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Recipe for a successful party:

- Participants should be "close" to the organizers (e.g. a friend of a friend).
- Everybody should know some of the participants.
- The graph should be connected.
- The number of participants should not be too small but...
- ...not too large either!!!
- ....

Not an easy task...

## The community-search problem

Our problem: find the community that a given set of users belongs to.

Our approach: Given a graph and a set of nodes, find a densely connected subgraph containing the set of users given in input.
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## The community-search problem

Our problem: find the community that a given set of users belongs to.

Our approach: Given a graph and a set of nodes, find a densely connected subgraph containing the set of users given in input.

Other applications: Tag suggestions, biological data.


## Tag suggestion in Flickr



Tags:Dolomites
Lake
Sugg.: Mountains
Nature
Landscape

## Protein interactions
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## Related Work

Large body of work on finding communities in social networks:

- Agarwal and Kempe (European Physics Journal, 2008)
- S. White and P. Smyth. (SDM, 2005)
- Y. Dourisboure et al. (WWW, 2007)
- D. Gibson, R. Kumar, and A. Tomkins (VLDB, 2005)

Our work: Query-dependent variant of the problem.

Other related work:

- Y. Koren, S. C. North, and C. Volinsky (TKDD, 2007)
- H. Tong and C. Faloutsos (KDD, 2006)
- Lappas et al. (KDD, 2009)
- FOCS, ICALP, APPROX
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## Density?

Good properties: small distance, density, connected subgraph

Two definitions of density of a graph

- d(G)=\# of edges in G / \# of edges in a clique Formally, $\frac{m}{n(n-1) / 2}$
- $D(G)=\#$ of edges in $G / \#$ of vertices in $G$

Formally, $\frac{m}{n}=$ average degree of $\mathrm{G} / 2$
Fact 1: Computing a subgraph $H$ with maximum density $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{H})$ is NPHard (reduction from Max Clique).
Fact 2: Computing a subgraph $H$ with maximum density $D(H)$ can be done in polynomial time but the algorithm is slow.
Another definition of density: minimum node degree.
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## Distance?

Only one query node: $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{q})=$ length of the shortest path between $v$ and $q$.

Many query nodes:

1. Sum of the distances: Formally, $\sum_{q \in Q} d(q, v)$ but

2. Sum of the squared dist.: Formally $\sum_{q \in Q} d(q, v)^{2}$. It favors "balanced" scenarios.

## Problem definition

- Problem definition: Given an undirected graph $G=(V, E)$, a set of query nodes $\mathrm{Q} \subseteq \mathrm{V}$, an integer d (distance constraint), we are to find an induced subgraph $H=\left(V_{H}, E_{H}\right)$ of $G$, s.t.
- (i) $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}$ contains Q;
- (ii) H is connected;
- (iii) all nodes in H are at distance at most d from Q ;
- (iv) the minimum degree of H is maximized.


## Problem definition

- Problem definition: Given an undirected graph $G=(V, E)$, a set of query nodes $\mathrm{Q} \subseteq \mathrm{V}$, an integer d (distance constraint), we are to find an induced subgraph $H=\left(V_{H}, E_{H}\right)$ of $G$, s.t.
- (i) $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}$ contains Q;
- (ii) H is connected;
- (iii) all nodes in H are at distance at most d from Q ;
- (iv) the minimum degree of H is maximized.

Good news: There is an optimum greedy algorithm!!!
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## Our greedy algorithm

1. Let $G=G_{0}$.
2. At each step $t$ if there is a node $v$ in $G_{t-1}$ violating the distance constraint, then remove $v$ and all its edges;
3. otherwise remove the node with minimum degree in $G_{t-1}$.
4. Let $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{t}}$ the graph so obtained.
5. Among all the graphs $G_{0}, G_{1}, \ldots . G_{T}$ constructed during the execution of the algorithm return the graph $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$

- containing the query nodes;
- satisfying the distance constraint;
- with maximum minimum degree.


## Our greedy algorithm

1. Let $G=G_{0}$.
2. At each step $t$ if there is a node $v$ in $G_{t-1}$ violating the distance constraint, then remove $v$ and all its edges;
3. otherwise remove the node with minimum degree in $G_{t-1}$.
4. Let $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{t}}$ the graph so obtained.
5. Among all the graphs $\mathrm{G}_{0}, \mathrm{G}_{1}, \ldots . \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{T}}$ constructed during the execution of the algorithm return the graph $G_{i}$

- containing the query nodes;
- satisfying the distance constraint;
- with maximum minimum degree.

Theorem: Our greedy algorithm computes an optimum solution for the community-search problem.

## Size Matters!

The size of the community shouldn't be too large:

- If we are to organize a party we might not have place for 1 M people.
- Humans should be able to analyze the result.

