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## Boolean networks



|  | $f_{1}$ | $f_{2}$ | $f_{3}$ | $f_{4}$ | $f_{5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 001 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 010 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 011 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 100 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 101 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 110 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 111 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

- A Boolean network consists of $N$ interconnected nodes $i$ each capable of storing a binary value.
- Each node $i$ has $K$ input edges $j_{i_{1}}, \ldots, j_{i_{K}}$.
- To each node a Boolean function $f_{i}$ is assigned.
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- Define $s_{i}(t)$ as the value stored by $i$ at time $t$.

Then:

$$
s_{i}(t+1)=f\left(s_{i_{1}}(t), \ldots, s_{i_{K}}(t)\right)
$$

## NK networks

NK networks: Random Boolean networks with $N$ nodes, where:

- for each node a Boolean function is chosen among all equally likely functions with $K$ arguments,
- for each function the $K$ arguments are chosen among $\binom{N}{K}$ equally likely possibilities,
- finally a random initial state is chosen.
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NK networks: Random Boolean networks with $N$ nodes, where:

- for each node a Boolean function is chosen among all equally likely functions with $K$ arguments,
- for each function the $K$ arguments are chosen among $\binom{N}{K}$ equally likely possibilities,
- finally a random initial state is chosen.

By numerical simulations S . Kauffman found that if $K \leq 2$, the networks show ordered behaviour:

- Large proportion of weak nodes.
- Large proportion of frozen nodes.
- Small attractor cycles.

Contrary if $K>2$ the networks are disordered or chaotic.

## The ensemble: $\mathrm{RBN}(K, P)$

Here we consider the ensemble $\operatorname{RBN}(K, P)$ :

- for each node a Boolean function $f$ with $K$ arguments is chosen as follows: each of the $2^{K}$ positions in the truth table of $f$ is set to 1 with probability $P$.
- for each function the $K$ arguments are chosen among $\binom{N}{K}$ equally likely possibilities,
- finally a random initial state is chosen.


## Derridas annealed approximation

[Derrida \& Pomeau 1986] introduced the annealed model. In contrast to the classical model (the so called quenched model) the functions and connections are chosen at random at each time step.
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## Theorem

Ordered behaviour, which means

$$
\frac{\mathbb{E}\left(d_{H}\left(\mathbf{s}_{1}(t), \mathbf{s}_{2}(t)\right)\right)}{N} \rightarrow 0,
$$

if and only if

$$
2 K P(1-P) \leq 1
$$

## Lynchs analysis of the quenched model

Consider a network (with $N$ nodes) with an arbitrary state.

- A node $G$ is $t$-weak if a perturbation of $G$ vanishes in $t$ steps.
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Consider a network (with $N$ nodes) with an arbitrary state.

- A node $G$ is $t$-weak if a perturbation of $G$ vanishes in $t$ steps.


## Theorem

Ordered behaviour, which means

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Pr}(G \text { is } \alpha \log N \text {-weak })=1 \text {, }
$$

if and only if

$$
2 K P(1-P) \leq 1 .
$$

( $\alpha$ is a constant depending on $K$ only)

## Motivation

## Question

- What is the connection between the two models?


## $l$-Sensitivity

## Definition

- The $l$-sensitivity $s_{f}^{l}(\mathbf{w})$ of a function $f$ with argument $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{K}$ is the number of vectors $\mathbf{x}$ in Hamming distance $l$ to $\mathbf{w}$, for which $f(\mathbf{w}) \neq f(\mathbf{x})$.
- The average $l$-sensitivity $s_{f}^{l}$ is the average of $s_{f}^{l}(\mathbf{w})$ of all $\mathbf{w}$.
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Argument space of $f, f=1$ marked red:


## $l$-Sensitivity

## Definition

- The $l$-sensitivity $s_{f}^{l}(\mathbf{w})$ of a function $f$ with argument $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{K}$ is the number of vectors $\mathbf{x}$ in Hamming distance $l$ to $\mathbf{w}$, for which $f(\mathbf{w}) \neq f(\mathbf{x})$.
- The average $l$-sensitivity $s_{f}^{l}$ is the average of $s_{f}^{l}(\mathbf{w})$ of all $\mathbf{w}$.

