Geodesic Object Proposals

Philipp Krähenbühl Stanford University Vladlen Koltun Adobe Research



















Bounding Box Proposals







Bounding Box Proposals



Segment Proposals



Bounding Box Proposals



Segment Proposals





Object detection



Object detection



Object detection



- Object detection
 - faster



- Object detection
 - faster
 - more expensive features



- Object detection
 - faster
 - more expensive features
 - used in almost all state-of-the art methods



- Object detection
 - faster
 - more expensive features
 - used in almost all state-of-the art methods
- Multi-class image segmentation



- Object detection
 - faster
 - more expensive features
 - used in almost all state-of-the art methods
- Multi-class image segmentation
 - used in state-of-the art



- Object detection
 - faster
 - more expensive features
 - used in almost all state-of-the art methods
- Multi-class image segmentation
 - used in state-of-the art
 - more expressive features



- Object detection
 - faster
 - more expensive features
 - used in almost all state-of-the art methods
- Multi-class image segmentation
 - used in state-of-the art
 - more expressive features



Soup of segments



- Soup of segments
 - 3 different unsupervised segmentation algorithms



- Soup of segments
 - 3 different unsupervised segmentation algorithms
 - vary parameters



- Soup of segments
 - 3 different unsupervised segmentation algorithms
 - vary parameters
 - combination of up to 3 adjacent segments



- Soup of segments
 - 3 different unsupervised segmentation algorithms
 - vary parameters
 - combination of up to 3 adjacent segments
- Slow (few minutes / image)



- Soup of segments
 - 3 different unsupervised segmentation algorithms
 - vary parameters
 - combination of up to 3 adjacent segments
- Slow (few minutes / image)
- Hard to segment larger
 objects well



- Soup of segments
 - 3 different unsupervised segmentation algorithms
 - vary parameters
 - combination of up to 3 adjacent segments
- Slow (few minutes / image)
- Hard to segment larger
 objects well



• Hierarchical segmentations





- Hierarchical segmentations
 - same algorithm





- Hierarchical segmentations
 - same algorithm
 - different parameters and color spaces





- Hierarchical segmentations
 - same algorithm
 - different parameters and color spaces
- Fast (few seconds / image)





- Hierarchical segmentations
 - same algorithm
 - different parameters and color spaces
- Fast (few seconds / image)
- Good bounding box proposals





- Hierarchical segmentations
 - same algorithm
 - different parameters and color spaces
- Fast (few seconds / image)
- Good bounding box proposals
- OK segment proposals




Segmentation As Selective Search for Object Recognition [van de Sande et al. 2011]

- Hierarchical segmentations
 - same algorithm
 - different parameters and color spaces
- Fast (few seconds / image)
- Good bounding box proposals
- OK segment proposals





• Series of binary segmentations



- Series of binary segmentations
 - Superpixel CRF model



- Series of binary segmentations
 - Superpixel CRF model
 - Select seeds





- Series of binary segmentations
 - Superpixel CRF model
 - Select seeds
 - Boosted decision tree as unary





- Series of binary segmentations
 - Superpixel CRF model
 - Select seeds
 - Boosted decision tree as unary
 - Pairwise: boundary detector





- Series of binary segmentations
 - Superpixel CRF model
 - Select seeds
 - Boosted decision tree as unary
 - Pairwise: boundary detector
 - Optimize with GraphCuts





- Series of binary segmentations
 - Superpixel CRF model
 - Select seeds
 - Boosted decision tree as unary
 - Pairwise: boundary detector
 - Optimize with GraphCuts
- Good proposals





- Series of binary segmentations
 - Superpixel CRF model
 - Select seeds
 - Boosted decision tree as unary
 - Pairwise: boundary detector
 - Optimize with GraphCuts
- Good proposals
- Slow (100+ sec / image)





- Series of binary segmentations
 - Superpixel CRF model
 - Select seeds
 - Boosted decision tree as unary
 - Pairwise: boundary detector
 - Optimize with GraphCuts
- Good proposals
- Slow (100+ sec / image)





