Granger Causality and Dynamic Structural Systems¹

Halbert White and Xun Lu

Department of Economics, University of California, San Diego

December 10, 2009

¹forthcoming, Journal of Financial Econometrics (\Box) , (\Box) ,









Objective

Relate Granger causality to a notion of structural causality

• Granger (G) causality

Granger, 1969 and Granger and Newbold, 1986

• Structural causality

White and Kennedy, 2008 and White and Chalak "Settable Systems," JMLR 2009

★白▶ ★御▶ ★注▶ ★注▶ 一注

Outline

- 1. Granger causality, a dynamic DGP and structural causality
- 2. Granger causality and time-series natural experiments
- 3. Granger causality and structural vector autoregressions (VARs)
- 4. Testing finite-order Granger causality
- 5. Conditional exogeneity
- 6. Applications
- 7. Conclusions

1. Granger causality, a dynamic DGP and structural causality

Granger causality

Notation

- subscript_t denotes a variable at time t.
- superscript^t denotes a variable's "t-history", (e.g., $Y^t \equiv \{Y_0, Y_1, ..., Y_t\}$).

Definition 2.1: Granger non-causality Let $\{Q_t, S_t, Y_t\}$ be a sequence of random vectors. Suppose that

$$Y_t \perp Q^t \mid Y^{t-1}$$
, $S^t \quad t=1,2, ...$.

Then Q does not G-cause Y w.r.t. S. Else Q G-causes Y w.r.t. S.

◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─ 臣

Data generating process (DGP)

Assumption A.1 (White and Kennedy, 2009)

Let $\{D_t, U_t, W_t, Y_t, Z_t; t = 0, 1, ...\}$ be a stochastic process. Further, suppose that

$$D_t \iff (D^{t-1}, U^t, W^t, Z^t),$$

$$Y_t \iff (Y^{t-1}, D^t, U^t, W^t, Z^t)$$

where, for an unknown measurable $k_y \times 1$ function q_t , $\{Y_t\}$ is structurally generated as

$$Y_t = q_t(Y^{t-1}, D^t, Z^t, U^t), \hspace{1em} t = 1, 2,$$

Data generating process (DGP)

$$Y_t = q_t(Y^{t-1}, D^t, Z^t, U^t), \quad t = 1, 2,$$

- $\{D_t, W_t, Y_t, Z_t\}$ observable; $\{U_t\}$ unobservable
- Interested in

• effects of D^t on Y_t (time-series natural experiment)

• with
$$Y_t = (Y'_{1,t}, Y'_{2,t})'$$
, effects of Y_2^{t-1} on $Y_{1,t}$ (structural VAR)

Structural causality

Definition 3.1 (Direct causality: structural VAR)

Given A.1, for given $t > 0, j \in \{1, ..., k_y\}$, and **s**, suppose

(i) for all admissible values of
$$y_{(s)}^{t-1}$$
, d^t , z^t , and u^t ,
 $y_s^{t-1} \rightarrow q_{j,t}(y^{t-1}, d^t, z^t, u^t)$ is constant in y_s^{t-1} .

Then Y_{s}^{t-1} does not directly structurally cause $Y_{j,t}$: $Y_{s}^{t-1} \not\Rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}} Y_{j,t}$

Else Y_{s}^{t-1} directly structurally causes $Y_{j,t}: Y_{s}^{t-1} \stackrel{d}{\Rightarrow}_{\mathcal{S}} Y_{j,t}$

Notation:

y_s^{t-1}: sub-vector of y^{t-1} with elements indexed by non-empty set s ⊆ {1, ..., k_y} × {0, ..., t − 1}
y_(s)^{t-1}: sub-vector of y^{t-1} with elements of s excluded.

Structural causality

Definition 3.1 (Direct causality: time-series natural experiment)

Given A.1, for given t > 0, $j \in \{1, ..., k_y\}$, and **s**, suppose that

(ii) for all admissible values of
$$y^{t-1}$$
, $d_{(s)}^t$, z^t , and u^t ,
 $d_s^t \rightarrow q_{j,t}(y^{t-1}, d^t, z^t, u^t)$ is constant in d_s^t .

