Prediction with Expert Advice for the Brier Game

Vladimir Vovk and Fedor Zhdanov

Department of Computer Science Royal Holloway, University of London

ICML 2008

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Outline



- 2 Theoretical Results
- **3** Experimental Results



・ロン ・回と ・ヨン ・ヨン

æ

Problem Area

- Prediction with expert advice.
- Competitive online learning.
- Agent accumulates losses and competes with other agents.

(4月) イヨト イヨト



• We predict the results of football matches game by game.



イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

æ

Example

- We predict the results of football matches game by game.
- Possible outcomes: Home win, Draw, Away win.



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Example

- We predict the results of football matches game by game.
- Possible outcomes: Home win, Draw, Away win.
- Our prediction: 3 probabilities $p_{\text{home}} + p_{\text{draw}} + p_{\text{away}} = 1.$



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Example

- We predict the results of football matches game by game.
- Possible outcomes: Home win, Draw, Away win.
- Our prediction: 3 probabilities $p_{\text{home}} + p_{\text{draw}} + p_{\text{away}} = 1.$
- We compete with bookmakers.



- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

Example

- We predict the results of football matches game by game.
- Possible outcomes: Home win, Draw, Away win.
- Our prediction: 3 probabilities $p_{\text{home}} + p_{\text{draw}} + p_{\text{away}} = 1.$
- We compete with bookmakers.
- Goal: To be close to the best bookmaker according to some loss function.



- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

Framework: Notation

- We predict events $\omega_t \in \Omega$.
- Our predictions are $\gamma_t \in \Gamma$.
- The quality of predictions is measured by a loss function $\lambda(\omega_t, \gamma_t) \geq 0.$

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

Framework: Protocol

$$L_t^k := 0, \ k = 1, \dots, K$$

 $L_t := 0$

FOR
$$t = 1, 2, ...$$

Experts: predictions $\gamma_t^k \in \Gamma$, $k = 1, ..., K$
Learner: prediction $\gamma_t \in \Gamma$
Reality: the actual outcome $\omega_t \in \Omega$

Experts
$$L_t^k := L_{t-1}^k + \lambda(\omega_t, \gamma_t^k)$$
, $k = 1, \dots, K$
Learner $L_t := L_{t-1} + \lambda(\omega_t, \gamma_t)$
END FOR

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Framework: Goal

At each step t for any expert k cumulative loss

$$L_t \leq L_t^k + R$$

R is some small regret term

・ロン ・回と ・ヨン ・ヨン



- Aggregating Algorithm (Vovk, 1990)
- Weighted Average Algorithm (Kivinen and Warmuth, 1999)
- Hedge Algorithm (Freund and Schapire, 1997)
- Weak Aggregating Algorithm (Kalnishkan and Vyugin, 2005)

イロン イ部ン イヨン イヨン 三日

Aggregating Algorithm

Initialize weights
$$w^k := 1/K$$
, $k = 1, ..., K$
FOR $t = 1, 2, ...:$
Mixture:
 $g(\omega) = -\frac{1}{\eta} \ln \sum_{k=1}^{K} e^{-\eta \lambda(\omega, \gamma^k)} w^k$,
 $\omega \in \Omega$.
Prediction $\gamma = S(g)$.
Reality announces the actual
outcome ω^* .
Update weights:
 $w^k := w^k e^{-\eta \lambda(\omega^*, \gamma^k)}$
END FOR.
Initialize weights $w^k = 0$

Outline





3 Experimental Results

Conclusion

・ロン ・回と ・ヨン ・ヨン

æ

Brier game of prediction

- n possible outcomes.
- ω is represented as $(0, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 0)$.
- γ is a probability distribution on outcomes.
- The Brier loss function $\lambda(\omega, \gamma) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\omega_i \gamma_i)^2$.
- Brier game: probability forecasting for meteorology (1950).

Our theoretical results

- $\exists S$ such that for any mixture g, $\gamma = S(g(\omega)) : \lambda(\omega, \gamma_i) \leq g(\omega), \forall \omega \in \Omega$, for $\eta \leq 1$. This means λ is *mixable* for such η .
- The optimal $\eta = 1$ and corresponding substitution function was found.

Theorem

Learner can use the Aggregating Algorithm for the Brier game to guarantee

$$L_T \leq \min_{k=1,\ldots,K} L_T^k + \ln K$$

for all T = 1, 2, ..., where K is the number of experts. Moreover, this bound is optimal: this constant cannot be decreased.

(D) (A) (A) (A) (A)

Outline







Conclusion

・ロン ・回と ・ヨン ・ヨン

æ

Football Experiment

English Championship database:

- 6473 matches in the last 3 seasons.
- 3 possible outcomes: Home win, Draw, Away win.
- 8 bookmakers (= 8 experts) give their decimal odds. We need to convert these odds into probabilities of each result:

$$p_i = \frac{1/a_i}{1/a_1 + 1/a_2 + 1/a_3}, i = 1, 2, 3.$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Football Results



< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

Football Results

The maximal difference between the cumulative loss of the algorithm and the cumulative loss of the best expert for football data: $\max_{t} (L_t^{AA} - \min_{k} L_t^k).$

Algorithm	Maximal difference	Theoretical bound
Aggregating	1.1562	2.0794
Weighted Average	1.8697	16.6355
Hedge	4.5662	234.1159
Weak Aggregating	2.4755	464.0728

(ロ) (同) (E) (E) (E)

Tennis Experiment

All tournaments database:

- 10087 matches in the last 4 seasons.
- 2 possible outcomes: Winner, Loser.
- 4 bookmakers (= 4 experts) give their decimal odds. We need to convert these odds into probabilities of each result:

$$p_i = \frac{1/a_i}{1/a_1 + 1/a_2}, i = 1, 2.$$

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

Tennis Results



Tennis Results

The maximal difference between the cumulative loss of the algorithm and the cumulative loss of the best expert for tennis data $\max_{t} (L_t^{AA} - \min_{k} L_t^k)$.

Algorithm	Maximal difference	Theoretical bound
Aggregating	1.2021	1.3863
Weighted Average	3.0566	11.0904
Hedge	9.0598	237.8904
Weak Aggregating	3.6101	473.0083

(ロ) (同) (E) (E) (E)

Outline



- 2 Theoretical Results
- **3** Experimental Results



・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Summary

- Vovk, V., Zhdanov, F.: Prediction with expert advice for the Brier game, arXiv:0710.0485v1 [cs.LG] (2008)
- The probability forecasting game with the Brier loss function is considered.
- The Aggregating Algorithm is applied to this game. The optimal theoretical bound is found.
- First experiments in the online competitive prediction setting.
- The theoretical bound is quite tight in both experiments.
- Other algorithms give bigger maximal loss difference with the best expert.

(ロ) (同) (E) (E) (E)



Thank you

Vladimir Vovk and Fedor Zhdanov Prediction with Expert Advice for the Brier Game

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

æ