized local refinement algorithms such as ICP, without requiring an initialization and at lower computational cost

Summary

Thank you