Then D_{s}^{t} does not directly structurally cause Y_{jt} : $D_{s}^{t} \stackrel{d}{\Rightarrow}_{S} Y_{j,t}$ Else D_{s}^{t} directly structurally causes $Y_{j,t}$: $D_{s}^{t} \stackrel{d}{\Rightarrow}_{S} Y_{j,t}$

Notation:

- d_{s}^{t} : sub-vector of d^{t} with elements indexed by non-empty set $\mathbf{s} \subseteq \{1, ..., k_{d}\} \times \{0, ..., t\}$
- $d_{(\mathbf{s})}^t$: sub-vector of d^t with the elements of \mathbf{s} excluded

Structural causality

Recursive substitution of

$$Y_t = q_t(Y^{t-1}, D^t, Z^t, U^t), \hspace{1em} t=1,2,....$$

yields

$$Y_t = r_t(Y_0, D^t, Z^t, U^t), \quad t = 1, 2, ...,$$

Definition 3.2 (Total causality: time-series natural experiment)

Given A.1, suppose for all admissible values of y_0, z^t , and u^t , $d^t \rightarrow r_t(y_0, d^t, z^t, u^t)$ is constant in d^t .

Then D^t does not structurally cause Y_t : $D^t \not\Rightarrow_S Y_t$ Else D^t structurally causes Y_t : $D^t \Rightarrow_S Y_t$

2. Granger causality and time-series natural experiments

G-causality, conditional exogeneity, and direct causality

• Let
$$X_t \equiv (W_t, Z_t)$$
, $t = 0, 1, ...$

Assumption A.2(*a*) (conditional exogeneity)

$$D^{t} \perp U^{t} | Y^{t-1}, X^{t}, t = 1, 2,$$

Proposition 4.1 Let A.1 and A.2(a) hold. If $D^t \not\Rightarrow^d_S Y_t$, t = 1, 2, ..., then D does not G-cause Y w.r.t. X.

G-causality, conditional exogeneity, and direct causality

Definition 4.3

Suppose A.1 holds and that for each $y \in supp(Y_t)$ there exists a measurable mapping $(y^{t-1}, x^t) \rightarrow f_{t,y}(y^{t-1}, x^t)$ such that w.p.1

$$\int 1\{q_t(Y^{t-1}, D^t, Z^t, u^t) < y\} \ dF_t(u^t \mid Y^{t-1}, X^t) = f_{t,y}(Y^{t-1}, X^t)$$

Then D^t does not directly cause Y_t w.p.1 w.r.t. (Y^{t-1}, X^t) : $D^t \neq^d_{\mathcal{S}(Y^{t-1}, X^t)} Y_t.$

Else D^t directly causes Y_t with pos. prob. w.r.t. (Y^{t-1}, X^t) : $D^t \stackrel{d}{\Rightarrow}_{\mathcal{S}(Y^{t-1}, X^t)} Y_t.$ G-causality, conditional exogeneity, and direct causality

Theorem 4.4

Let A.1 and A.2(a) hold. Then $D^t \not\Rightarrow^d_{\mathcal{S}(Y^{t-1},X^t)} Y_t$, t = 1, 2, ..., if and only if D does not G-cause Y w.r.t. X.

Notation: finite histories $\mathbf{Y}_{t-1} \equiv (Y_{t-\ell}, ..., Y_{t-1})$ and $\mathbf{Q}_t \equiv (Q_{t-k}, ..., Q_t)$.

Definition 4.8 Let $\{Q_t, S_t, Y_t\}$ be a sequence of random variables, and let $k \ge 0$ and $\ell \ge 1$ be given finite integers. Suppose

$$Y_t \perp \mathbf{Q}_t \mid \mathbf{Y}_{t-1}$$
 , S_t , $t = 1, 2, ...$

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト - ヨ

Then **Q** does not finite-order G-cause Y w.r.t. S. Else **Q** finite-order G-causes Y w.r.t. S.

