Generating Diverse Realistic Data Sets (for episode mining) Workshop "Practical Theories of Data Mining" @ ICDM 2012







Fonds Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek Research Foundation – Flanders

- Involved in industry cooperation
- Time-stamped event data (T, 1) (A, 19) (D, 15) (C, 95) (D, 96) (A, 96)
- $\langle (E,1), (A,12), (B,15), (C,25), (D,26), (A,36), (B,38), \ldots \rangle$
 - Approach: episode mining
 - e.g. sliding window, minimal occurrence
 - Off-the-shelf miner

Involved in industry cooperation

• Time-stamped event data

- Approach: episode mining
 - e.g. sliding window, minimal occurrence
- Off-the-shelf miner

- Involved in industry cooperation
- Time-stamped event data

- Approach: episode mining
 - e.g. sliding window, minimal occurrence
- Off-the-shelf miner

- Involved in industry cooperation
- Time-stamped event data

- Approach: episode mining
 - e.g. sliding window, minimal occurrence
- Off-the-shelf miner

- Involved in industry cooperation
- Time-stamped event data
- $\langle (E, 1), (A, 12), (B, 15), (C, 25), (D, 26), (A, 36), (B, 38), \ldots \rangle$
 - Approach: episode mining
 - e.g. sliding window, minimal occurrence
 - Off-the-shelf miner

- Involved in industry cooperation
- Time-stamped event data
- $\langle (E,1), (A,12), (B,15), (C,25), (D,26), (A,36), (B,38), \ldots \rangle$
 - Approach: episode mining
 - e.g. sliding window, minimal occurrence
 - Off-the-shelf miner

- Involved in industry cooperation
- Time-stamped event data

- Approach: episode mining
 - e.g. sliding window, minimal occurrence
- Off-the-shelf miner

- Involved in industry cooperation
- Time-stamped event data $\langle (E,1), (A,12), (B,15), (C,25), (D,26), (A,36), (B,38), \ldots \rangle$
 - Approach: episode mining
 - e.g. sliding window, minimal occurrence
 - Off-the-shelf miner

- Involved in industry cooperation
- Time-stamped event description
- $\langle (E,1), (A,12), (B,15) \rangle$ Approach view patterns
 - $(36), (B, 38), \ldots \rangle$

- e.g. sliding window, minimal occurrence
- Off-the-shelf miner

Going to the literature

- Guidance which approach to use none
- Significance measures (almost) none
- Guidance where in the output relevant patterns are - (almost) none
- Guarantees that patterns are found at all -(almost) none

15 years of research

Why's that?

Few temporal (real-life) data sets
Locked by NDAs
Real-life data sets have no ground truth!
Post-hoc evaluation by domain experts
Opposed to a priori class labels

Why's that?

• Few temporal (real-life) data sets

Episode mining specific

Locked by NDAs

Real-life data sets have no ground truth!

- Post-hoc evaluation by domain experts
- Opposed to a priori class labels

Why's that?

• Few temporal (real-life) data sets

Episode mining specific

Locked by NDAs

• Real-life data sets have no ground truth!

- Post-hoc evaluation by domain experts
- Opposed to a priori class labels

Pattern mining problem

Straight-Up Solution

- Generate diverse artificial data w/known patterns
 - Building on Laxman's generator
- Extensively evaluate different techniques/ measures
- Develop guidelines when methods expected to work

Straight-Up Solution

- Generate diverse artificial data w/known patterns
 - Building on Laxman's generator

(Related episodes and HMMs)

- Extensively evaluate different techniques/ measures
- Develop guidelines when methods expected to work

Comparative Data Mining

A detour to knowledge discovery

- I. Get hands on real life data
- 2. Generate artificial data w/same characteristics
- 3. Mine patterns on artificial & real life data
- 4. Use relationship known & mined patterns on artificial data to select patterns from real data

Laxman's generator

- n sequential patterns
- length N
- alphabet size M
- length of data sequence
- noise probability p
- uniform distributions for noise/time stamps

Laxman's generator

- n sequential patterns
- length N
- alphabet size M
- length of data sequence
- noise probability p
- uniform distributions for noise/time stamps

- n=2, p ∈ [0.2,0.5]
- fixed M
- no sharing/repetition of
- elements
- interleaved episodes
- embedded concurrently

What's "realistic"?

- Time information matters
- Events might not be logged
- There might be several patterns
 - Differently likely
- Patterns might interleave/share events/ repeat events
- Patterns might occur successively
- Not only uniform distributions

This is anecdotal

What's "realistic ?

- Time information matters
- Events might not be logged
- There might be several patterns
 - Differently likely
- Patterns might interleave/share events/ repeat events
- Patterns might occur successively
- Not only uniform distributions

This is anecdotal

What's "realistic ?

- Time information matters
- Events might not be logged
- There might be several patterns
 - Differently likely
- Patterns might interleave/share events/ repeat events
- Patterns might occur successively
- Not only uniform distributions

Episodes probably time-constrained

Adding parameters

- Failure (to log) probability
- Maximal delays explicit
 - Enforcement in episode
- Switches for sharing/repetition/interleaving/ concurrency/weights
- Poisson distribution for noise
- (Mixture of) normal distribution(s) for delays

































Experimental results

- Time constraint seems more important than matching semantic
- Best case: pattern within top-10
- Several patterns: very hard
- Real life data: patterns swamped by other stuff

Beyond episode mining

- Comparative data mining: general framework
- Currently working on itemset mining
- Extending to supervised settings:
 - Data harder to generate
 - Augment theoretical/UCI guarantees