Frequent Regular Itemset Mining

Salvatore Ruggieri

Dipartimento di Informatica, Università di Pisa, Largo B. Pontecorvo 3, 56127 Pisa, Italy ruggieri@di.unipi.it

ACM SIGKDD 2010 - Washington DC, USA

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Concise representations of frequent itemsets:

- alleviate the problems due to extracting, storing and post-processing a huge amount of frequent patterns.
 - closed, free (+ negative border), non-derivable, disjunctive, ...

Concise representations of frequent itemsets:

- alleviate the problems due to extracting, storing and post-processing a huge amount of frequent patterns.
 - closed, free (+ negative border), non-derivable, disjunctive, ...

▲日 ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● ● ● ●

through a compact, lossless representation, where itemsets whose support is derivable from others are pruned away

Concise representations of frequent itemsets:

- alleviate the problems due to extracting, storing and post-processing a huge amount of frequent patterns.
 - closed, free (+ negative border), non-derivable, disjunctive, ...

▲日 ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● ● ● ●

- through a compact, lossless representation, where itemsets whose support is derivable from others are pruned away
- at the cost of sacrificing readability and direct interpretability by a data analyst!

tid	transaction
1	abcde
2	abcd
3	Ь
4	ac

cover	support	closed	free
{1,2}	2	abcd	d ba bc
$\{1, 2, 3\}$	3	b	b
$\{1, 2, 4\}$	3	ас	аc



tid	transaction
1	abcde
2	abcd
3	Ь
4	ac

cover	support	closed	free
{1,2}	2	abcd	d ba bc
$\{1, 2, 3\}$	3	b	b
$\{1, 2, 4\}$	3	ас	аc



What itemsets are represented by *abcd*?

tid	transaction
1	abcde
2	abcd
3	Ь
4	ac

cover	support	closed	free
{1,2}	2	abcd	d ba bc
$\{1, 2, 3\}$	3	b	b
$\{1, 2, 4\}$	3	ас	аc

▲口 → ▲圖 → ▲ 臣 → ▲ 臣 → □ 臣 □



What itemsets are represented by *abcd*?

 $\mathsf{Pow}(\mathsf{abcd}) \setminus \bigcup_{Y \in \mathcal{CS}, \mathsf{support}(Y) > \mathsf{support}(\mathsf{abcd})} \mathsf{Pow}(Y)$

Contribution

Problem: itemsets represented by a closed itemset (its semantics) are not derivable from it in isolation.

Contribution

Problem: itemsets represented by a closed itemset (its semantics) are not derivable from it in isolation.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Contribution: an extension of itemsets, called regular, with an immediate semantics and interpretability, and a conciseness comparable to closed itemsets.

Contribution

Problem: itemsets represented by a closed itemset (its semantics) are not derivable from it in isolation.

Contribution: an extension of itemsets, called regular, with an immediate semantics and interpretability, and a conciseness comparable to closed itemsets.



Basic definitions

set of items $\ensuremath{\mathcal{I}}$

- transaction (tid, X) with $X \subseteq \mathcal{I}$
- $cover(I) = \{tid \mid (tid, X) \in D, X \subseteq I\}$
- support(I) = |cover(I)|.
- frequent itemsets $\mathcal{F} = \{X \subseteq \mathcal{I} \mid support(X) \geq minsupp\}$.

▲日 ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● ● ● ●

Basic definitions

set of items $\ensuremath{\mathcal{I}}$

- transaction (tid, X) with $X \subseteq \mathcal{I}$
- $cover(I) = \{tid \mid (tid, X) \in D, X \subseteq I\}$
- support(I) = |cover(I)|.
- frequent itemsets $\mathcal{F} = \{X \subseteq \mathcal{I} \mid support(X) \geq minsupp\}$.

 θ -equivalence

- relation: $X\theta Y$ if cover(X) = cover(Y).
- classes: $[X] = \{Y \subseteq \mathcal{I} \mid X\theta Y\}.$
- closed itemsets $Y \in CS$ iff $\{Y\} = max[X]$ for some X.

▲日 ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● ● ● ●

• free itemsets $Y \in \mathcal{FS}$ iff $Y \in min[X]$ for some X.

Extended itemsets: syntax

The set \mathcal{J} of *extended items* is defined as follows:

$$E ::= a \mid a? \mid \{a_1, \ldots, a_h\}^* \mid \{a_1, \ldots, a_k\}^+$$

where *a*, a_i 's are items, $h \ge 0$ and k > 0.

