



# iSURF – Piacenza Knitwear Business Case

#### Alessandro Canepa - Fratelli Piacenza S.p.A.



Leiden – ICE Conference – COIN – Business cases for Enterprise Interoperability

June 23, 2009

## General overview



- iSURF presentation
- General application overview
- Benefit analysis
- Cost benefit analysis

## iSURF project



An Interoperability Service Utility for Collaborative Supply Chain Planning across Multiple Domains Supported by RFID Devices

## General overview



- iSURF presentation
- General application overview in TC SC
- Benefit analysis
- Cost benefit analysis



June 23, 2009

Enterprise Interoperability

# RFID in the Supply Chain





(A simple supply chain is shown for clarity)

Leiden – ICE Conference – COIN – Business cases for Enterprise Interoperability

#### RFID in the SC - Applications ÎSurf **RFID** application for the Manufacturer Manufacturer Wholesaler Internally enabling: - Precision inventory - Stock location - Product authenticity - Anti-theft **Physical** Connecting to the supply-chain: **Software** - Real-time sales intelligence ERP etc. ERP etc. SPI ERP et SPI - Auto-alerting/ordering from supplychain - Brand protection **iSURF Bus & Infrast** Complementary: - Traceability

# RFID in the SC - Applications



ÎSurf

Enterprise Interoperability

## RFID in the SC - Applications

#### RFID applications for the Retailer

Internally enabling:

- Precision inventory
- Stock location
- Anti-theft
- Tracing and tracking
- Interactive kiosks
- Integrated POS
- Customer profiling
- Personalised recommendations
- Multi-channel sale integration

Connecting to the supply-chain:

- Manufacturer/wholesaler stock visibility
- Manufacturer production visibility
- Auto-alerting/ordering from Manufacturer/Wholesalers

#### Complementary:

-Traceability





## General overview



- iSURF presentation
- General application overview
- Benefit analysis
- Cost benefit analysis



Source: Politecnico di Milano - Osservatorio RF-ID

Leiden – ICE Conference – COIN – Business cases for Enterprise Interoperability

### Benefit Analysis Overview



- RF-ID technology application, even if focused on one or more activities, has a wide spectrum of impacts on company organization.
- Benefit analysis is very often reduced to cost/benefit one but this is a reductive approach because it tends to exclude those benefits which are not easily measured.
- The importance of these last ones is increasing and directly proportional to the level of the market which is served, from low price to luxury.
- T/C European companies are mainly focused on medium and high price and fashion and consequently a wide range analysis can not be neglected

### Clothing Retail Benefit Application Scenario - **Surf** Information exchange between retail and production

REID application benefits				
	Average	A verage	Average	
Retail		Private label	Mono brand	Department store
	Boutiques			(Metro)
What is currently the level of information				
exchange between retail and production on the				
following issues? (Please indicate: low to				
absent; medium to low or very high)				
Sell out	Very low to absent	Medium	Medium	High
Stock update	Very low to absent	Medium	Medium	Low
Unsold merchandise	Very low to absent	Medium	Medium	Medium

#### Source: AEDT Survey for iSURF validation – August- September 2008

### **Clothing Retail Benefit RF-ID Application Scenario Evaluation**

RFID application benefits				
	Average	Average	Average	
Retail	° °	Private label	Mono brand	Department store
	Boutiques			(Metro)
Tangible benefit				
Productivity	83%	96%	96%	83%
Int.quality	<b>58%</b>	83%	83%	50%
Ext. quality	71%	83%	85%	63%
Quick response	75%	83%	<b>92</b> %	50%
TOTAL	73%	86%	89%	65%
Not Tangible benefits				
Image	61%	100%	100%	67%
Information	70%	90%	90%	67%
Customer satisfaction	70%	78%	83%	52%
TOTAL	69%	85%	88%	59%
GRAND TOTAL	71%	86%	88%	62%
3	86 - 100%	86 - 100%	86 - 100%	86 - 100%
2,5	60 - 85%	60 - 85%	60 - 85%	60 - 85%
2	52 - 68 %	52 - 68 %	52 - 68 %	52 - 68 %
1,5	35 - 51 %	35 - 51 %	35 - 51 %	35 - 51 %
1	18 - 34%	18 - 34%	18 - 34%	18 - 34%
0,5	0 - 17%	0 - 17%	0 - 17%	0 - 17%

