HIGH COGNITIVE COMPLEXITY AND

RADICAL BREAKTHROUGHS

ROGERS HOLLINGSWORTH

University Of Wisconsin Madison University Of California San Diego

E-MAIL: HOLLINGSJR@AOL.COM FAX: 1-866-240-0904

PUBLICATIONS: http://history.wisc.edu/hollingsworth

- (1) What were some of the traits at the level of individuals which influenced their creativity and the making of major discoveries?
- (2) How did institutional and organizational factors facilitate or hinder creativity and the making of major discoveries?
- (3) How did the global economic environment of these four countries facilitate or hamper creativity and the making of major discoveries?

Factors at Multiple Levels Influencing Individual Creativity in Basic Biomedical Science



who complement its culture and structure

Definition of a Major Discovery

A major breakthrough or discovery in biomedical science is a finding or process, generally preceded by numerous "small advances," which leads to a new way of thinking about a problem. This new way of thinking is highly useful in addressing subsequent problems by numerous scientists in **DIVERSE** fields of science. Historically, a major breakthrough in biomedical science was a radical new idea, the development of a new or methodology, a new instrument or invention, or a new set of ideas. It has usually not been something which occurred all at once, but involved numerous experiments or a process of investigation taking place over a substantial period of time.

Indicators of Major Discoveries

- 1. Copley Medal
- 2. Nobel Prize for Physiology or Medicine
- 3. Nobel Prize for Chemistry
- 4. Ten nominations in three years for Nobel Prize for Physiology or Medicine
- 5. Ten nominations in three years for Nobel Prize for Chemistry
- 6. Prizeworthy in Physiology or Medicine
- 7. Prizeworthy in Chemistry
- 8. Lasker Prize in Basic Science
- 9. Louisa Gross Horwitz Prize
- 10. Crafoord Prize

Traits Facilitating Creativity of Individuals

TABLE ONE

- Scientists Who Made Major Discoveries in Basic Biomedical and Related Sciences 1901–2007
- 1) Scientists Awarded Nobel Prizes in Physiology or Medicine
- 2) Scientists Awarded Nobel Prizes in Areas of Chemistry Relevant to Basic Biomedical Science
- 3) Scientists Awarded the Lasker Award in Basic Biomedical Science
- 4) Scientist Awarded the Louisa Gross Horwitz Prize in Basic Biomedical Science

TABLE TWO

Highly Creative Twentieth Century Scientists Who Were Also Quite Active In Music, Art, Writing, Crafts, Politics, and Avid Readers of Serious Literature

- Musicians
- Composers of Music
- Poets
- Dramatists
- Novelists
- Painters and Sketchers
- Sculptors
- Drafters

TABLE TWO

Highly Creative Twentieth Century Scientists Who Were Also Quite Active In Music, Art, Writing, Crafts, Politics, and Avid Readers of Serious Literature

- Involved in Architecture
- Photographers
- Woodworkers or Metalworkers
- Scientists Who Wrote Philosophy, History, Anthropology, and/or Popular Science
- Avid Readers of Serious Literature
- Political Activists



Jacques Monod



Albert Einstein



Niels Bohr



James Watson ~ Frances Crick

Creativity in Science and Art 1) Similarities and differences among creative individuals in the arts and sciences 2) Centers of high creativity

Institutional Factors Facilitating or Hampering Scientific Creativity

Weak Institutional Environments

- 1. Weak Control over Personnel
- 2. Weak Control over Scientific Disciplines
- 3. Weak Control over Funding for Scientific Research
- 4. Many Different Types of Training Systems
- 5. Strong Normative Environment for High Risk Research

Strong Institutional Environments

- 1. Strong Control over Personnel
- 2. Strong Control over Which Scientific Disciplines Will Exist in an Organization
- 3. Strong Control over Funding for Scientific Research
- 4. Strong Prescription of Level of Training Necessary for a Scientific Appointment
- 5. Strong Control over Scientific Entrepreneurship

The Impact of the Structure and Culture of Research Organizations on Individual Creativity

What qualities of an organization facilitate making major discoveries?

- ► Moderately high scientific diversity
- Capacity to recruit scientists who internalize scientific diversity
- Communication and social integration of scientists from different fields through *frequent* and *intense* interaction
- Leaders who integrate scientific diversity, have the capacity to understand the direction in which scientific research is moving, and provide rigorous criticism in a nurturing environment
- Flexibility and autonomy associated with loose coupling with the institutional environment

What qualities of an organization hamper the making of major discoveries?

- High differentiation sharp boundaries among subunits such as departments, divisions, or colleges
- Hierarchical authority centralized decision-making about research programs, number of personnel, work conditions, and/or budgetary matters
- Bureaucratic coordination high standardization of rules and procedures
- Hyperdiversity diversity to the degree that there cannot be effective communication among actors in different fields of science



Changes in the Spatial Distribution of Scientific Creativity





David Gear and Ellen Jane Hollingsworth made enormous contributions for this presentation