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## Our goal:

Open source system for mining huge graphs:

PEGASUS project (PEta GrAph mining System)

- www.cs.cmu.edu/~pegasus

- code and papers
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## Graphs - why should we care?




Food Web
[Martinez '91]


Protein Interactions [genomebiology.com]

## Graphs - why should we care?

- IR: bi-partite graphs (doc-terms)

$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{N}}$

- web: hyper-text graph
- ... and more:


## Graphs - why should we care?

- network of companies \& board-of-directors members
- 'viral' marketing
- web-log ('blog') news propagation
- computer network security: email/IP traffic and anomaly detection
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## Problem \#1 - network and graph mining



- What does the Internet look like?
- What does FaceBook look like?
- What is 'normal'/'abnormal'?
- which patterns/laws hold?
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## Problem \#1 - network and graph mining



- How does the Internet look like?
- How does FaceBook look like?
- What is 'normal'/'abnormal'?
- which patterns/laws hold?
- To spot anomalies (rarities), we have to discover patterns
- Large datasets reveal patterns/anomalies that may be invisible otherwise...


## Graph mining

- Are real graphs random?


## Laws and patterns

- Are real graphs random?
- A: NO!!
- Diameter
- in- and out- degree distributions
- other (surprising) patterns
- So, let's look at the data


## Solution\# S. 1

- Power law in the degree distribution [SIGCOMM99]
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- Power law in the degree distribution [SIGCOMM99]


## internet domains



## Solution\# S.2: Eigen Exponent $E$

Eigenvalue


Exponent $=$ slope

$$
E=-0.48
$$

May 2001

Rank of decreasing eigenvalue

- A2: power law in the eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix


## Solution\# S.2: Eigen Exponent $E$

Eigenvalue


Exponent $=$ slope

$$
E=-0.48
$$

May 2001

Rank of decreasing eigenvalue

- [Mihail, Papadimitriou '02]: slope is $1 / 2$ of rank exponent


## But:

## How about graphs from other domains?

## More power laws:

- web hit counts [w/ A. Montgomery]


0
sites
C. Faloutsos (CMU)

## epinions.com



## And numerous more

- \# of sexual contacts
- Income [Pareto] -'80-20 distribution'
- Duration of downloads [Bestavros+]
- Duration of UNIX jobs ('mice and elephants')
- Size of files of a user
- 'Black swans'
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## Solution\# S.3: Triangle 'Laws'



- Real social networks have a lot of triangles


## Solution\# S.3: Triangle 'Laws'



- Real social networks have a lot of triangles
- Friends of friends are friends
- Any patterns?


## Triangle Law: \#S. 3 [Tsourakakis ICDM 2008]




X-axis: \# of participating triangles
Y: count ( $\sim$ pdf)

## Triangle Law: \#S. 3 [Tsourakakis ICDM 2008]




X-axis: \# of participating triangles
Y: count ( $\sim$ pdf)

## Triangle Law: \#S. 4 [Tsourakakis ICDM 2008]



## Triangle Law: Computations [Tsourakakis ICDM 2008]

But: triangles are expensive to compute (3-way join; several approx. algos)
Q: Can we do that quickly?

## Triangle Law: Computations [Tsourakakis ICDM 2008]

But: triangles are expensive to compute (3-way join; several approx. algos)
Q: Can we do that quickly?
A: Yes!
\#triangles $=\mathbf{1 / 6 ~ S u m ~}\left(\lambda_{i}{ }^{3}\right)$
(and, because of skewness (S2),
we only need the top few eigenvalues!

## Triangle Law: Computations [Tsourakakis ICDM 2008]

Wikipedia graph 2006-Nov-04
$\approx 3, \mathrm{IM}$ nodes $\approx 37 \mathrm{M}$ edges

$1000 x+$ speed-up, $>90 \%$ accuracy

## EigenSpokes

B. Aditya Prakash, Mukund Seshadri, Ashwin Sridharan, Sridhar Machiraju and Christos Faloutsos: EigenSpokes: Surprising Patterns and Scalable Community Chipping in Large Graphs, PAKDD 2010, Hyderabad, India, 21-24 June 2010.