Bad news: Adding a cardinality constraint on the number of nodes makes the problem NP-Hard (red. from Steiner Tree) but...

Theorem: Let H and $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ be two graphs obtained by executing our greedy algorithm with distance constraint $d$ and d', respectively (the other input parameters are the same). Then, d' $\leq$ d implies $|\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{H})| \leq\left|\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right)\right|$.

## GreedyDist

Intuition: Bound the size of the graph by making the distance constraint tighter.

## GreedyDist:

- Let $k$ be an upperbound on the number of vertices and let $d$ be a distance constraint.
- While the number of vertices of the computed graph is larger than $k$
- Execute Greedy with distance constraint d.
- Decrease d by one (d--);


## GreedyFast

Intuition: Nodes that are far away from the query nodes are most probably not related to them.

## GreedyFast:

- Let $k$ be an upperbound on the number of vertices and let $d$ be a distance constraint.
- Preprocessing: consider only the k closest nodes to the query nodes.
- Run Greedy with the subgraph induced by these query nodes, as input
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## Evaluation

We evaluate our algorithms on three different datasets:

- DBLP (226k nodes and 1.4M edges);
- Flickr tag graph (38k nodes and 1.3M edges);
- Bio data (16K nodes and 491k nodes).

Queries are generated randomly.
We vary

- Number of query nodes;
- Distance between query nodes;
- Upper bound on the number of nodes.


## We measure

- Minimum degree and average degree;
- Size of the output graph;
- Running time.


## Baseline

We consider an approach where at each step we add one node (in contrast with all previous approaches).

A pseudocode:

1. Connect the query nodes: by means of a Steiner Tree algo. (we use a 2-approximation algorithm for this problem);
2. Let $G_{t}$ be the graph at step $t$;
3. Add the node $v$ with maximum degree in $G_{t} \cup v$;
4. Among all the graph $\mathrm{G}_{0}, \ldots, \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{T}}$ constructed, return the one with maximum minimum degree.

## Minimum degree vs Size (Flickr)



## Average deg. vs. Size (Flickr)



## Running time vs Size (Flickr)



## Distance vs Size

Theorem: Let H and $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ be two graphs obtained by executing our greedy algorithm with distance constraint d and d', respectively (the other input parameters are the same). Then, d' $\leq \mathrm{d}$ implies $|\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{H})| \leq\left|\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right)\right|$.

|  | 6 | 9 | 11 | 12 | 14 | 17 | 20 | 27 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| BIOMINE | 71 | 76 | 77 | 867 | 870 | 900 | 923 | 1394 |
| DBLP | 4 | 9 | 9 | 13 | 14.5 | 17 | 21 | 160 |
| tag | 35 | 248 | 248 | 3316 | 3554 | 8287 | 8305 | 14256 |
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## Generalized Community-Search Problem

Input:

- An undirected graph $\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$;
- A set Q of query nodes;
- Integer parameters k,t;
- A set of skills $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{v}}$ associated to every node v ;
- A required set of skills $\bar{T}$.

Goal: Find an induced subgraph H of G s.t.

- $G$ is connected and contains $Q$;
- The number of vertices of H is $\geq \mathrm{t}$;
- The set of skills of H contains $\bar{T}\left(\cup_{\text {veH }} T_{v} \supseteq \bar{T}\right)$;
- Any node is at distance at most k from the query nodes;
- The minimum degree is maximized.
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Goal: Find an induced subgraph H of G s.t.

- $G$ is connected and contains $Q$;
- The number of vertices of H is $\geq \mathrm{t}$;
- The set of skills of H contains $\bar{T}\left(\cup_{v \in H} T_{v} \supseteq \bar{T}\right)$;
- Any node is at distance at most k from the query nodes;

Monotone functions

- The minimum degree is maximized.


## Generalized Greedy: Guarantees

Monotone function: $f(H) \leq f(G)$, if $H$ is a subgraph of $G$.

Theorem: There is an optimum greedy algorithm for the problem when all constraint are monotone functions.

Running time: Depends on the time to evaluate the function $\mathrm{f}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{k}}$, formally o $\left(m+\sum_{i} n \bullet T_{i}\right)$ where $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is the time to evaluate the monotone function $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}$
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## Conclusions and Future Work

Contributions:

- We proposed a novel combinatorial approach for finding the community of a given set of users in input.
- Distance constraints proved to be effective in limiting the size of the output graph.
- We defined a class of functions that can be optimized efficiently.

Future work:

- Are there other useful monotone functions?
- Can we find all communities of a given set of users?
- Community search via Map-Reduce?


## What about the party?



Community 1: Database


Community2:
Algorithms

## Thanks

