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## Overview

- Markov Decision Processes (MDPs)
- Used to model sequential decision problems
- Current solution techniques do not scale well with the size of the MDP
- Real world problems when modeled as MDPs exhibit high degree of redundancy
- Reduction in size possible if we exploit redundancy
- Finding Symmetries in MDPs
- We use the symmetry as a notion of redundancy as introduced in (Ravindran, 2004)
- Believed to be hard however exact hardness is unknown
- Intuitively, because of the additional structure of MDPs it seems harder
- We show that finding symmetries in MDPs is no harder than the problem of Graph Isomorphism (GI)
- We also show the use of existing Gl solvers for finding symmetries in MDPs
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## Stochastic Sequential Decision Making

- Markov Decision Process, $\mathscr{M}:<S, A, \Psi, P, R>$
- Set of States: S
- Set of Actions : $A$
- Set of Permissible Actions : $\Psi \subseteq S \times A$
- Transition Probabilities : $P: \Psi \times S \rightarrow[0,1]$
- Expected Reward : $R: \Psi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
- Policy, $\pi: S \rightarrow A$
- Value of a state $s$ under policy $\pi$ : $E^{\pi}$ (discounted sum of future rewards got by following $\pi$ from $s$ )
- Bellman Equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{\pi}(s)= & R(s, a)+\gamma \sum_{s^{\prime} \in S} P\left(s, a, s^{\prime}\right) V_{\pi}\left(s^{\prime}\right) \\
& \text { where, } 0 \leq \gamma<1
\end{aligned}
$$

## Solution of an MDP

- Is a policy $\pi^{\star}$ such that, for any policy $\pi, V_{\pi^{\star}}(s) \geq V_{\pi}(s), \forall s \in S$
- Bellman Optimality Equation

$$
V_{\pi^{\star}}(s)=\max _{a \in A}\left\{R(s, a)+\gamma \sum_{s^{\prime} \in S} P\left(s, a, s^{\prime}\right) V_{\pi^{\star}}\left(s^{\prime}\right)\right\}
$$

- Iterative algorithm using the Bellman Optimality equation
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## Reduced Model - Formal definition

## Definition

An MDP homomorphism from $\mathscr{M}$ to $\mathscr{M}^{\prime}$ is a surjection $h: \Psi \rightarrow \Psi^{\prime}$ defined by $h(s, a)=\left(f(s), g_{s}(a)\right)$, where $f: S \rightarrow S^{\prime}$ and $g_{s}: A_{s} \rightarrow A_{f(s)}^{\prime}$ are surjections satisfying,

$$
\begin{aligned}
P^{\prime}\left(f(s), g_{s}(a), f\left(s^{\prime}\right)\right) & =\sum_{s^{\prime \prime} \in f^{-1}\left(f\left(s^{\prime}\right)\right)} P\left(s, a, s^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
R^{\prime}\left(f(s), g_{s}(a)\right) & =R(s, a)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Definition

An MDP $\mathscr{M}^{\prime}$ is said to be a reduced model of an MDP $\mathscr{M}$, iff there exists an MDP homomorphism $h: \mathscr{M} \rightarrow \mathscr{M}^{\prime}$.

## Reduced Model - Significance

- Reduced Model:
- Preserves dynamics by definition
- Preserves optimal value functions and policies
- Functionally equivalent to the original model but significantly smaller


## Symmetry informally

- A symmetric system is one that is invariant under certain transformation onto itself.
- This gridworld is invariant under reflection along diagonal



## Symmetry Formal Definition

## Definition

A bijective MDP homomorphism from $\mathscr{M}$ to $\mathscr{M}$ is called an MDP automorphism which represents a symmetry. We have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(f(s), g_{s}(a), f\left(s^{\prime}\right)\right) & =P\left(s, a, s^{\prime}\right) \\
R\left(f(s), g_{s}(a)\right) & =R(s, a)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Definition

The set of all automorphisms of an MDP, $\mathscr{M}$, form a group under composition called the automorphism group of $\mathscr{M}$, represented as Aut $\mathscr{M}$. The orbits of the natural action of any subgroup $\mathscr{G}$ on $\mathscr{M}(\Psi)$ defines a partition $B_{\mathscr{G}}$ of $\Psi$ using which a quotient MDP $\mathscr{M} / B_{\mathscr{G}}$, called the $\mathscr{G}$-Reduced Image can be defined.