Series of binary segmentations

- Series of binary segmentations
 - Pixel CRF model

- Series of binary segmentations
 - Pixel CRF model
 - Regularly sampled seeds



- Series of binary segmentations
 - Pixel CRF model
 - Regularly sampled seeds
 - Color based unary



- Series of binary segmentations
 - Pixel CRF model
 - Regularly sampled seeds
 - Color based unary
 - GraphCuts with pairwise term



- Series of binary segmentations
 - Pixel CRF model
 - Regularly sampled seeds
 - Color based unary
 - GraphCuts with pairwise term



- Series of binary segmentations
 - Pixel CRF model
 - Regularly sampled seeds
 - Color based unary
 - GraphCuts with pairwise term
 - Parametric cut



- Series of binary segmentations
 - Pixel CRF model
 - Regularly sampled seeds
 - Color based unary
 - GraphCuts with pairwise term
 - Parametric cut
 - slowly inflate segmentation



- Series of binary segmentations
 - Pixel CRF model
 - Regularly sampled seeds
 - Color based unary
 - GraphCuts with pairwise term
 - Parametric cut
 - slowly inflate segmentation



- Series of binary segmentations
 - Pixel CRF model
 - Regularly sampled seeds
 - Color based unary
 - GraphCuts with pairwise term
 - Parametric cut
 - slowly inflate segmentation
- Best proposals



- Series of binary segmentations
 - Pixel CRF model
 - Regularly sampled seeds
 - Color based unary
 - GraphCuts with pairwise term
 - Parametric cut
 - slowly inflate segmentation
- Best proposals
- Slow (200+ sec / image)



- Series of binary segmentations
 - Pixel CRF model
 - Regularly sampled seeds
 - Color based unary
 - GraphCuts with pairwise term
 - Parametric cut
 - slowly inflate segmentation
- Best proposals
- Slow (200+ sec / image)







Bounding box proposals





- Bounding box proposals
 - Train a general classifier for "objectness"





- Bounding box proposals
 - Train a general classifier for "objectness"
- fast (few seconds, BING¹: few ms)





[1] BING: Binarized Normed Gradients for Objectness Estimation at 300fps [Cheng et al. 2014]

- Bounding box proposals
 - Train a general classifier for "objectness"
- fast (few seconds, BING¹: few ms)
- Only works for bounding boxes





[1] BING: Binarized Normed Gradients for Objectness Estimation at 300fps [Cheng et al. 2014]

- Bounding box proposals
 - Train a general classifier for "objectness"
- fast (few seconds, BING¹: few ms)
- Only works for bounding boxes





[1] BING: Binarized Normed Gradients for Objectness Estimation at 300fps [Cheng et al. 2014]

Objectness



Segmentation based





Objectness

Segmentation based







Objectness

fast only bounding boxes

Segmentation based





Objectness

fast

only bounding boxes

Segmentation based

fast good bounding boxes

OK segmentation

Objectness

fast

Segmentation based

only bounding boxes

OK segmentation

fast

Seed / GraphCuts

slow (200+ sec.) best proposals

Objectness



Segmentation based

fast good bounding boxes OK segmentation

Seed / GraphCuts

slow (200+ sec.) best proposals

Geodesic image segmentation



Geodesic image segmentation

• (Signed) geodesic distance transform


- (Signed) geodesic distance transform
 - Shortest path to background and foreground scribble



- (Signed) geodesic distance transform
 - Shortest path to background and foreground scribble
 - small within objects



- (Signed) geodesic distance transform
 - Shortest path to background and foreground scribble
 - small within objects
 - large between objects



- (Signed) geodesic distance transform
 - Shortest path to background and foreground scribble
 - small within objects
 - large between objects
 - efficient to compute



- (Signed) geodesic distance transform
 - Shortest path to background and foreground scribble
 - small within objects
 - large between objects
 - efficient to compute
- State of the art in interactive image and video segmentation^{1,2}

