Floriana Gargiulo, ISI Foundation Torino



-PVGIPCVQPCN; QIMUJQR QP 'JCNGPIGU CPF :KUKQPU KP VJG 7QEKCN7EKGPEGU >96-', xem %WIWUVfnnl

8JG UCWICVQP VJIGUJQNF QHRWDNÆ QRKPKQPU %IG CIIIGUUKXG O GFKC ECO RCKIPU CNYC [U GHGEVXXG¢

49&0-' 34-2-32 *361 %8-32

6&%7-13()0u23)<8)62%0-2*09)21)

61)(-%'%14%+27-2*09)2')', 3-')7

49&0-' 34-2-32 *361%8-32 ; -8,3981)(-%u -P QRKPKQP FGDCVKPIxKP EWNWTCNVIGPFUw



'328-2939734-2-3213()0 $o_i \in [-1,1]$



, 73; '-{(B-4; +39)(0) '-28)'68; 8¢



OGUU VQNGTCPEG – O CPVCKP XKGY RQKPV

73′-%0-()28-8=

1 QTG VQNGTCPEG -GCUKGT VQ DG EQPXKPEGF

7GNHUGITGICVÆQP QT KPVGTPKV[VQ UQEKGV[¢

2)986%07

23 RTGIWFKEG KP EJQQUKPI EQPVCEVU

&), &:-36 ()4)2(73234-2-327

$\frac{2}{8}; 36 / '327869'8-320$ $6 CFKECNU RIGHGT UKO KACTU \langle QRKPKQP FGRGPFGPVJQO QRJKN[>$



7GITGICWQP



6 CFKECNU CTG NGUU VQNGTCPV CPF O QTG EQPXKPEGF

3 RKPKOP FGRGPFGPV830)6%2′)u $-t_i(t) = 1 - \alpha |o_i(t)|$ $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}, 1$ Radicals Neutral $|o_i - o_j| < min(t_i, t_j)$ Ó-PVGTCEV KH ά JCPIG ORКРЮР: $o_i(t+1) = o_i(t) + \frac{1}{2}t_i(t)(o_j(t) - o_i(t))$

 $o_i(t+1) = o_i(t) + \frac{1}{2}v_i(t)(o_j(t) - o_i(t))$ $o_j(t+1) = o_j(t) + \frac{1}{2}t_j(t)(o_i(t) - o_j(t))$

4%6%1)8)673*****8,)7=78)1



$$\alpha \longrightarrow \frac{73' - 30 - 2*09)') 690)7u}{6)46)7)288,} \\ |'327 - 78)2' = \{3*8, \} \\ 6 \\ 6 \\ (-' \\ 807)$$



'32:)6+)2**'**)









36()64%6%18)6

6)0%8-:) 3987-()67 7->) $S = 1 - \frac{g_{clust}}{N_{agents}}$









8,6)7,30($\alpha^{c} \sim 0.57$ $\beta = 0$ $\alpha^{c} \sim 0.85$ $\beta > 5$

49&0-' 34-2-32 *361%8-32 ; -8, 1)(-%u

2)986%07



1) (~~UWRRQTV CRQUKKQPXYKU FKHGIGPVRQUUKONG KPVPUKV[

6% (-'%07

1) (-334-2-32 $O_M = 1$



-PVGICEVU Y KU CNNVJG CIGPVU

-28)6%'8-32;-8,8,)&++%+)28

)%', %+)28 GPVGICEVIH $|o_i - o_M| < t_i$

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{$\vec{\sigma}$ JCPIG QRKPKQP:} \\ & o_i(t+1) = o_i(t) + \frac{1}{2} \text{$\vec{\sigma}$} t_i(t) (o_j(t) - o_i(t)) \\ & \text{$\vec{\sigma}$} \\$







CONCLUSIONS

BASIC MODEL When Do radical minorities have influence?

• Maintain their viewpoint over time (α large)

•Are integrated in the society (β small)

WHICH RESULTS FROM SOCIOLOGY?

6 GXGTUKPI VJG %UEJ) ZRGTKO GPV

- Six subjects constituted the <u>majority.</u>
- One confederate was the minority.
- Subjects were asked to view a set of slides and state their color. All slides were <u>actually blue</u> but varied in intensity.
- Minority consistently said that the blue slides were green.

- Control condition <u>not exposed</u> to the minority only said green twice—less than 1% of the responses.
- Among those exposed to minority view almost 10% of the total responses were green and 32% of the subjects reported seeing green at least once.
- Evidence for <u>minority</u> influence.

1 KPQTKV[-PHWGPEG

• To be influential a minority should be CONSISTENT (MOSCOVICI THEORY)

 FROM OTHER EXPERIMENTS: A minority is influential if it is not a "double minority". INTEGRATION IN THE SOCIETY.

CONCLUSIONS

MEDIA INFLUENCE When media campaigns are more influential?

- LOW MEDIA PRESSURE(small ε): SATURATION EFFECT QHVQQ CIITGUUKXG ECO RCKIPU
 - NON CONSISTENT RADICAL BEHAVIOUR (small α)

6)*)6)2')7

/' %2) <86)1 -71 +9%6%28)) 4096%0-71 ¢{ <%T<Kunlngtglkmx8Q CRRCTG QP .%777> *t+ tx%t1 C\\QPK

/'32:)6+)2')7')2%6-327 KP CP 34-2-32 ()4)2()28'31192-'%8-32 *6%1);36/{

> <-P RTGRCTCVQP> *t+tx7t00VXPKx.t.t6C0CUE0

/8,) 7%896%8-32 8,6)7,30(3 * 49&0-' 34-2-32 UCTG CIITGUUKKG OGFKC ECO RCKIPU CNYC [U GHGEVKKG¢{

<%T<Kunlngtgmgkx8QCRRCTGQPVJGRTQEGGFKPIUQH)77%fnnl ′QPHGTGPEG>

*t+ tx 7t0QWKPKx %t1 C\\QPK