

European Conference on Computer Vision

## Dense Semi-Rigid Scene Flow Estimation from RGBD images

#### Julián Quiroga, Thomas Brox, Frédéric Devernay, James Crowley



The **scene flow** is the 3D motion field of the scene (*Vedula et al.* ICCV'99).



The **scene flow** is the 3D motion field of the scene (*Vedula et al.* ICCV'99).



The **scene flow** is the 3D motion field of the scene (*Vedula et al.* ICCV'99).



#### **Applications:**

- 3D motion capture
- 3D modeling on non-rigid objects
- Autonomous navigation
- Interaction

• ..

The **scene flow** is the 3D motion field of the scene (*Vedula et al.* ICCV'99).



#### Applications:

- 3D motion capture
- 3D modeling on non-rigid objects
- Autonomous navigation
- Interaction
- .

#### Estimation:

- Unknown 3D structure
- Given the 3D structure

#### from stereo or multi-view

Computing several optical flows (*Vedula et al.* PAMI'05):



#### from stereo or multi-view

• Computing several optical flows (*Vedula et al.* PAMI'05):



Using constraints from the camera system: (*Huguet Devernay* ICC V'07, *Wedel et al.* ECCV'08, *Basha et al.* CVPR'10)



#### from stereo or multi-view

Computing several optical flows (*Vedula et al.* PAMI'05):



Using constraints from the camera system: (Huguet
 *& Devernay* ICC V'07, Wedel et al. ECCV'08, Basha et al. CVPR'10)



CCV/11 '13):



#### from stereo or multi-view

Computing several optical flows (*Vedula et al.* PAMI'05):



Encouraging locally or piecewise rigid motions (Vogel et al. ICCV(11,'13);



Using constraints from the camera system: (*Huguet Devernay* ICC V'07, *Wedel et al.* ECCV'08, *Basha et al.* CVPR'10)



If a depth sensor is available?

#### from stereo or multi-view

Computing several optical flows (*Vedula et al.* PAMI'05):



Encouraging locally or piecewise rigid motions (Vogel et al. ICCV(11,'13);



Using constraints from the camera system: (*Huguet & Devernay* ICC V'07, *Wedel et al.* ECCV'08, *Basha et al.* CVPR'10)



If a **depthsensor** is available?

## **RGBD** data

✿ RGBD image: a registered pair of color and depth images



## **RGBD** data

✿ RGBD image: a registered pair of color and depth images



## **RGBD** data

✿ RGBD image: a registered pair of color and depth images



How to fully exploit the RGBD data to compute a confident scene flow?

from RGBD images

Using RGB data as an additional channel (Spies et al. CVIU'02, Lukins et al. BMVC'04):

$$0 = I_x u + I_y v + I_t$$

Optical flow equation

 $w = Z_x u + Z_y v + Z_t$ 

Range flow equation

from RGBD images

Using RGB data as an additional channel (Spies et al. CVIU'02, Lukins et al. BMVC'04):

$$0 = I_x u + I_y v + I_t$$

Optical flow equation

 $w = Z_x u + Z_y v + Z_t$ 

Range flow equation

3D particle filtering
 (Hadfield & Bowden ICCV'11)





from RGBD images

Using RGB data as an additional channel (Spies et al. CVIU'02, Lukins et al. BMVC'04):

$$0 = I_x u + I_y v + I_t$$

Optical flow equation

 $w = Z_x u + Z_y v + Z_t$ 

Range flow equation

3D particle filtering
 (Hadfield & Bowden ICCV'11)

Projective warping function (Quiroga et al. CVPRW'12, ICIP'13)







from RGBD images

Using RGB data as an additional channel (Spies et al. CVIU'02, Lukins et al. BMVC'04):

$$0 = I_x u + I_y v + I_t$$

Optical flow equation

$$w = Z_x u + Z_y v + Z_t$$



 3D particle filtering (Hadfield & Bowden ICCV'11)

- Projective warping function (Quiroga et al. CVPRW'12, ICIP'13)
- Matching 3D patches (Hornacek et al. CVPR'14)









## **Proposed approach**

#### • Idea: Exploit the semi-rigidity of real-world scenes



Local rigidity



Piecewise rigidity

## **Proposed approach**

#### • Idea: Exploit the semi-rigidity of real-world scenes



Local rigidity



Piecewise rigidity

How?