[1] Geodesic Matting: A Framework for Fast Interactive Image and Video Segmentation and Matting [Bai and Sapiro 2008]
[2] Geodesic Image and Video Editing [Criminisi et al. 2011]
11

- (Signed) geodesic distance transform
 - Shortest path to background and foreground scribble
 - small within objects
 - large between objects
 - efficient to compute
- State of the art in interactive image and video segmentation^{1,2}

[1] Geodesic Matting: A Framework for Fast Interactive Image and Video Segmentation and Matting [Bai and Sapiro 2008]
[2] Geodesic Image and Video Editing [Criminisi et al. 2011]
11



image, boundary map and superpixels

select seeds

foregroundgeodesicbackgrounddistancemaskstransform



image, boundary map and superpixels select seeds foreground geodesic background distance masks transform



image, boundary map and superpixels

select seeds foreground geodesic background distance masks transform







image, boundary map and superpixels

select seeds foregroundgeodesicbackgrounddistancemaskstransform



image, boundary map and superpixels

select seeds

foreground ge background d masks tra

geodesic distance transform



image, boundary map and superpixels

select seeds foreground geodesic background distance masks transform



image, boundary map and superpixels

select seeds

foregroundgeodesicbackgrounddistancemaskstransform





• Place a seed in each object



- Place a seed in each object
- Regular or random sampling



- Place a seed in each object
- Regular or random sampling
 - miss small objects



- Place a seed in each object
- Regular or random sampling
 - miss small objects
- Saliency based sampling



- Place a seed in each object
- Regular or random sampling
 - miss small objects
- Saliency based sampling
 - miss non-salient objects



- Place a seed in each object
- Regular or random sampling
 - miss small objects
- Saliency based sampling
 - miss non-salient objects
- Geodesic placement



- Place a seed in each object
- Regular or random sampling
 - miss small objects
- Saliency based sampling
 - miss non-salient objects
- Geodesic placement
 - regular sampling in geodesic space



- Place a seed in each object
- Regular or random sampling
 - miss small objects
- Saliency based sampling
 - miss non-salient objects
- Geodesic placement
 - regular sampling in geodesic space
 - greedily place next seed at maximal geodesic distance



- Place a seed in each object
- Regular or random sampling
 - miss small objects
- Saliency based sampling
 - miss non-salient objects
- Geodesic placement
 - regular sampling in geodesic space
 - greedily place next seed at maximal geodesic distance











• No errors in masks





- No errors in masks
 - errors propagate





- No errors in masks
 - errors propagate
- Foreground mask





- No errors in masks
 - errors propagate
- Foreground mask
 - seed





- No errors in masks
 - errors propagate
- Foreground mask
 - seed
- Background mask





- No errors in masks
 - errors propagate
- Foreground mask
 - seed
- Background mask
 - boundary





- No errors in masks
 - errors propagate
- Foreground mask
 - seed
- Background mask
 - boundary
 - empty





- No errors in masks
 - errors propagate
- Foreground mask
 - seed
- Background mask
 - boundary
 - empty





Geodesic segmentation


Signed geodesic distance
 transform





- Signed geodesic distance
 transform
- Each level set is a segmentation





- Signed geodesic distance
 transform
- Each level set is a segmentation





- Signed geodesic distance
 transform
- Each level set is a segmentation





- Signed geodesic distance
 transform
- Each level set is a segmentation





- Signed geodesic distance
 transform
- Each level set is a segmentation





- Signed geodesic distance transform
- Each level set is a segmentation
- Find critical level sets





- Signed geodesic distance transform
- Each level set is a segmentation
- Find critical level sets
 - stationary points in geodesic function





- Signed geodesic distance transform
- Each level set is a segmentation
- Find critical level sets
 - stationary points in geodesic function
 - evolution of Eikonal equation





- Signed geodesic distance transform
- Each level set is a segmentation
- Find critical level sets
 - stationary points in geodesic function
 - evolution of Eikonal equation