Notation: finite histories $\mathbf{D}_t \equiv (D_{t-k}, ..., D_t), \ \mathbf{Z}_t \equiv (Z_{t-m}, ..., Z_t), \ \mathbf{X}_t \equiv (X_{t-\tau_1}, ..., X_{t+\tau_2})$

Assumption B.1 A.1 holds, and for $k, \ell, m \in \mathbb{N}, \ell \geq 1$,

$$Y_t = q_t(\mathbf{Y}_{t-1}, \mathbf{D}_t, \mathbf{Z}_t, U_t), t = 1, 2, ...,$$

Assumption B.2 For k, ℓ , and m as in B.1 and for $\tau_1 \ge m$, $\tau_2 \ge 0$, suppose

$$\mathbf{D}_t \perp U_t \mid \mathbf{Y}_{t-1}, \mathbf{X}_t, t = 1, ..., T - \tau_2.$$

Definition 4.9

Suppose B.1 holds and that for given $\tau_1 \ge m, \tau_2 \ge 0$ and for each $y \in supp(Y_t)$ there exists a $\sigma(\mathbf{Y}_{t-1}, \mathbf{X}_t)$ -measurable version of

$$\int \mathbb{1}\{q_t(\mathbf{Y}_{t-1}, \mathbf{D}_t, \mathbf{Z}_t, u_t) < y\} \ dF_t(u_t \mid \mathbf{Y}_{t-1}, \mathbf{X}_t).$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ 二圖 - 例

 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{Then } \mathbf{D}_t \stackrel{d}{\not\Rightarrow}_{\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{Y}_{t-1},\mathbf{X}_t)} Y_t \; (\textit{direct non-causality} - \sigma(\mathbf{Y}_{t-1},\mathbf{X}_t) \\ w.p.1). \\ \textit{Else } \mathbf{D}_t \stackrel{d}{\Rightarrow}_{\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{Y}_{t-1},\mathbf{X}_t)} Y_t. \end{array}$

Theorem 4.10 Let B.1 and B.2 hold. Then $\mathbf{D}_t \not\Rightarrow^d_{\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{Y}_{t-1},\mathbf{X}_t)} Y_t$, $t = 1, ..., T - \tau_2$, if and only if

 $Y_t \perp \mathbf{D}_t \mid \mathbf{Y}_{t-1}, \mathbf{X}_t, \quad t = 1, ..., T - \tau_2,$

i.e., **D** does not finite-order G-cause Y w.r.t. **X**.

3. Granger causality and structural VARs

- Special case of A.1: structural VARs (set $k_d = 0$)
- The DGP becomes

$$Y_t = q_t \left(Y^{t-1}, Z^t, U^t
ight)$$
 .

• Letting $Y_t \equiv (Y'_{1,t}, Y'_{2,t})'$,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} Y_{1,t} &=& q_{1,t} \left(Y_1^{t-1}, Y_2^{t-1}, Z^t, U^t \right) \\ Y_{2,t} &=& q_{2,t} (Y_1^{t-1}, Y_2^{t-1}, Z^t, U^t). \end{array}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● □

20/35

Notation:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Y}_{1,t-1} &\equiv (Y_{1,t-\ell}, ..., Y_{1,t-1}), \ \mathbf{Y}_{2,t-1} &\equiv (Y_{2,t-\ell}, ..., Y_{2,t-1}), \\ \mathbf{Z}_t &\equiv (Z_{t-m}, ..., Z_t), \ \text{and} \ \mathbf{X}_t &\equiv (X_{t-\tau_1}, ..., X_{t+\tau_2}). \end{aligned}$$

Assumption C.1 A.1 holds, and for $\ell, m, \in \mathbb{N}, \ell \ge 1$, suppose that $Y_t = q_t(\mathbf{Y}_{t-1}, \mathbf{Z}_t, U_t), t = 1, 2, ...,$ such that, with $Y_t \equiv (Y'_{1,t}, Y'_{2,t})'$ and $U_t \equiv (U'_{1,t}, U'_{2,t})'$,

$$Y_{1,t} = q_{1,t}(\mathbf{Y}_{t-1}, \mathbf{Z}_t, U_{1,t})$$
 $Y_{2,t} = q_{2,t}(\mathbf{Y}_{t-1}, \mathbf{Z}_t, U_{2,t}).$

Assumption C.2 For ℓ and m as in C.1 and for $\tau_1 \ge m, \tau_2 \ge 0$, suppose that

$$\mathbf{Y}_{2,t-1} \perp U_{1t} \mid \mathbf{Y}_{1,t-1}, \mathbf{X}_t, t = 1, ..., T - \tau_2.$$