## Problem

- Given an MDP $\mathscr{M}$
(1) Find Aut $\mathscr{M}$
(2) Find Aut $\mathscr{M}$-Reduced Image
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## Problem Simplification

- Given an MDP $\mathscr{M}$, find Aut $\mathscr{M}$
- A group is completely specified by its generators
- $\operatorname{AMGEN}(\mathscr{M})$ : Find generators of Aut $\mathscr{M}$


## Isomorphism Completeness

## Definition

A is Isomorphism Complete iff A is polynomially equivalent to finding Graph Isomorphisms

## Definition

$A$ is polynomially equivalent to $B$ iff $A$ is polynomially reducible $(\propto)$ to $B$ and $B \propto A$, denoted $A \equiv{ }_{\alpha} B$

## List of relevant Isomorphism Complete Problems

- ISO $\left(G_{1}, G_{2}\right)$ : Isomorphism recognition for $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, where $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are simple
- $\operatorname{IMAP}\left(G_{1}, G_{2}\right)$ : Isomorphism Map from $G_{1}$ to $G_{2}$ (if it exists), where $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are simple
- $\operatorname{AGEN}(G)$ : Generators of the automorphism group, AutG, where G is simple
- $\operatorname{DGEN}(G)$ : Generators of the automorphism group, AutG, where G is a digraph
- So, $\operatorname{DGEN}(G) \equiv_{\alpha} \operatorname{AGEN}(G) \equiv_{\alpha} \operatorname{IMAP}\left(G_{1}, G_{2}\right) \equiv_{\alpha} \operatorname{ISO}\left(G_{1}, G_{2}\right)$


## Outline

(1) Pose $\operatorname{AMGEN}(\mathscr{M})$ as a problem on weighted pseudographs
(2) Prove that $\operatorname{AMGEN}(\mathscr{M}) \equiv_{\propto} \operatorname{DGEN}(G)$

- $\operatorname{DGEN}(G) \propto \operatorname{AMGEN}(\mathscr{M})$ (trivial)
- $\operatorname{AMGEN}(\mathscr{M}) \propto \operatorname{DGEN}(G)$
(3) Hence, $\operatorname{AMGEN}(\mathscr{M})$ is Isomorphism Complete


## Set Bijections

(1) A generator of Aut $\mathscr{M}$ has 2 components:

- A function $f$ that permutes the states
- A set of functions $\left\{g_{u}\right\}$ that permute the actions called the State-Dependent Action Recoding (SDAR) functions.
(2) Solution to $\operatorname{DGEN}(G)$ accounts only for $f$
(3) Factorially many SDAR functions in the worst case, rendering explicit representations useless
(3) To obtain the SDAR functions, we define the notion of a set bijection
(6) Represents a set of bijections compactly
(6) Polynomially computable operations of intersection, composition and inverse


## Set Bijections example

For example, the following set of bijections from $A=\{1,2,3,4\}$ to $B=\{N, E, W, S\}$

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
1 \rightarrow \mathrm{~N}, & 2 \rightarrow \mathrm{E}, & 3 \rightarrow \mathrm{~W}, & 4 \rightarrow \mathrm{~S} \\
1 \rightarrow \mathrm{~N}, & 2 \rightarrow \mathrm{E}, & 3 \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}, & 4 \rightarrow \mathrm{~W} \\
1 \rightarrow \mathrm{E}, & 2 \rightarrow \mathrm{~N}, & 3 \rightarrow \mathrm{~W}, & 4 \rightarrow \mathrm{~S} \\
1 \rightarrow \mathrm{E}, & 2 \rightarrow \mathrm{~N}, & 3 \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}, & 4 \rightarrow \mathrm{~W}
\end{array}
$$

can be represented compactly using a set bijection, $X_{1}$, from $U_{A}^{1}=\{\{1,2\},\{3,4\}\}$ to $U_{B}^{1}=\{\{N, E\},\{W, S\}\}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{1}(\{1,2\})=\{N, E\} \\
& X_{1}(\{3,4\})=\{W, S\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Finding Symmetries

Exploiting Symmetries

## An example

MDP as PseudoGraph
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## An example

Vector Weighted Graph
Vector label: N,E,W,S Discarded as it is same for each edge
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## An example

## Weighted DiGraph (WG)
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## Construction provides the Generators of Aut. $\mathscr{M}$

## Outline of the proof

(1) Prove that Aut $\mathscr{M}$ can be partitioned into $\left.\left\{<f,\left\{G_{u}\right\}\right\rangle\right\}$.
(2) Define a group homomorphism $\phi:$ Aut $\mathscr{M} \rightarrow$ AutWG.
(3) Prove that Aut $\mathscr{M}$ as partitioned above represents the set of all cosets of the kernel, $\operatorname{ker}(\phi)$.
(a) Since, the kernel is a normal subgroup, we know that, Aut $\mathscr{M} / \operatorname{ker}(\phi) \cong i m(\phi)$.
(5) Using the isomorphism, prove that the set $\left\{<f,\left\{G_{u}\right\}>\right\}$ found using the above procedure is the set of generators of Aut $\mathscr{M}$.