An extended itemset is a subset $R \subseteq \mathcal{J}$.

Ex. The intended meaning of $ab\{cd\}^*$ is

 $\{ab, abc, abd, abcd\}$

The intended meaning of ab? $\{cd\}^+$ is

 $\{ac, ad, acd, abc, abd, abcd\}$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ●

Extended itemsets: semantics

Semantics $s_e() : \mathcal{J} \to Pow(Pow(\mathcal{I}))$ for extended items :

$$s_e(a) = \{\{a\}\}\$$

$$s_e(a?) = \{\{a\}, \emptyset\}\$$

$$s_e(\{a_1, \dots, a_h\}^*) = \{X \mid X \subseteq \{a_1, \dots, a_h\}\}\$$

$$s_e(\{a_1, \dots, a_k\}^+) = \{X \mid X \subseteq \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}, X \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Semantics $s() : Pow(\mathcal{J}) \rightarrow Pow(Pow(\mathcal{I}))$ for extended itemsets:

$$s(e_1,...,e_n) = \{ \cup_{i=1...n} X_i \mid X_i \in s(e_i), i = 1...n \}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Extended itemsets: semantics

Semantics $s_e() : \mathcal{J} \to Pow(Pow(\mathcal{I}))$ for extended items :

$$s_e(a) = \{\{a\}\}\$$

$$s_e(a?) = \{\{a\}, \emptyset\}\$$

$$s_e(\{a_1, \dots, a_h\}^*) = \{X \mid X \subseteq \{a_1, \dots, a_h\}\}\$$

$$s_e(\{a_1, \dots, a_k\}^+) = \{X \mid X \subseteq \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}, X \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Semantics $s() : Pow(\mathcal{J}) \rightarrow Pow(Pow(\mathcal{I}))$ for extended itemsets:

$$s(e_1,\ldots,e_n)=\{\cup_{i=1\ldots n}X_i\mid X_i\in s(e_i), i=1\ldots n\}.$$

s() is and-compositional: the meaning of an extended itemset can be obtained by looking (only) at the meaning of its items!

Ex. Let $\mathcal{D} = \{(1, ab), (2, a)\}$, and R = ab?. We have: $s(R) = \{a, ab\}$ and

$$cover(a) = \{1, 2\} \neq \{1\} = cover(ab)$$

Ex. Let $\mathcal{D} = \{(1, ab), (2, a)\}$, and R = ab?. We have: $s(R) = \{a, ab\}$ and

$$cover(a) = \{1, 2\} \neq \{1\} = cover(ab)$$

Extended itemsets are relevant to the FIM problem only when they denote itemsets with a common cover.

Ex. Let $\mathcal{D} = \{(1, ab), (2, a)\}$, and R = ab?. We have: $s(R) = \{a, ab\}$ and

$$cover(a) = \{1, 2\} \neq \{1\} = cover(ab)$$

Extended itemsets are relevant to the FIM problem only when they denote itemsets with a common cover.

▲日 ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● ● ● ●

Def. An extended itemset R is said *regular* if for every $X, Y \in s(R)$ we have that cover(X) = cover(Y).

Ex. Let $\mathcal{D} = \{(1, ab), (2, a)\}$, and R = ab?. We have: $s(R) = \{a, ab\}$ and

$$cover(a) = \{1, 2\} \neq \{1\} = cover(ab)$$

Extended itemsets are relevant to the FIM problem only when they denote itemsets with a common cover.

Def. An extended itemset R is said *regular* if for every $X, Y \in s(R)$ we have that cover(X) = cover(Y).

Other equivalent formulations:

- if $s(R) \subseteq [X]$ for some itemset X,
- if for every $X, Y \in s(R)$, support(X) = support(Y).

For a regular itemset R, we define

cover(R) = cover(X) and support(R) = |cover(R)|

where X is any element in s(R).

For a regular itemset R, we define

cover(R) = cover(X) and support(R) = |cover(R)|where X is any element in s(R). **Def.** A finite set of regular itemsets \mathcal{R} is a concise repr. of \mathcal{F} if:

(a)
$$\cup_{R \in \mathcal{R}} s(R) = \mathcal{F}$$
, and
(b) for every pair $R_1 \neq R_2 \in \mathcal{R}$, $s(R_1) \cap s(R_2) = \emptyset$.