Baseline GOP







image, boundary map and superpixels

select seeds

foregroundgeodesicbackgrounddistancemaskstransform

multiple proposals per transform

Learned GOP













image, boundary map and superpixels

learned seeds

learned masks

geodesic distance transform

multiple proposals per transform

Learned GOP









image, boundary map and superpixels

learned seeds

learned masks

geodesic distance transform multiple proposals per transform

• VOC 2012 dataset

- VOC 2012 dataset
- Evaluation metric

- VOC 2012 dataset
- Evaluation metric

• overlap
$$\mathcal{J}(A,B) = \frac{|A \cap B|}{|A \cup B|}$$

- VOC 2012 dataset
- Evaluation metric
 - overlap $\mathcal{J}(A,B) = \frac{|A \cap B|}{|A \cup B|}$



- VOC 2012 dataset
- Evaluation metric
 - overlap $\mathcal{J}(A,B) = \frac{|A \cap B|}{|A \cup B|}$



- VOC 2012 dataset
- Evaluation metric
 - overlap $\mathcal{J}(A,B) = \frac{|A \cap B|}{|A \cup B|}$



- VOC 2012 dataset
- Evaluation metric
 - overlap $\mathcal{J}(A,B) = \frac{|A \cap B|}{|A \cup B|}$
 - best overlap $b(O_k) = \max_P \mathcal{J}(O_k, P)$



- VOC 2012 dataset
- Evaluation metric
 - overlap $\mathcal{J}(A,B) = \frac{|A \cap B|}{|A \cup B|}$
 - best overlap $b(O_k) = \max_P \mathcal{J}(O_k, P)$
 - Average best overlap (ABO)

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{k}b(O_k)$$



- VOC 2012 dataset
- Evaluation metric
 - overlap $\mathcal{J}(A,B) = \frac{|A \cap B|}{|A \cup B|}$
 - best overlap $b(O_k) = \max_P \mathcal{J}(O_k, P)$
 - Average best overlap (ABO)

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{k}b(O_k)$$

α-recall

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{k}[b(O_k) > \alpha]$$











METHOD # PROP. ABO 50%-RECALL 70%-RECALL TIME

METHOD	# PROP.	ABO	50%-RECALL	70%-RECALL	TIME
CPMC	646	0.703	0.784	0.609	252s

METHOD	# PROP.	ABO	50%-RECALL	70%-RECALL	TIME
CPMC	646	0.703	0.784	0.609	252s
Baseline GOP	653	0.712	0.833	0.622	0.6s

METHOD	# PROP.	ABO	50%-RECALL	70%-RECALL	TIME
CPMC	646	0.703	0.784	0.609	252s
Baseline GOP	653	0.712	0.833	0.622	0.6s
Learned GOP	652	0.720	0.844	0.632	1.0s

METHOD	# PROP.	ABO	50%-RECALL	70%-RECALL	TIME
CPMC	646	0.703	0.784	0.609	252s
Baseline GOP	653	0.712	0.833	0.622	0.6s
Learned GOP	652	0.720	0.844	0.632	1.0s

METHOD # PROP. ABO 50%-RECALL 70%-RECAL	
	n de la company de la comp
CPMC 646 0.703 0.784 0.609	252s
Baseline GOP 653 0.712 0.833 0.622	0.6s
Learned GOP 652 0.720 0.844 0.632	1.0s
Cat-Ind OP 1536 0.718 0.820 0.624	119s

METHOD	# PROP.	ABO	50%-RECALL	70%-RECALL	TIME
CPMC	646	0.703	0.784	0.609	252s
Baseline GOP	653	0.712	0.833	0.622	0.6s
Learned GOP	652	0.720	0.844	0.632	1.0s
Cat-Ind OP	1536	0.718	0.820	0.624	119s
Baseline GOP	1090	0.727	0.847	0.644	0.65

