A COMPARISON OF ALGORITHMS FOR SOLVING THE MULTIAGENT SIMPLE TEMPORAL PROBLEM JIM BOERKOEL AND ED DURFEE COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, UNIVERSITY OF **MICHIGAN**

Joint Session ICAPS/AAMAS 2010 – 05/14/10

Motivation

- Consider Amy's agenda:
 - Study for exam
 - Take exam
 - Work on group project



- Must exchange project deliverables with partner Ben
- Work on research project

Motivation

- How does Amy choose a schedule for accomplishing her agenda that is compatible with Ben's schedule?
 - Option 1: Ignore Ben
 - Schedule may fail to coordinate with Ben's
 - Option 2: Collect Ben's scheduling commitments / constraints, and choose a compatible joint schedule
 - Ben may not want to reveal private schedule commitments
 - Introduces extra burden on Amy, which grows with every person she coordinates with



- Amy's Agenda: -Study session (SS) -Exam -Group Project (GP) -Research Project
- (RP)

Talk Summary

- This talk introduces *multiagent* scheduling algorithms that:
 - Find complete set of <u>sound</u> joint schedules
 - Exploit the problem's structure and natural distribution across computational agents to <u>concurrently</u> compute joint schedules and achieve speedup over centralized algorithms
 - Have provable <u>privacy</u> properties

Background: Simple Temporal Problem (STP)

- A temporal CSP
- Timepoint Variables (V)
 - Represent events



Amy's Agenda:

- Continuous (infinite) domain
- Temporal Difference Constraints (E) -Study session (SS) -Exam
 - Constraints are represented by a bound Group Project on the difference between two variables Rep.
 - Represented graphically with directed edges

Extending to Multiagent STP (MaSTP)

- A MaSTP is composed of *n* agent subproblems
- For each agent problem, the set of constraints is composed of intra-agent and inter-agent constraints

Amy's Agenda: -Study session (SS) -Exam -Group Project (GP1) -Research Project (RP)

6

Ben's Agenda:

- -Programming Assignment (PA)
- -Homework (HW)
- -Group Project (GP2)
- -Exercise(RUN)

Example MaSTP



Establishing Decomposability

Decomposable STP:

- Represents a complete set of solutions using ranges of times for each event, where each time can be extended to a sound schedule
- Full Path Consistency
 - All-pairs-shortest-path
 - Calculate min/max time between Amy's study session and Ben's run?
- Partial Path Consistency
 - Step 1: Triangulate graph
 - Step 2: Tighten triangles



Our Approach

- Goals
 - Soundness
 - Concurrency
 - Privacy

Partition the MaSTP into *n*+1 subproblems:

- n Private STPs: for each agent, the timepoints involved in NO inter-agent constraints, and the constraints involving them
- I Shared STP: the timepoints involved in interagent constraints, and the constraints between them

Multiagent STP Partitioning



Privacy Properties of our Multiagent STP Algorithms

The information an agent must reveal to (or conversely learn of) another agent is necessarily limited to the shared STP

An Everything else remains privateln

11



Three Candidate Algorithms





Partially Centralized: Private1



Partially Centralized: Shared



Partially Centralized: Private2



Solving a Multiagent STP: Summary

- 6 constraint checks per triangle
- Total constraint checks (centralized): 132 (22 triangles)
 - Total shared constraint checks: 12 (2 triangles)
 - Total private constraint checks per agent: 60 (10 triangles)
- Partially Centralized approach: 72
- Distributed approach: 66

Empirical Evaluation

- 17
- Randomly generated problems with 25 agents,
 25 timepoints per agent
- Vary parameter P the proportion of timepoints that are private
- Number of constraints scaled so that centralized computation remains constant
- Record non-concurrent constraint checks (and messages)



Private to Global Timepoint Ratio (P)







Conclusion

- By exploiting the weakly-coupled structure of multiagent STPs, our partially centralized and distributed algorithms achieve significant solution time speedup through concurrency.
- Our partially centralized and distributed algorithms maintain a high-level of user privacy.
- Exploiting timepoint partitioning information can lead to smaller triangulated graphs (result not shown).
- Future work: Incorporate Multiagent STP algorithms as the foundation for more complex scheduling agents that can coordinate schedules on behalf of users.

Thanks!

Questions?

References

- Cesta, A., and Oddi, A. 1996. Gaining efficiency and flexibility in the simple temporal problem. In *TIME-96*, pages 45-50.
- Dechter, R.; Meiri, I.; and Pearl, J. 1991. Temporal constraint networks. Artificial Intelligence 29 (1-3) pages 61-95.
- Hunsberger, L. 2002. Algorithms for a temporal decoupling problem in multi-agent planning. In AAAI 2002, pages 468-475.
- Planken, L.; de Weerdt, M.; and van der Krogt, R. 2008. P3C: A new algorithm for the simple temporal problem. In *ICAPS 2008*, pages 256-263.
- Smith, S. F.; Gallagher, A.; Zimmerman, T.; Barbulescu, L.; and Rubinstein, Z. 2007. Distributed management of flexible times schedules. In AAMAS 2007, pages 472-479.
- Xu, L., and Choueiry, B. Y. 2003. A new efficient algorithm for solving the simple temporal problem. In *TIME-ITCL 2003*, pages 210-220.

Future Work

- Develop multiagent approaches for solving :
 - Disjunctive Temporal Problems
 - Hybrid Scheduling Problems
 - Preferences
 - Evaluate in a dynamic environment

Computation: Scalability





Number of Fill Edges (triangles)



Number of Fill Edges (triangles)



Solving a STP: Partial Path Consistency

- All-pairs-shortest-path
- Step 1: Triangulate
 - Triangulated graph
 - A graph whose largest non-bisected cycle is of size 3
 - Algorithm

29

- Remove node
- Moralize
- Repeat
- Try to minimize # of triangles



Solving a STP: Partial Path Consistency

- Step 2: Tighten STP
 Add all ∆'s to a queue, Q
 - Until Q is empty
 - $\blacksquare \Delta = Q.dequeue()$
 - Tighten(∆)



Solving a Multiagent STP: Shared



Solving a Multiagent STP: Shared



33

Solving a Multiagent STP: Private1



Solving a Multiagent STP: Private1



Private STPs



Solving a Multiagent STP: Private2



37