Definition 5.2

Suppose C.1 holds and that for given $\tau_1 \ge m, \tau_2 \ge 0$ and for each $y \in supp(Y_{1,t})$ there exists a $\sigma(\mathbf{Y}_{1,t-1}, \mathbf{X}_t)$ -measurable version of

$$\int 1\{q_{1,t}(\mathbf{Y}_{t-1}, \mathbf{Z}_t, u_{1,t}) < y\} \ dF_{1,t}(u_{1,t} \mid \mathbf{Y}_{1,t-1}, \mathbf{X}_t)$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Then } \mathbf{Y}_{2,t-1} \stackrel{d}{\not\Rightarrow}_{\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{Y}_{1,t-1},\mathbf{X}_t)} Y_{1,t} \text{ (direct non-causality} - \sigma(\mathbf{Y}_{1,t-1},\mathbf{X}_t) \\ \mathbf{X}_t) \text{ w.p.1).} \\ \text{Else } \mathbf{Y}_{2,t-1} \stackrel{d}{\Rightarrow}_{\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{Y}_{1,t-1},\mathbf{X}_t)} Y_{1,t}. \end{array}$

Theorem 5.3

Let C.1 and C.2 hold. Then $\mathbf{Y}_{2,t-1} \stackrel{d}{\not\Rightarrow}_{\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{Y}_{1,t-1},\mathbf{X}_t)} Y_{1,t}$, $t = 1, ..., T - \tau_2$, if and only if

 $Y_{1,t} \perp \mathbf{Y}_{2,t-1} \mid \mathbf{Y}_{1,t-1}, \mathbf{X}_t, \quad t = 1, ..., T - \tau_2,$

i.e., \mathbf{Y}_2 does not finite-order G-cause Y_1 w.r.t. \mathbf{X} .

<□ > < ② > < ≧ > < ≧ > ≤ ≧ > ○ Q (?) 23/35

4. Testing finite-order Granger causality

Testing finite-order Granger causality

Test : $Y_t \perp Q_t \mid Y_{t-1}, S_t$.

• Test conditional mean independence with linear regression

$$Y_t = \alpha_0 + Y_{t-1}\rho_0 + Q'_t\beta_0 + S'_t\beta_1 + \varepsilon_t.$$

• Test conditional mean independence with neural nets

$$egin{aligned} Y_t &= lpha_0 + Y_{t-1}
ho_0 + Q_t'eta_0 + S_t'eta_1 \ &+ \sum_{j=1}^r \psi(Y_{t-1}\gamma_{0,j} + S_t'\gamma_j)eta_{j+1} + arepsilon_t. \end{aligned}$$

Test conditional independence with nonlinear transforms

$$\psi_{y,1}(Y_t) = \alpha_0 + \psi_{y,2}(Y_{t-1})\rho_0 + \psi_q(Q_t)'\beta_0 + S'_t\beta_1 + \sum_{j=1}^r \psi(Y_{t-1}\gamma_{0,j} + S'_t\gamma_j)\beta_{j+1} + \eta_t.$$

25/35

5. Conditional exogeneity

<ロト < 部ト < 目ト < 目ト 目 のへの 26/35

The crucial role of conditional exogeneity

Proposition 7.1 Given C.1, suppose $\mathbf{Y}_{2,t-1} \not\Rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}} Y_{1,t}$, t = 1, 2, If C.2 (cond exog) does not hold, then for each t there exists $q_{1,t}$ such that $Y_{1,t} \perp \mathbf{Y}_{2,t-1} \mid \mathbf{Y}_{1,t-1}, \mathbf{X}_t$ does not hold.

Corollary 7.2 Given C.1 with $\mathbf{Y}_{2,t-1} \stackrel{d}{\neq}_{\mathcal{S}} Y_{1,t}$, t = 1, 2, ..., suppose that $q_{1,t}$ is invertible in the sense that

$$Y_{1,t} = q_{1,t}(\mathbf{Y}_{1,t-1}, \mathbf{Z}_t, U_{1,t})$$

implies the existence of $\xi_{1,t}$ such that

$$U_{1,t} = \xi_{1,t}(\mathbf{Y}_{1,t-1}, \mathbf{Z}_t, Y_{1,t}), t = 1, 2,$$

If C.2 fails, then $Y_{1,t} \perp \mathbf{Y}_{2,t-1} \mid \mathbf{Y}_{1,t-1}, \mathbf{X}_t$ fails, t = 1, 2, ...