## EigenSpokes

- Eigenvectors of adjacency matrix
- equivalent to singular vectors (symmetric, undirected graph)

$$
A=U \Sigma U^{T}
$$
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## EigenSpokes

- Eigenvectors of adjacency matrix
- equivalent to singular vectors (symmetric, undirected graph)



## EigenSpokes

- EE plot: $2^{\text {nd }}$ Principal component
- Scatter plot of u2 scores of u1 vs u2
- One would expect
- Many points @ origin
- A few scattered
~randomly

u1
$1^{\text {st }}$ Principal component


## EigenSpokes

- EE plot:
- Scatter plot of scores of u1 vs u2
- One would expect
- Many points @ origin


u1


## EigenSpokes - pervasiveness

- Present in mobile social graph
- across time and space
- Patent citation graph

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 02 } \\
& \rightarrow 2 \\
& \text { \# }
\end{aligned}
$$

## EigenSpokes - explanation

Near-cliques, or near-bipartite-cores, loosely connected
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## EigenSpokes - explanation

Near-cliques, or near-bipartite-cores, loosely connected

## So what?

- Extract nodes with high scores
- high connectivity
- Good "communities"

ECML/PKDD'10
C. Faloutsos (CMU)
spy plot of top 20 nodes


42

## Bipartite Communities!

patents from same inventor(s)
cut-and-paste bibliography! magnified bipartite community
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## Observations on weighted graphs?

- A: yes - even more 'laws'!

M. McGlohon, L. Akoglu, and C. Faloutsos Weighted Graphs and Disconnected Components: Patterns and a Generator. SIG-KDD 2008


## Observation W.1: Fortification

Q: How do the weights of nodes relate to degree?

## Observation W.1: Fortification

More donors, more \$ ?



# Observation W.1: fortification: Snapshot Power Law 

- Weight: super-linear on in-degree
- exponent 'iw': $1.01<\mathrm{iw}<1.26$


## More donors, even more \$



ECML/PKDD'10 (\$)

In-weights


## Orgs-Candidates

e.g. John Kerry, \$10M received, from 1K donors

## Outline
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## Problem: Time evolution

- with Jure Leskovec (CMU -> Stanford)

- and Jon Kleinberg (Cornell sabb. @ CMU)



## T. 1 Evolution of the Diameter

- Prior work on Power Law graphs hints at slowly growing diameter:
- diameter ~ $\mathrm{O}(\log \mathrm{N})$
- diameter $\sim \mathrm{O}(\log \log \mathrm{N})$

- What is happening in real data?


## T. 1 Evolution of the Diameter

- Prior work on Power Law graphs hints at slowly growing diameter:
- diameter ~ ( (hr I)
- diameter ~ O (rorog N )

- What is happening in real data?
- Diameter shrinks over time


## T. 1 Diameter - "Patents"

- Patent citation network
- 25 years of data
- @ 1999
- 2.9 M nodes
- 16.5 M edges



# T. 2 Temporal Evolution of the Graphs 

- $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{t})$... nodes at time t
- $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{t}) \ldots$ edges at time t
- Suppose that

$$
\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{t}+1)=2 * \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{t})
$$

- Q : what is your guess for
$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{t}+1)=? 2 * \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{t})$
T. 2 Temporal Evolution of the Graphs
- $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{t})$... nodes at time t
- $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{t}) \ldots$ edges at time t
- Suppose that

$$
\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{t}+1)=2 * \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{t})
$$

- Q : what is your guess for

$$
\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{t}+1)=(2) * \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{t})
$$

- A: over-doubled!
- But obeying the "'Densification Power Law"


## T. 2 Densification - Patent Citations

- Citations among patents granted
- @ 1999
- 2.9 M nodes
- 16.5 M edges
- Each year is a datapoint
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## More on Time-evolving graphs

M. McGlohon, L. Akoglu, and C. Faloutsos Weighted Graphs and Disconnected Components: Patterns and a Generator. SIG-KDD 2008

## Observation T.3: NLCC behavior

Q: How do NLCC's emerge and join with the GCC?
( ${ }^{\prime}$ NLCC' ${ }^{\prime}=$ non-largest conn. components)

- Do they continue to grow in size?
- or do they shrink?
- or stabilize?
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## Observation T.3: NLCC behavior

- After the gelling point, the GCC takes off, but NLCC's remain $\sim$ constant (actually, oscillate).