Example:
$f\left(\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right)\right)=w_{1} \oplus w_{2} \oplus w_{3}$ for all $\mathbf{w}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{f}^{1}(\mathbf{w})=3 \quad \text { and } \\
& s_{f}^{2}(\mathbf{w})=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Argument space of $f, f=1$ marked red:


## Expectation of l-Sensitivity for random function

Suppose that Boolean functions are chosen at random. The probability of choosing a function $f$ is given by $p_{f}$ : The expectation of the $l$-sensitivity is given by

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(s_{f}^{l}(\mathbf{w})\right)=\sum_{f} p_{f} s_{f}^{l}(\mathbf{w})
$$
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For $\operatorname{RBN}(K, P)$ it turns out that

## Lemma
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## Expectation of $l$-Sensitivity for random function

Suppose that Boolean functions are chosen at random. The probability of choosing a function $f$ is given by $p_{f}$ : The expectation of the $l$-sensitivity is given by

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(s_{f}^{l}(\mathbf{w})\right)=\sum_{f} p_{f} s_{f}^{l}(\mathbf{w})
$$

Similar

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(s_{f}^{l}\right)=\sum_{f} p_{f} s_{f}^{l}
$$

For $\operatorname{RBN}(K, P)$ it turns out that

## Lemma

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(s_{f}^{l}\right)=\frac{\binom{K}{l}}{K} \mathbb{E}\left(s_{f}^{1}\right)
$$

## Lynchs order parameter

Suppose

- the probability for a function $f$ is given by $p_{f}$ and
- the mean activity is independent of time and given by $a$.
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## Definition (Lynch)

$$
\lambda=\sum_{f} p_{f} \sum_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{K}} s_{f}(\mathbf{w}) a^{w_{H}(\mathbf{w})}(1-a)^{K_{i}-w_{H}(\mathbf{w})},
$$
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in general

## Theorem (Lynch)

Ordered behaviour if and only if

$$
\lambda \leq 1 .
$$
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## Definition (Lynch)

$$
\lambda=\sum_{f} p_{f} \sum_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{K}} s_{f}(\mathbf{w}) a^{w_{H}(\mathbf{w})}(1-a)^{K_{i}-w_{H}(\mathbf{w})}
$$

for $\operatorname{RBN}(K, p)$ :

## Theorem

$$
\lambda=\mathbb{E}\left(s_{f}^{1}\right)
$$

hence ordered behaviour if and only if

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(s_{f}^{1}\right) \leq 1
$$

## Annealed analysis I

Consider two instances of the same random network (with $N$ nodes) starting from two different initial states ( $s_{1}, s_{2}$ ). Define the fractional overlap $a(t)=1-\frac{\mathbb{E}\left(d_{H}\left(\mathbf{s}_{1}(t), \mathbf{s}_{2}(t)\right)\right)}{N}$.
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Next time step: there are nodes (blue) that receive their input only from $A_{t}$. We expect $N a(t)^{K}$ blue nodes and $N\left(1-a(t)^{K}\right)$ other nodes at time $t+1$, the latter having probability $P_{d}$ of being different. Therefore:

$$
a(t+1)=a(t)^{K}+\left(1-P_{d}\right)\left(1-a(t)^{K}\right)
$$

## Annealed analysis II

Suppose that $\mathbf{s}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{s}_{2}$ are randomly chosen but different and $f$ is a random function.

$$
P_{d}=\operatorname{Pr}\left(f\left(\mathbf{s}_{1}\right) \neq f\left(\mathbf{s}_{2}\right) \mid \mathbf{s}_{1} \neq \mathbf{s}_{2}\right)
$$
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$$
P_{d}=\operatorname{Pr}\left(f\left(\mathbf{s}_{1}\right) \neq f\left(\mathbf{s}_{2}\right) \mid \mathbf{s}_{1} \neq \mathbf{s}_{2}\right)
$$

For $\operatorname{RBN}(K, P)$ it turns out that

$$
P_{d}=\frac{\mathbb{E}\left(s_{f}^{1}\right)}{K}
$$

## Annealed analysis II

Therefore $a(t)$ evolves according a one-dimensional map

$$
a(t+1)=A(a(t))
$$

where

$$
A(x)=1+P_{d}\left(x^{K}-1\right)=1+\mathbb{E}\left(s_{f}^{1}\right)\left(x^{K}-1\right)
$$

## Annealed analysis II

Therefore $a(t)$ evolves according a one-dimensional map

$$
a(t+1)=A(a(t))
$$

where

$$
A(x)=1+P_{d}\left(x^{K}-1\right)=1+\mathbb{E}\left(s_{f}^{1}\right)\left(x^{K}-1\right)
$$

## Theorem

Stable fixed point $x_{0}=1$ (total overlap, hence ordered behaviour) if and only if

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(s_{f}^{1}\right) \leq 1
$$

## Summery and comments

Due to the simple form of the expectation of the $l$-sensitivity:

- For RBN $(K, P)$ the phase of both models, the annealed and the quenched, is determined by the expectation of the average sensitivity (order 1).
- This is also true for other ensembles (see paper).


## Summery and comments

Due to the simple form of the expectation of the $l$-sensitivity:

- For $\operatorname{RBN}(K, P)$ the phase of both models, the annealed and the quenched, is determined by the expectation of the average sensitivity (order 1).
- This is also true for other ensembles (see paper).

Note:
It can be shown, that similar results hold, if the probability of a function is only dependent on the number of 1 in the truth table (not yet published).
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