Using an over-parametrization of the 3D motion

 $\xi(\mathbf{x}): \mathbf{\Omega} o \mathbb{R}^6$ 

Motion field of rigid motions







 $E(\xi) = E_D(\xi) + \alpha E_S(\xi)$ 



- Maximizes consistency between the motion field and the RGBD images
- Encourages locally-rigid motions



- Maximizes consistency between the motion field and the RGBD images
- Encourages locally-rigid motions

- Favors piecewise rigid solutions
- Preserves motion discontinuities



Consistency between motion and RGBD data

 $\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{x}_t, \xi)$ 

Warping function

## Consistency between motion and RGBD data

$$\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{x}_t, \xi)$$

Warping function



Brightness (1)

Brightness gradient ( $I_g$ )



Depth (Z)

 $\rho_{data}\left(\mathbf{x},\xi\right) = \Psi\left(\rho_{I}^{2}\left(\mathbf{x},\xi\right) + \gamma\rho_{g}^{2}\left(\mathbf{x},\xi\right)\right) + \lambda\Psi\left(\rho_{Z}^{2}\left(\mathbf{x},\xi\right)\right)$ 

### Consistency between motion and RGBD data

$$\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{x}_t, \xi)$$

Warping function



Brightness (1)



Brightness gradient ( $I_q$ )

Depth (Z)

### Consistency between motion and RGBD data

 $\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{x}_t, \xi)$ 

Warping function



Brightness (1)



Brightness gradient ( $I_g$ )



Depth (Z)

 $\rho_{data}\left(\mathbf{x},\xi\right) = \Psi\left(\rho_{I}^{2}\left(\mathbf{x},\xi\right) + \gamma\rho_{g}^{2}\left(\mathbf{x},\xi\right)\right) + \lambda\Psi\left(\rho_{Z}^{2}\left(\mathbf{x},\xi\right)\right)$ 

# Observation Local rigidity $\rho^{L}(\mathbf{x},\xi) = \sum_{\mathbf{x}' \in N(\mathbf{x})} \rho_{data}(\mathbf{x}',\xi)$

### Consistency between motion and RGBD data

$$\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{x}_t, \xi)$$

Warping function



Brightness (1)



Brightness gradient ( $I_g$ )



Depth (Z)

 $\rho_{data}\left(\mathbf{x},\xi\right) = \Psi\left(\rho_{I}^{2}\left(\mathbf{x},\xi\right) + \gamma\rho_{g}^{2}\left(\mathbf{x},\xi\right)\right) + \lambda\Psi\left(\rho_{Z}^{2}\left(\mathbf{x},\xi\right)\right)$ 

#### Local rigidity

$$\rho^{L}(\mathbf{x},\xi) = \sum_{\mathbf{x}' \in N(\mathbf{x})} \rho_{data}\left(\mathbf{x}',\xi\right)$$



 $E_D(\xi) = \sum \rho^L(\mathbf{x},\xi)$  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{\Omega}$ 

Full data term

#### Piecewise rigidity

Total variation (TV) favors piecewise smooth solutions



#### Piecewise rigidity

Total variation (TV) favors piecewise smooth solutions

Decoupling



#### Piecewise rigidity

Total variation (TV) favors piecewise smooth solutions

Decoupling

 $\xi: \mathbf{\Omega} o \mathbb{R}^6$ 



Translational field  

$$\tau: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^3 \longrightarrow \operatorname{TV}_c(\tau) = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} c(\mathbf{x}) \| \nabla \tau(\mathbf{x}) \|$$
  
Channel-by-channel TV  
 $\omega: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^3$ 

**Rotational field** 

#### Piecewise rigidity

Total variation (TV) favors piecewise smooth solutions

Decoupling



Translational field  

$$\tau: \mathbf{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}^3 \longrightarrow \mathbf{TV}_c(\tau) = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} c(\mathbf{x}) \| \nabla \tau(\mathbf{x}) \|$$
  
Channel-by-channel TV  
 $\omega: \mathbf{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}^3$ 

 $e^{\hat{\omega}}: \mathbf{\Omega} \to SO(3)$ 

 $\xi: \mathbf{\Omega} o \mathbb{R}^6$ 

Rotational field
#### **Smoothness term**

#### Piecewise rigidity

Total variation (TV) favors piecewise smooth solutions

Decoupling



$$\mathbf{T}\mathbf{V}_{c}(\tau) = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} c(\mathbf{x}) \|\nabla \tau(\mathbf{x})\|$$

Channel-by-channel TV

 $\mathbf{T}\mathbf{V}_{\sigma}(\omega) = \sum c(\mathbf{x}) \,\sigma_1(\mathbf{D}\omega(\mathbf{x}))$ 

Vectorial TV (Goldluecke et al. SIIMS'12)