Time-stamp
C. Faloutsos (CMU)

## Timing for Blogs

- with Mary McGlohon (CMU->google)
- Jure Leskovec (CMU->Stanford)
- Natalie Glance (now at Google)
- Mat Hurst (now at MSR)
[SDM'07]


## T. 4 : popularity over time

\# in links


Post popularity drops-off - exponentially?

$$
@ t+l a g
$$



## T. 4 : popularity over time

\# in links (log)

days after post (log)

Post popularity drops-off - expor ent ally? POWER LAW!

## Exponent?

## T. 4 : popularity over time

\# in links (log)

days after post (log)

Post popularity drops-off - expor ent ally?

## POWER LAW!

Exponent? -1.6

- close to -1.5: Barabasi's stack model
- and like the zero-crossings of a random walk
C. Faloutsos (CMU)


## -1.5 slope

J. G. Oliveira \& A.-L. Barabási Human Dynamics: The Correspondence Patterns of Darwin and Einstein. Nature 437, 1251 (2005) . [PDF]


Figure 1 |The correspondence patterns of Darwin and Einstein. 66

## T.5: duration of phonecalls

Surprising Patterns for the Call Duration Distribution of Mobile Phone Users

Pedro O. S. Vaz de Melo, Leman
Akoglu, Christos Faloutsos, Antonio A. F. Loureiro

PKDD 2010

## Probably, power law (?)



## No Power Law!



## 'TLaC: Lazy Contractor'

- The longer a task (phonecall) has taken,
- The even longer it will take



## Data Description

- Data from a private mobile operator of a large city
- 4 months of data
- 3.1 million users
- more than 1 billion phone records
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## CenterPiece Subgraphs

- Hanghang TONG et al, KDD’06


# Center-Piece Subgraph Discovery <br> [Tong+ KDD 06] 

## Input



Q: Who is the most central node wrt the black nodes?
(e.g., master-mind criminal, common advisor/collaborator, etc)

Original Graph

## Center-Piece Subgraph Discovery [Tong+ KDD 06]

Input: original graph


Output: CePS


Q: How to find hub for the query nodes? A: Combine proximity scores (RWR)



DBLP co-authorship network:
-400,000 authors, 2,000,000 edges
Code at: http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~htong/soft.htm

## CePS: Example (AND Query)



## Outline

- Introduction - Motivation
- Problem\#1: Patterns in graphs
- Problem\#2: Tools
- CenterPiece Subgraphs
- OddBall (anomaly detection)
- Problem\#3: Scalability - PEGASUS
- Conclusions


## OddBall: Spotting AnOmalies

## in Weighted Graphs



Faloutsos
Carnegie Mellon University
School of Computer Science

PAKDD 2010, Hyderabad, India

## Main idea

For each node,

- extract 'ego-net' (=1-step-away neighbors)
- Extract features (\#edges, total weight, etc etc)
- Compare with the rest of the population


## What is an egonet?



## Selected Features

- $N_{i}$ : number of neighbors (degree) of ego $i$
- $E_{i}$ : number of edges in egonet $i$
- $W_{i}$ : total weight of egonet $i$
- $\lambda_{w, i}$ : principal eigenvalue of the weighted adjacency matrix of egonet $I$



## Near-Clique/Star



## Near-Clique/Star
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## Scalability

- Google: > 450,000 processors in clusters of ~2000 processors each [Barroso, Dean, Hölzle, "Web Search for a Planet: The Google Cluster Architecture" IEEE Micro 2003]
- Yahoo: 5Pb of data [Fayyad, KDD'07]
- Problem: machine failures, on a daily basis
- How to parallelize data mining tasks, then?
- A: map/reduce - hadoop (open-source clone) http://hadoop.apache.org/


