A Three-Layered Approach to Facade Parsing

¹ESAT-PSI/VISICS, KU Leuven

²Computer Vision Laboratory, ETH Zurich





Results And Evaluation

We aim to improve the state of the art in facade parsing

From an image ...



... to its labeling





EL OQO

Results And Evaluation

Summary O

We do not use shape grammars!

• State-of-the-art methods in facade parsing assume that an appropriate shape grammar is available [1].



• We do not use shape grammars as priors, and still achieve superior performance.

 Teboul, Kokkinos, Simon, Koutsourakis, Paragios: "Shape grammar parsing via Reinforcement Learning", CVPR, (2011).

Results And Evaluation

A Three-Layered Approach



Results And Evaluation

Bottom layer - segments



Bottom Layer : RNN for Semantic Segmentation

Image preparation

- We segment the image using mean-shift.
- The appearance (color and texture), geometry, and location features are extracted for each region.
 - STAIR Vision Library
- This results in 225-dimensional feature vectors.



Introduction Our Approach

Results And Evaluation

Recursive Neural Network



[6] Socher et al., "Parsing Natural Scenes and Natural Language with Recursive Neural Networks", ICML (2011). 😑 🔊

Introduction 00 Our Approach

Results And Evaluation

Bottom Layer : RNN for Semantic Segmentation

Bottom Layer Output





イロト イポト イヨト イヨ

A Three-Layered Approach to Facade Parsing

ELE DQA

troduction 0 Our Approach

Results And Evaluation

Middle Layer : Introducting Objects Through Detectors

Middle layer - objects



Introduction 00 Our Approach

Results And Evaluation

Middle Layer : Introducting Objects Through Detectors

Window and Door Detection



EL OQO

Middle Layer : Introducting Objects Through Detectors

Incorporating Detector Knowledge With MRFs

Energy minimization with graph cuts

Potts model

$$E(l) = \sum_{x_i} \phi_s(l_i \mid x_i) + \lambda \sum_{x_i} \sum_{x_j \sim x_i} \phi_p(l_i, l_j \mid x_i, x_j)$$
(1)

$$\phi_{P}\left(l_{i},l_{j}\mid x_{i},x_{j}
ight)=egin{cases} 0, & ext{if } l_{i}=l_{j}\ 1, & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

• Unary potentials

$$\phi_{s}\left(l_{i} \mid x_{i}\right) = -\log p\left(l_{i} \mid RNN(x_{i})\right) - \sum_{k} \alpha_{k} \log p\left(l_{i} \mid D_{k}(x_{i})\right)$$
(3)

A Three-Layered Approach to Facade Parsing

Martinović et al.

▲ ■ ▶ ▲ ■ ▶ ■ ■ ■ ● ● ● ●

< 1 →

ntroduction Our Approach

Results And Evaluation

Summary O

Middle Layer : Introducting Objects Through Detectors

Incorporating Detector Knowledge With MRFs

Energy minimization with graph cuts

• Potts model

$$E(l) = \sum_{x_i} \phi_s(l_i \mid x_i) + \lambda \sum_{x_i} \sum_{x_j \sim x_i} \phi_p(l_i, l_j \mid x_i, x_j)$$
(1)

Pairwise potentials

$$\phi_{p}\left(l_{i}, l_{j} \mid x_{i}, x_{j}\right) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } l_{i} = l_{j} \\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(2)

• Unary potentials

$$\phi_s(l_i \mid x_i) = -\log p(l_i \mid RNN(x_i)) - \sum_k \alpha_k \log p(l_i \mid D_k(x_i))$$
(3)

★ ■ ▶ ★ ■ ▶ ■ ■ ■ ● ● ● ●

< 1 →

ntroduction Our Approach

Results And Evaluation

Summary O

Middle Layer : Introducting Objects Through Detectors

Incorporating Detector Knowledge With MRFs

Energy minimization with graph cuts

• Potts model

$$E(l) = \sum_{x_i} \phi_s(l_i \mid x_i) + \lambda \sum_{x_i} \sum_{x_j \sim x_i} \phi_p(l_i, l_j \mid x_i, x_j)$$
(1)

Pairwise potentials

$$\phi_{p}(l_{i}, l_{j} \mid x_{i}, x_{j}) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } l_{i} = l_{j} \\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(2)

• Unary potentials

$$\phi_{s}\left(l_{i} \mid x_{i}\right) = -\log p\left(l_{i} \mid RNN(x_{i})\right) - \sum_{k} \alpha_{k} \log p\left(l_{i} \mid D_{k}(x_{i})\right)$$
(3)

A Three-Layered Approach to Facade Parsing

Martinović et al.