#### **Smoothness term**



• The energy is minimized using the **variable splitting** method:

$$\min_{\xi,\chi} E_D(\xi) + \frac{1}{2\kappa} \sum_{\mathbf{x}} |\xi(\mathbf{x}) - \chi(\mathbf{x})|^2 + \alpha E_S(\chi)$$

The energy is minimized using the variable splitting method:



The energy is minimized using the variable splitting method:



The energy is minimized using the variable splitting method:



### **Experiments**























		$\mathbf{Teddy}$		Cones	
	Views	RMS	AAE	RMS	AAE
Semi-rigid Scene Flow (ours)	1	0.49	0.46	0.45	0.37
Hadfield and Bowden [5]	1	0.52	1.36	0.59	1.61
Quiroga et al. [13]	1	0.94	0.84	0.79	0.52
Brox and Malik $[2] + depth$	1	2.11	0.43	2.30	0.52
Basha $et al. [1]$	2	0.57	1.01	0.58	0.39
Huguet and Devernay [8]	2	1.25	0.51	1.10	0.69

#### **Non-rigid motion estimation**





#### **Non-rigid motion estimation**





#### **Non-rigid motion estimation**



























































• A particular case: solving for a single twist motion

$$E_{\mathbf{Rig}}(\xi_{\mathrm{R}}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{\Omega}} \rho_{data} \left( \mathbf{x}, \xi_{\mathrm{R}} \right)$$

• A particular case: solving for a single twist motion

$$E_{\mathbf{Rig}}(\xi_{\mathrm{R}}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x}\in\Omega} \rho_{data}\left(\mathbf{x},\xi_{\mathrm{R}}\right)$$



• A particular case: solving for a single twist motion

$$E_{\mathbf{Rig}}(\xi_{\mathrm{R}}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x}\in\Omega} \rho_{data}\left(\mathbf{x},\xi_{\mathrm{R}}\right)$$



• A particular case: solving for a single twist motion

$$E_{\mathbf{Rig}}(\xi_{\mathrm{R}}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbf{\Omega}} \rho_{data}\left(\mathbf{x},\xi_{\mathrm{R}}\right)$$





• A particular case: solving for a single twist motion

$$E_{\mathbf{Rig}}(\xi_{\mathrm{R}}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbf{\Omega}} \rho_{data}\left(\mathbf{x},\xi_{\mathrm{R}}\right)$$





• A particular case: solving for a single twist motion

$$E_{\mathbf{Rig}}(\xi_{\mathrm{R}}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{\Omega}} \rho_{data}\left(\mathbf{x}, \xi_{\mathrm{R}}\right)$$





• A particular case: solving for a single twist motion

$$E_{\mathbf{Rig}}(\xi_{\mathrm{R}}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{\Omega}} \rho_{data}\left(\mathbf{x}, \xi_{\mathrm{R}}\right)$$











• A particular case: solving for a single twist motion

$$E_{\mathbf{Rig}}(\xi_{\mathrm{R}}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbf{\Omega}} \rho_{data}\left(\mathbf{x},\xi_{\mathrm{R}}\right)$$




















Knowing the camera motion can simplify the estimation and is useful for applications





Knowing the camera motion can simplify the estimation and is useful for applications



Knowing the camera motion can simplify the estimation and is useful for applications



We split the motion field into a globally rigid component plus a non-rigid residual

 $\lambda = \log(\tilde{e^{\hat{\xi}_{\mathrm{R}}}} + e^{\hat{\xi}} - \mathbf{I}_{4 \times 4})$ 

Knowing the camera motion can simplify the estimation and is useful for applications



We split the motion field into a globally rigid component plus a non-rigid residual

 $\lambda = \log(e^{\hat{\xi}_{\mathrm{R}}} + e^{\hat{\xi}} - \mathbf{I}_{4\times 4})$ 

min  $E_{\mathbf{Rig}}(\chi) + E_{\mathbf{Res}}(\chi)$  $\boldsymbol{\chi}$ 

#### **Proposed energy**



































 $OF_{Rig}$ 

**OF**<sub>Res</sub>

 $V_7^{\rm Res}$ 

#### Conclusion

- We have presented a new method to compute a dense scene flow from RGBD images by modeling the motion as a field of rigid motions.
- We proposed an adjustable combination between local and piecewise rigidity



• We model the motion as a rigid component plus a non rigid residual

#### • Future work:

- Temporal consistency
- Real-time implementation
- Large displacements





#### Thanks!



#### Semi-rigid scene flow code will beavailable soon!