

# Combining Fact and Document Retrieval with Spreading Activation for Semantic Desktop Search

Kinga Schumacher, Michael Sintek and Leo Sauermann

{firstname.surname}@dfki.de

# German Research Center for Artificial Intelligence (DFKI GmbH)



Kinga Schumacher – 5th European Semantic Web Conference – June 4th, 2008



- Semantic Desktop
- Semantic Search research areas
- Our approach
- Evaluation
- Future work





- Means for Personal Information Management
- RDF, RDFS, identification of resources by URIs
- Instead of a document- and application-oriented information management, the Semantic Desktop enables the user to
  - create own categorization system of projects, persons, topics, events, locations, organizations etc.
  - integrate all resources (e.g. text-documents, contacts, messages, multimedia) across application borders
  - collect facts about them
  - annotate, classify and relate them building the Personal Information
     Model (PIMO)



#### The Semantic Desktop



# > Supports the user with

- Keeping: handling of information storage – concepts are associated with folders
- Finding: by navigational search, browsing, filtering, semantic search







#### Information – the knowledge base

- Structured and unstructured: facts and documents
  - native structures (file system, email folders) are mapped to ontological concept
  - files and other information objects like contacts, calendar entries are mapped to instances
  - their textual content is indexed
- ➢ in ontologies, instance base and document-index







#### Human Access

Search for Information: documents and facts



"phone number of the KM-Group secretary"

# +49 631 205 75 101

- Enable Free-text queries
  - to keep knowledge overhead away from the user
  - NLP problems, e.g. syntactic, structural ambiguity







### Architecture







# Fact Retrieval – Triple-based approach





- 1. Syntactic Matching: query  $\{t_1, t_2, ..., t_n\}$ 
  - linguistic information in the knowledge base
  - n-gram method
  - phrase matching

Result: set of potential Properties  $P_i$ , Instances  $i_j$ , Classes  $c_k$ 

2. Semantic Matching on the instance base (based on [1])

1<sup>st</sup> level: create and apply query templates with the matches adjacent terms  $(i_j, p_i, ?), (i_j, ?, c_k), (?, p_i, ?), ...$ 

- 2<sup>nd</sup> level:
  - iterate over found triples and the syntactic matches of until now semantically unmatched terms and create and apply query templates
  - stop when: all query terms are included or no further triples can be found

3<sup>rd</sup> level:

Combine found triples and identify result graphs (coherent subgraphs)

 D.E. Goldschmidt, M. Krishnamoorthy: Architecting a Search Engine for the Semantic Web. C&O-2005, Pittsburgh



Fact Retrieval Example







Kinga Schumacher – 5th European Semantic Web Conference – June 4th, 2008

10

# Fact Retrieval – Triple-based approach





Results

# Ranking

- 1. Syntactic Matching: n-gram weights  $w(p_i), w(i_j), w(c_k)$
- 2. Semantic Matching:

1<sup>st</sup> level:

$$rank(< p_i>) = w(p_i) + w(i_j)$$

2<sup>nd</sup> level:

$$rank(triple\_set) = \sum (p_i) + \sum w(i_j) + \sum w(c_k),$$

where  $p_i, i_j, c_k$  are included in the triples

3<sup>rd</sup> level:

rank(subgraph) =
rank(triple\_set)/number\_of\_query\_terms



Semantic Document Retrieval – Graph traversing





- Expanded query: expanded with the linguistic information about the matched ontological elements
- Semantic Document Retrieval
  - 1. Keyword search on the document index (Lucene)
  - 2. Apply Spreading Activation:
    - Activation points: found documents
    - Activation weights: document weights
    - Formula:  $I_j = \sum_i O_i w_{ij} (1-\alpha)$



#### Combined approach













#### Data and method

- Standardized and annotated test data set for semantic desktop missing
- Evaluated with the ESWC 2007 knowledge base
- Knowledge base extended with some synonyms
- Evaluated against the Google Site search on <u>www.eswc2007.org</u>
- Set of 11 queries typical queries of knowledge workers
- Average Precision (for details see Proceedings, pp 569-583)

	Semantic Desktop Search	Google Site Search	
Average Precision	0.9436	0.4615	





- ✓ precise results for complex queries
- $\checkmark$  recognition of phrases, synonyms
- ✓ resolving structural ambiguity

Lower precision by unsuitable long queries (if no properties matched: spreading activation propagates to all connected nodes with the same intensity)

- ✓ enhanced ranking
- ✓ usef need of more specific and personalized setup of infor the semantic network's link weights -learn from feedback
  - -exploit context





- Gold Standard for Semantic (Desktop) Search Evaluations (in progress)
- Application of named graphs and views (based on the Nepomuk Representation Language NRL)
- Advanced GUI with dynamic filters and browsing support







#### Thanks for the members of the DFKI KM-Group









#### Semantic Desktop Tools





# Extract of a PIMO





http://www.landesvertretung.bremen.de/landesv/bevoll/index.html



Kinga Schumacher – 5th European Semantic Web Conference – June 4th, 2008



> decompose a string in a subsequences of n characters

```
,basic': ,ba', ,as', ,si', ,ic'
```

```
,base': ,ba', ,as', ,se'
```

map the decomposition to a vector containing the number of occurrences of the n-grams

	ba	as	si	ic	se
basic	1	1	1	1	0
base	1	1	0	0	1

compute the distance of the vectors e.g. Dice-Measure d('basic','base')= 0.571