## Outline - Algorithms \& results

$\Rightarrow$|  | Centralized | Hadoop/PEG <br> ASUS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Degree Distr. | old | old |
| Pagerank | old | old |
| Diameter/ANF | old | HERE |
| Conn. Comp | old | HERE |
| Triangles | done |  |
| Visualization | started |  |

## HADI for diameter estimation

- Radius Plots for Mining Tera-byte Scale Graphs U Kang, Charalampos Tsourakakis, Ana Paula Appel, Christos Faloutsos, Jure Leskovec, SDM'10
- Naively: diameter needs $\mathbf{O}\left(\mathbf{N}^{* * 2}\right.$ ) space and up to $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{N}^{* *} 3\right)$ time - prohibitive ( $\mathrm{N} \sim 1 \mathrm{~B}$ )
- Our HADI: linear on E (~10B)
- Near-linear scalability wrt \# machines
- Several optimizations -> 5x faster


## $10^{9}$

Count ${ }^{10^{8}}$




Radius


YahooWeb graph (120Gb, 1.4B nodes, 6.6 B edges)

- Largest publicly available graph ever studied.


YahooWeb graph (120Gb, 1.4B nodes, 6.6 B edges)

- Largest publicly available graph ever studied.

| $10^{8}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| ${ }^{\circ}$ | 14 (di |
| ${ }_{4} 10$ |  |
| $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ } 10^{4}$ | ~7 (undir.) |

19+? [Barabasi+]
$10^{1}$
$10^{0}$

| 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Radius
Radius
YahooWeb graph (120Gb, 1.4B nodes, 6.6 B edges)

- 7 degrees of separation (!)
-Diameter: shrunk


YahooWeb graph (120Gb, 1.4B nodes, 6.6 B edges)

- effective diameter: surprisingly small.
- Multi-modality: probably mixture of cores .


YahooWeb graph (120Gb, 1.4B nodes, 6.6 B edges)

- effective diameter: surprisingly small.
- Multi-modality: probably mixture of cores .


Radius Plot of GCC of YahooWeb.


Running time - Kronecker and Erdos-Renyi Graphs with billions edges.

## Outline - Algorithms \& results

|  | Centralized | Hadoop/PEG <br> ASUS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Degree Distr. | old | old |
| Pagerank | old | old |
| Diameter/ANF | old | HERE |
| Conn. Comp | old | HERE |
| Triangles |  | done |
| Visualization | started |  |

# Generalized Iterated Matrix Vector Multiplication (GIMV) 

## PEGASUS: A Peta-Scale Graph Mining

 System - Implementation and Observations. U Kang, Charalampos E. Tsourakakis, and Christos Faloutsos. (ICDM) 2009, Miami, Florida, USA. Best Application Paper (runner-up).
## Ceneralized Iterated Matri details Vector Multiplication (GIMV)

- PageRank
- proximity (RWR)
- Diameter
- Connected components
- (eigenvectors,
- Belief Prop.
- ...)


Matrix - vector Multiplication
(iterated)

## Example: GIM-V At Work

- Connected Components
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## Example: GIM-V At Work

- Connected Components


Size

## Example: GIM-V At Work

- Connected Components



## GIM-V At Work

- Connected Components over Time
- LinkedIn: 7.5M nodes and 58M edges


Stable tail slope after the gelling point

## Outline

- Introduction - Motivation
- Problem\#1: Patterns in graphs
- Problem\#2: Tools
- Problem\#3: Scalability
$\Rightarrow$ - Conclusions


# OVERALL CONCLUSIONS low level: 

- Several new patterns (fortification, triangle-laws, conn. components, etc)
- New tools:
- CenterPiece Subgraphs, anomaly detection (OddBall)
- Scalability: PEGASUS / hadoop


## OVERALL CONCLUSIONS high level

- Large datasets reveal patterns/outliers that are invisible otherwise
- Terrific opportunities

- Large datasets, easily(*) available PLUS
$-s / w$ and $h / w$ developments
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