For a regular itemset R, we define

cover(R) = cover(X) and support(R) = |cover(R)|where X is any element in s(R). **Def** A finite set of regular itemsets \mathcal{P} is a concise repr. of \mathcal{F}

Def. A finite set of regular itemsets \mathcal{R} is a concise repr. of \mathcal{F} if: (a) $\cup_{R \in \mathcal{R}} s(R) = \mathcal{F}$, and (b) for every pair $R_1 \neq R_2 \in \mathcal{R}$, $s(R_1) \cap s(R_2) = \emptyset$. How large is a concise representation \mathcal{R} ?

For a regular itemset R, we define

cover(R) = cover(X) and support(R) = |cover(R)|where X is any element in s(R).

Def. A finite set of regular itemsets \mathcal{R} is a concise repr. of \mathcal{F} if: (a) $\cup_{R \in \mathcal{R}} s(R) = \mathcal{F}$, and (b) for every pair $R_1 \neq R_2 \in \mathcal{R}$, $s(R_1) \cap s(R_2) = \emptyset$. How large is a concise representation \mathcal{R} ?

 $|\mathcal{CS}| \leq |\mathcal{R}|$, but, in practice, $|\mathcal{CS}| \approx |\mathcal{R}|$









The (semantics of the) extended itemsets

 $d\{abc\}^{\star}$ $ba\{cd\}^{\star}$ $bc\{ad\}^{\star}$

are not pair-wise disjoint!

The (semantics of the) extended itemsets

 $d{abc}^*$ $ba{cd}^*$ $bc{ad}^*$

are not pair-wise disjoint!

We would like to express

 $s(ba\{cd\}^{\star}) \setminus s(d\{abc\}^{\star})$

and

$$s(bc{ad}^*) \setminus (s(d{abc}^*) \cup s(bc{ad}^*))$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 少へ⊙

Non-compositional itemsets

Non-compositional items are extended items plus:

 $E ::= \{a_1, \ldots, a_h\}^-$

where $h \ge 0$, with the following semantics:

$$s_e(\{a_1,\ldots,a_h\}^-) = \{X \mid X \subset \{a_1,\ldots,a_h\}\}.$$

Since we do expect $b \notin s(b\{b\}^-)$, we define:

$$s'(e_1,\ldots,e_n) = \{X \in s(e_1,\ldots,e_n) \mid X \cap Y \subset Y \$$
for every e_i of the form $Y^-\}.$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 少へ⊙

s'() is not and-compositional.

Let *C* be a closed itemset, and X_1, \ldots, X_n be its free itemsets. A concise representation of [C] is provided by

$$N_i = X_i, X_1^-, \ldots, X_{i-1}^-, (C \setminus X_i)^*$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回 のへで

for $i = 1 \dots n$.

Let *C* be a closed itemset, and X_1, \ldots, X_n be its free itemsets. A concise representation of [C] is provided by

$$N_i = X_i, X_1^-, \ldots, X_{i-1}^-, (C \setminus X_i)^*$$

for $i = 1 \dots n$.

$$d{abc}^*$$
 $ba{d}^{-}{cd}^*$ $bc{d}^{-}{ba}^{-}{ad}^*$

Let *C* be a closed itemset, and X_1, \ldots, X_n be its free itemsets. A concise representation of [C] is provided by

$$N_i = X_i, X_1^-, \ldots, X_{i-1}^-, (C \setminus X_i)^*$$

for i = 1 ... n.

$$d{abc}^*$$
 $ba{d}^-{cd}^*$ $bc{d}^-{ba}^-{ad}^*$

Next problem: rewrite N_1, \ldots, N_n into a set of equivalent pair-wise disjoint regular itemsets.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ●

$$\frac{ba\{d\}^-\{cd\}^*}{bac?}$$
S4



$$\frac{ba\{d\}^{-}\{cd\}^{*}}{bac?}\mathsf{S4} \qquad \frac{bc\{d\}^{-}\{ba\}^{-}\{ad\}^{*}}{\frac{bc\{d\}^{-}\{a\}^{-}\{ad\}^{*}}{bc}}\mathsf{S4}}\mathsf{S4}$$