## Significance

(1) Theoretically significant
(2) Allows the use of off-the-shelf Graph Isomorphism solvers to find symmetries on MDPs.

## Nauty - No Automorphisms, Yes?

- Solves DGEN
- Worst case complexity is exponential
- On avg on a graph of $n$ vertices takes $n^{2}$ time
- Uses backtracking and a refinement procedure to find the canonical labelings
- Allows the use of a variety of vertex invariants to solve harder problems


## Nauty Integration

(1) Construct the weighted pesudograph from the given MDP
(2) Construct the weighted digraph using the above procedure
(3) Construct a simple digraph from the weighted digraph using standard procedure
(4) Get the generators of the digraph using Nauty
(5) Use set bijections to find state-dependent action recoding functions for each generator
(6) Generate the partition of $|\Psi|$ induced by the group generated by the above functions
( - Use the partition to construct a reduced model and follow explicit model minimization
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## $\mathscr{G}$-Reduced Image Algorithm

- Given an MDP $\mathscr{M}$ and a Symmetry $\operatorname{Group} \mathscr{G}$, finds the reduced model $\mathscr{M}^{\prime}$ induced by $\mathscr{G}$
- Straightforward way by explicit enumeration takes $|\Psi| \times|\mathscr{G}|$
- Breadth First Search with pruning
- Terminates when at least one representative from each equivalence class of $\mathscr{G}$ has been examined
- With certain assumptions time complexity is $O\left(\left|\Psi^{\prime}\right| \times|\mathscr{G}|\right)$


## Experimental Setup - Probabilistic GridWorld

- States: An $N \times N$ GridWorld
- Actions: Four probabilistic actions of going UP, DOWN, RIGHT and LEFT having a $90 \%$ success probability
- Initial state: $(0,0)$
- Goal states: $\{(0, N-1),(N-1,0)\}$.


## Experimental Setup - GridWorld Soccer

- Slightly modified version of that described in (Bowling, 2003) with an $M \times N$ grid with two agents (Attacker-A and Defender-B)
- States: The non-identical positions of the attacker and the defender leading to $(M N)^{2}-(M N)$ states
- Actions: The four compass directions: N, E, W, S and the hold action $\mathbf{H}$
- Goal States: W action from the squares in front of the goal



## Results - Probabilistic GridWorld



- Able to find the partition corresponding to the symmetry group
- For a grid of size $N \times N$, states ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ ), ( $\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{x}$ ), ( $\mathrm{N}-1-\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{N}-1-\mathrm{y}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{N}-1-\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{N}-1-\mathrm{x}$ ) are equivalent


## Results - GridWorld Soccer



- Intuition gets it wrong; domain is not symmetric!
- The algorithm also finds another interesting symmetry due to the existence of the hold action.


## Summary

- In this work, we have provided a constructive proof for the Isomorphism Completeness of the problem of finding symmetries.
- We have also proposed the use of this constructive proof along with an efficient minimization algorithm to solve an MDP using symmetries and demonstrated it empirically.
- We are looking at adapting approximation algorithms for finding graph isomorphisms to finding approximate symmetries in MDPs.


## Thank You!

## $\mathscr{G}$ - Reduced Image Algorithm

```
01 Given \(\mathcal{M}=\langle S, A, \Psi, P, R\rangle\) and \(\mathcal{G} \leq\) Aut \(\mathcal{M}\),
02 Construct \(\mathcal{M} / B_{\mathcal{G}}=\left\langle S^{\prime}, A^{\prime}, \Psi^{\prime}, P^{\prime}, R^{\prime}\right\rangle\).
03 Set Que to some initial state \(\left\{s_{0}\right\}, S^{\prime} \leftarrow\left\{s_{0}\right\}\)
04 While Que is non-empty
\(05 s=\) dequeue \(\{Q u e\}\)
06 For all \(a \in A_{s}\)
07 If \((s, a) \not \#_{\mathcal{G}}\left(s^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)\) for any \(\left(s^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right) \in \Psi^{\prime}\), then
            \(\Psi^{\prime} \leftarrow \Psi^{\prime} \cup(s, a)\)
            \(A^{\prime} \leftarrow A^{\prime} \cup a\)
            \(R^{\prime}(s, a)=R(s, a)\)
            For all \(t \in S\) such that \(P(s, a, t)>0\)
            If \(t \equiv_{\mathcal{G} \mid S} s^{\prime}\), for some \(s^{\prime} \in S^{\prime}\),
                \(P^{\prime}\left(s, a, s^{\prime}\right) \leftarrow P^{\prime}\left(s, a, s^{\prime}\right)+P(s, a, t)\)
            else
                \(S^{\prime} \leftarrow S^{\prime} \cup t\)
                    \(P^{\prime}(s, a, t)=P(s, a, t)\)
                add t to Que
```