#### Source: AEDT Survey for iSURF validation – Piacenza elaboration



#### **Retail Benefits**



#### Information exchange and interoperability

Information exchange between production and retailers is low when they are independent from each other and it can be subject to great improvements, especially in the case of multi brand retailers.

On the contrary in the case of supply chains, where retail and production work in the same organisation, its potentiality have been already explored and it is effectively working.

### **Retail Benefits Applications**



- *logistics applications have an extended impact in all retail channels*. Top results can be found in mono brand retail chains which can exploit also not tangible benefits, indirectly coming from these applications.
- service application benefits have their highest impact where non tangible aspects of sale are considered of greatest importance, i.e. in mono brand and private label chains and in multi brand boutiques. In the case of department stores and hyper and super markets, which are not expected to provide a personalised service and which are characterized by a very high number of suppliers, the deep exploitation of these kind of benefits is harder.
- security benefits are extended to all retail channels but the global benefit is higher in the case of specialised chains because those applications which regards high level marketing politics, like parallel market control, product tracing and tracking, can be exploited only when retailer and producer are concentrated in one single organisation and player is global.

### **Retail Benefits Impact and exploitation**



 In general, specialised chains (for first mono brand ones) can exploit RF-ID technology in all its applications, with tangible and not tangible benefits. In this case RF-ID technology can reach its highest level of impact. As regards department stores and hyper and super markets, the focus on tangible benefit and cost limits the impact of RF-ID technology.

Ŝurf

### **Clothing production Conclusions**



- 1. The benefits of RF-ID technology are extensive, especially in logistics and security, and can justify the application of it to clothing production even in the more conservative scenarioof limited Tag survival in production. Benefits for strictly productive applications are strongly limited by Tag survival performances.
- 2. Like for *retail* also for *production* the adoption of RF-ID technology can have an indirect *positive impact* from the *improvement of available information through the value chain*, even in the more conservative scenario regarding only logistics and security applications. It can be the occasion to start deep information exchange between commissioning industries and sub suppliers.
- 3. When *production is* partially or totally deemed to *external* subjects benefits can be lower, especially when sub suppliers customers do not extensively adopt RF-ID technology. In this last case the its application would be anyway extended to these subjects but it could require a contribution of commissioning company, as happened in the past for bar codes.
- 4. If both producers and retailers would start adopting RF-ID technology, benefits would be boosted in a win-win scenario



- Privacy issues: consumer refusal to accept living Tags on clothing do not allow some promising security RF-ID application – future research is required: a solution is strongly expected by industries
- Tag survival in T/C production: actually it is a strong limitation to RF-ID technology extensive application – research is still ongoing

## General overview



- iSURF presentation
- General application overview
- Benefit analysis
- Cost benefit analysis





- 1. Costs are always measurable while benefits can be tangible and not tangible
- 2. Costs are mainly fixed (infrastructure), supporting different applications, while benefits are variable and directly linked to each application.

#### **Cost-Benefits Analysis - Conclusions**



- Both in retail and production tangible *benefits* of RF-ID technology *can justify its application,* even if limited to tangible and measurable results.
- An evaluation of intangible benefit is hard to translate into a measurable forecast but in some cases they are prevalent, especially where service and shopping experience is an essential part of competitive strategy, typical of European companies.
- Because of the above mentioned reasons the experimentation of RF-ID technology in the *iSURF Pilot shall cover both retail and production applications.*
- On the basis of above mentioned analysis the selected scenarios for iSURF Pilot will *cover logistics, service and security areas,* and will be focused on stock availability, sell-out inventory, production tracing, security and anti theft applications



# Thank you!