



Semantics – Interoperability – Integration A multi-faceted problem

Ioannis Kotsiopoulos

Athens, March 2014





Who are we?

EUROPEAN DYNAMICS: a leading European ICT service provider and software developer. International operation: Athens, Brussels, Luxembourg and elsewhere



- Offices Antennas
- Customers Partners

Client base:

- The Council of the European Union
- > The European Commission
- The European Parliament
- > The European Court of Auditors
- > The United Nations
- Eurocontrol
- Interpol
- The Office for the Harmonisation of the Internal Market (OHIM)
- > The European Police Office (EUROPOL)
- > The European Chemicals Agency (ECHA)
- The European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC)
- > The European Patents Office (EPO)
- > National governments





Customs & Excise

- Interoperability started early 2000 by TAXUD
- Implemented though the exchange of structured messages between Member States applications via the private CCN/CSI network
- Semantics are defined as part of complex functional and technical specifications
- Currently at least four major functional areas are covered (e.g. transit, export, import etc)
- Important areas such as risk analysis are not covered.





Common Communication Network (CCN/CSI) Interoperability

- XML & EDIFACT messages defined by TAXUD
- Although message formats are well-defined, semantics are only indirectly defined via separate documents
 - e.g. same entities appear under different names and information structures
- Semantics today are incorporated in individual application logics developed by the Member States
- Despite those problems CCN/CSI does perform its basic function





Risk analysis and fraud detection

Interchange of risk-related information is critical for customs processes as it is related to public safety and health

So far there have been no structured standard messages for the exchange of risk-related information at semantic level:

- What is a "suspicious trader", "a suspicious route", etc?
- Risk entities: financial and statistical information
- No behavioral models

Only abstract common risk criteria have been defined The need:

- Definition of risk-related entities
- Semantic identification by machines





Is semantic interoperability and integration difficult?

- YES!
- Database interoperability, cross-database search and integration of web services: Ontologies: formally logical axioms that relate predicates of interest
 - > a higher level of abstraction for data modelling
 - data export, translation, queries, unification across independently developed database designs (logical or physical)
- However: when data integration is sought limitations emerge*:
 - Datasets with semantics given by different ontologies require integration of ontologies (ontology mapping, merging, top-level vocabularies, etc)
 - Integration of ontologies: integration of logics in which they are expressed and of schemas describing structure in different languages and different underlying data models (relational, object-oriented, etc)
 - Need for rigorous foundations not tied to any specific representational (e.g. category theory) or logical formalism (e.g. theory of institutions)

* http://epractice.eu/en/library/292976





Then what?