▲ ■ ▶ ▲ ■ ▶ ■ ■ ■ ● ● ● ●

Introduction 00 Our Approach

Results And Evaluation

Middle Layer : Introducting Objects Through Detectors

From Bottom To Middle Layer Output





Introduction

Our Approach

Results And Evaluation

Middle Layer : Introducting Objects Through Detectors

Top layer - architectural elements



Weak Architectural Principles

Our Approach

- Soft constraints instead of fixed grammar structure
- Only enforced if there is enough image support

Principle	Alter	Add	Remove
Vertical and horizontal (non)alignment	\checkmark	-	-
Window similarity	-	\checkmark	-
Facade symmetry	-	\checkmark	\checkmark
Element co-occurence	-	\checkmark	\checkmark
Equal width/height in a row or column	\checkmark	-	-
Door hypothesis	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Vertical region order	\checkmark	-	-

Introduction

Our Approach

Results And Evaluation

Top Layer : Weak Architectural Principles

From Middle To Top Layer Output





Ecole Centrale Paris Facades Database [2]

• Contains 104 rectified and cropped Haussmannian facades.



[2] Teboul, O. , "Ecole Centrale Paris Facades Database" (2010).

Ecole Centrale Paris Facades Database

- Original labeling is plausible, but imprecise.
- We provide more precise annotations (available online).







Ecole Centrale Paris Facades Database

- Original labeling is plausible, but imprecise.
- We provide more precise annotations (available online).



New annotation



Results - ECP Dataset

Class	Baseline[4]	Layer 1	Layer 2	Layer 3
window	62	62	69	75
wall	82	91	93	88
balcony	58	74	71	70
door	47	43	60	67
roof	66	70	73	74
sky	95	91	91	97
shop	88	79	86	93
Pixel acc.	74.71	82.63	85.06	84.17

[4] Teboul, O., "Shape Grammar Parsing: Application to Image-based Modeling" (2011).

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > .

Results And Evaluation

Pixel Accuracy vs Visual Effect

Pixel accuracy: 89.48%



Pixel accuracy: 87.82%



Results - ECP Dataset

Class	Baseline[4]	Layer 1	Layer 2	Layer 3
window	62	62	69	75
wall	82	91	93	88
balcony	58	74	71	70
door	47	43	60	67
roof	66	70	73	74
sky	95	91	91	97
shop	88	79	86	93
Pixel acc.	74.71	82.63	85.06	84.17
Class acc.	71.14	72.86	77.46	80.71

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > .

EL OQA

Results And Evaluation

Example Outputs - ECP Dataset



ELE DQA

Results And Evaluation

eTRIMS Database [3]

- Contains 60 images of various building styles.
- We perform automatic rectification.



building 🔄 car 📕 door 📕 pavement 📗 road 📃 sky 🛃 vegetation 🔤 window

[3] Korč, F. and Förstner, W., "eTRIMS Image Database for Interpreting Images of Man-Made Scenes" (2009).

Results And Evaluation

Example Outputs - eTRIMS Dataset



Results And Evaluation

Summary 0

Example Outputs - Procedural Models



• • = • • = •

ELE DQA

Summary

- We developed a novel three-layer approach for facade parsing.
- We significantly outperform the state-of-the-art on two facade parsing datasets.
- We utilize the concept of weak architectural knowledge.
- Outlook
 - So far, the inferred procedural models are instance-specific.
 - We want to generalize between buildings of the same style.
 - As we no longer depend on grammars as priors, can we instead induce them from the data?

Questions?



Anđelo Martinović

http://homes.esat.kuleuven.be/~amartino/

Available online: updated ECP annotations, paper manuscript, supplementary material, spotlight video







Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich





A Three-Layered Approach to Facade Parsing

Martinović et al.

References

- [1] Teboul, O. and Kokkinos, I. and Simon, L. and Koutsourakis, P. and Paragios, N., "Shape grammar parsing via Reinforcement Learning" (2011).
- [2] Teboul, O. , "Ecole Centrale Paris Facades Database" (2010).
- [3] Korč, F. and Förstner, W., "eTRIMS Image Database for Interpreting Images of Man-Made Scenes" (2009).
- [4] Teboul, O., "Shape Grammar Parsing: Application to Image-based Modeling" (2011).
- [5] Yang, M.Y. and Förstner, W. , "Regionwise Classification of Building Facade Images", Springer (2011).
- [6]Socher et al., "Parsing Natural Scenes and Natural Language with Recursive Neural Networks", ICML (2011). ≥ > > > >

Results - eTRIMS Dataset

The results for eTrims were obtained by automatically rectifying both the input images and the ground truth labelings. Our results were computed in the rectified space. As previous work did not perform any rectification, we repeated the evaluation by "unrectifying" our output labeling and comparing to the original ground truth. The results obtained in this way are actually better by ~1% than reported in the paper.

Class	Baseline[5]	Layer 1	Layer 2	Layer 3
building	71	88	91	87
car	35	69	69	69
door	16	25	18	19
pavement	22	34	33	34
road	35	56	55	56
sky	78	94	93	94
vegetation	66	89	89	88
window	75	71	74	79
Pixel acc.	65.8	81.87	83.16	81.63
Class acc.	49.75	65.85	65.4	65.6