Separability and finite-order conditional exogeneity Proposition 7.3

Given C.1, suppose that $E(Y_{1,t}) < \infty$ and

$$q_{1,t}(\mathbf{Y}_{t-1}, \mathbf{Z}_t, U_{1,t}) = \zeta_t(\mathbf{Y}_{t-1}, \mathbf{Z}_t) + v_t(\mathbf{Y}_{1,t-1}, \mathbf{Z}_t, U_{1,t}),$$

where ζ_t and v_t are unknown measurable functions. Let

$$\varepsilon_t \equiv Y_{1,t} - E(Y_{1,t} | \mathbf{Y}_{t-1}, \mathbf{X}_t).$$

If C.2 holds, then

$$\varepsilon_t = v_t(\mathbf{Y}_{1,t-1}, \mathbf{Z}_t, U_{1,t}) - E(v_t(\mathbf{Y}_{1,t-1}, \mathbf{Z}_t, U_{1,t}) | \mathbf{Y}_{1,t-1}, \mathbf{X}_t),$$

$$E(arepsilon_t | \mathbf{Y}_{t-1}, \mathbf{X}_t) = E(arepsilon_t | \mathbf{Y}_{1,t-1}, \mathbf{X}_t) = 0,$$

and

$$\mathbf{Y}_{2,t-1} \perp \varepsilon_t \mid \mathbf{Y}_{1,t-1}, \mathbf{X}_t. \tag{*}$$

An indirect test for structural causality

1. Reject structural non-causality if either:

(*i*) the conditional exogeneity test *fails to reject* and the G-causality test *rejects*; or

(*ii*) the conditional exogeneity test *rejects* and the G-causality test *fails to reject*.

- 2. Fail to reject structural non-causality if the conditional exogeneity and Granger non-causality tests both fail to reject;
- 3. *Make no decision* as to structural non-causality if the conditional exogeneity and Granger non-causality tests both *reject*.

6. Applications

- Crude oil prices and gasoline prices (White and Kennedy, 2008)
- Monetary policy and industrial production (Angrist and Kuersteiner, 2004)
- Economic announcements and stock returns (Flannery and Protopapadakis, 2002)

- Decompose macro announcements into news and expected changes:
 - Announcements: A_t
 - Announcement expectations: A_t^e
 - Decomposition:

$$A_t - A_{t-1} = (A_t - A_t^e) + (A_t^e - A_{t-1}) = Z_t + D_t$$

- News: $Z_t = A_t A_t^e$
- Expected change: $D_t = A_t^e A_{t-1}$
- A_t : eight major macro announcements:
 - (1) real GDP (advanced) (2) core CPI
 - (3) core PPI (4) unemployment rate
 - (5) new home sales (6) nonfarm payroll employment
 - (7) consumer confidence (8) capacity utilization rate
- A_t^e : Money Market Service survey data.

- *Y_t* : CRSP value-weighted NYSE-AMEX-NASDAQ index daily returns
- W_t : drivers of D_t and responses to unobservable causes
 - (1) three month T-Bill yield
 - (2) term structure premium
 - (3) corporate bond premium
 - (4) daily change in the Index of the Foreign Exchange Value of the Dollar
 - (5) daily change in crude oil price

These variables represent macro fundamentals.

• Covariates :
$$X_t = (Z_t, W_t)$$
.

• Test retrospective conditional exogeneity (CE) by testing

$$m{D}_t \perp arepsilon_t \mid Y_{t-1}$$
 , $m{X}_t$

where

$$\varepsilon_t = Y_t - E(Y_t | D_t, Y_{t-1}, \mathbf{X}_t)$$

• Test finite-order retrospective G non-causality (GN) by testing

$$Y_t \perp D_t \mid Y_{t-1}, \mathbf{X}_t$$

Results

- Fail to reject GN for all $\tau = 0, \pm 1, ..., \pm 8$.
- Fail to reject CE for all $\tau = 0, \pm 1, ..., \pm 8$.
- Suggests no structural effects of expected macro announcements on stock returns.
- Consistent with both weak market efficiency and absence of other distributional impacts.
- Non-retrospective GN and CE tests (conditioning on lags only) yield exhibit identical pattern.

(日)

7. Conclusions

This paper

- Links G non-causality with structural non-causality
- Links G non-causality with conditional exogeneity
- Provides explicit guidance as to how to choose S so G non-causality gives structural insight
- Provides new tests of *G* non-causality, conditional exogeneity, and structural non-causality

35/35