$$\frac{bac? bc}{b{ac}^+}$$
M3

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆ Ξ > ◆ Ξ > → Ξ → の < ↔

17/27



$$\frac{R, X, Y^{-} \quad Y \cap X \neq \emptyset}{R, X, (Y \setminus X)^{-}} \mathbf{S1} \qquad \frac{R, X, Z^{*} \quad Z \cap X \neq \emptyset}{R, X, (Z \setminus X)^{*}} \mathbf{S2}$$
$$\frac{R, \emptyset^{-}}{\mathbf{fail}} \mathbf{S3} \qquad \frac{R, \{a\}^{-} \quad a \notin R}{R \setminus \{a\}^{-} \mid a \notin X\}^{-} \rightarrow X^{*}]} \mathbf{S4}$$
$$\frac{R, \{a, Y\}^{-} \quad a \notin R \quad Y \neq \emptyset}{R \setminus \{a\}^{-} \mid \{a, X\}^{-} \rightarrow X^{*}], Y^{*} \quad R \setminus \{a\}^{-} \mid \{a, X\}^{-} \rightarrow X^{-}], a, Y^{-}} \mathbf{S5}$$

Rewritings implemented as procedure **Covering** (see paper).

 $cd{ab}^{-}{ab}^{\star}$

First partition $s'(cd\{ab\}^{-}\{ab\}^{\star}) \cap \{X \subseteq \mathcal{I} \mid a \notin X\}$

$$\frac{cd\{ab\}^{-}\{ab\}^{\star}}{cdb?}$$
S5

Second partition $s'(cd\{ab\}^{-}\{ab\}^{*}) \cap \{X \subseteq \mathcal{I} \mid a \in X\}$

$$\frac{cd\{ab\}^{-}\{ab\}^{\star}}{cdb?}$$

$$\frac{cd\{ab\}^{-}\{ab\}^{\star}}{cdb?} \frac{cda\{b\}^{-}b?}{cda} S5$$





Merging rules

$$\frac{R}{R,a?} \mathbf{M1} \qquad \frac{R}{R,Y^{+}} \mathbf{M2}$$
$$\frac{R, b, a?}{R, \{a, b\}^{+}} \mathbf{M3} \qquad \frac{R, Y^{+}, a?}{R, \{a, Y\}^{+}} \mathbf{M4}$$
$$\frac{R, Y^{+}, a, y^{*}}{R, \{a, Y\}^{+}} \mathbf{M5} \qquad \frac{R, Y^{+}, R, Z^{+}, Y^{*}}{R, \{Z, Y\}^{+}} \mathbf{M6}$$

Rewritings implemented as procedure Merging (see paper).

Frequent Regular Itemsets Mining

Algorithm RegularMine

Input: a transactional database D**Output:** a set \mathcal{R}_{out} of frequent regular itemsets that is a concise representation of frequent itemsets

extract frequent closed itemsets CS from Dand, for each $C \in CS$, the free sets in [C] $\mathcal{R}_{out} \leftarrow \emptyset$ for every $C \in CS$ do let X_1, \ldots, X_n be the free sets in [C] ordered w.r.t. \preceq $\mathcal{R} = \bigcup_{i=1...n} Covering(X_i, X_1^-, \ldots, X_{i-1}^-, C^*) // rules S1 - S5$ $\mathcal{R}_{out} \leftarrow \mathcal{R}_{out} \cup Merging(\mathcal{R}) // rules M1 - M6$ end for

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Nondeterminism I: The splitting and merging rules are non-deterministic. The procedures **Covering** and **Merging** adopt a few heuristics to drive the rewriting.

Nondeterminism I: The splitting and merging rules are non-deterministic. The procedures **Covering** and **Merging** adopt a few heuristics to drive the rewriting.

Nondeterminism II: The order X_1, \ldots, X_n affects the (size of the) output.

Nondeterminism I: The splitting and merging rules are non-deterministic. The procedures **Covering** and **Merging** adopt a few heuristics to drive the rewriting.

Nondeterminism II: The order X_1, \ldots, X_n affects the (size of the) output.

We (experimentally) resort to [Dong et al. 2005]:

Def. $X_i \leq X_j$ iff $|X_i| < |X_j|$ or, $|X_i| = |X_j|$ and $X_i \leq_{lex} X_j$ where \leq_{lex} is a lexicographic order induced by a total order items.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 少へ⊙

Experimental results: dense datasets



Experimental results: sparse datasets



Experimental results: orderings and execution time



Conclusion and future work

Contribution:

- regular itemsets as an easy-to-understand concise representation
- RegularMine to mine frequent regular itemsets

Conclusion and future work

Contribution:

- regular itemsets as an easy-to-understand concise representation
- RegularMine to mine frequent regular itemsets

Future work:

- pushing RegularMine inside closed and free itemsets extraction
- use of regular itemsets in non-redundant association rules and in case studies