METHOD	# PROP.	ABO	50%-RECALL	70%-RECALL	TIME
CPMC	646	0.703	0.784	0.609	252s
Baseline GOP	653	0.712	0.833	0.622	0.6s
Learned GOP	652	0.720	0.844	0.632	1.0s
Cat-Ind OP	1536	0.718	0.820	0.624	119s
Baseline GOP	1090	0.727	0.847	0.644	0.65
Learned GOP	1199	0.741	0.865	0.673	1.1s

METHOD	# PROP.	ABO	50%-RECALL	70%-RECALL	TIME
CPMC	646	0.703	0.784	0.609	252s
Baseline GOP	653	0.712	0.833	0.622	0.6s
Learned GOP	652	0.720	0.844	0.632	1.0s
Cat-Ind OP	1536	0.718	0.820	0.624	119s
Baseline GOP	1090	0.727	0.847	0.644	0.65
Learned GOP	1199	0.741	0.865	0.673	1.1s
METHOD	# PROP.	ABO	50%-RECALL	70%-RECALL	TIME
--------------	---------	-------	------------	------------	------
CPMC	646	0.703	0.784	0.609	252s
Baseline GOP	653	0.712	0.833	0.622	0.6s
Learned GOP	652	0.720	0.844	0.632	1.0s
Cat-Ind OP	1536	0.718	0.820	0.624	119s
Baseline GOP	1090	0.727	0.847	0.644	0.65
Learned GOP	1199	0.741	0.865	0.673	1.1s
Sel Search	4374	0.735	0.891	0.597	2.6s

					and the second state of th
METHOD	# PROP.	ABO	50%-RECALL	70%-RECALL	TIME
CPMC	646	0.703	0.784	0.609	252s
Baseline GOP	653	0.712	0.833	0.622	0.6s
Learned GOP	652	0.720	0.844	0.632	1.0s
Cat-Ind OP	1536	0.718	0.820	0.624	119s
Baseline GOP	1090	0.727	0.847	0.644	0.65
Learned GOP	1199	0.741	0.865	0.673	1.1s
Sel Search	4374	0.735	0.891	0.597	2.6s
Baseline GOP	2089	0.744	0.867	0.673	0.9s

METHOD	# PROP.	ABO	50%-RECALL	70%-RECALL	TIME
CPMC	646	0.703	0.784	0.609	252s
Baseline GOP	653	0.712	0.833	0.622	0.6s
Learned GOP	652	0.720	0.844	0.632	1.0s
Cat-Ind OP	1536	0.718	0.820	0.624	119s
Baseline GOP	1090	0.727	0.847	0.644	0.65
Learned GOP	1199	0.741	0.865	0.673	1.1s
Sel Search	4374	0.735	0.891	0.597	2.6s
Baseline GOP	2089	0.744	0.867	0.673	0.9s
Learned GOP	2286	0.756	0.877	0.699	1.4s

METHOD	# PROP.	ABO	50%-RECALL	70%-RECALL	TIME
CPMC	646	0.703	0.784	0.609	252s
Baseline GOP	653	0.712	0.833	0.622	0.6s
Learned GOP	652	0.720	0.844	0.632	1.0s
Cat-Ind OP	1536	0.718	0.820	0.624	119s
Baseline GOP	1090	0.727	0.847	0.644	0.65
Learned GOP	1199	0.741	0.865	0.673	1.1s
Sel Search	4374	0.735	0.891	0.597	2.6s
Baseline GOP	2089	0.744	0.867	0.673	0.9s
Learned GOP	2286	0.756	0.877	0.699	1.4s
Baseline GOP	3958	0.756	0.881	0.699	1.2s

METHOD	# PROP.	ABO	50%-RECALL	70%-RECALL	TIME
CPMC	646	0.703	0.784	0.609	252s
Baseline GOP	653	0.712	0.833	0.622	0.6s
Learned GOP	652	0.720	0.844	0.632	1.0s
Cat-Ind OP	1536	0.718	0.820	0.624	119s
Baseline GOP	1090	0.727	0.847	0.644	0.65
Learned GOP	1199	0.741	0.865	0.673	1.1s
Sel Search	4374	0.735	0.891	0.597	2.6s
Baseline GOP	2089	0.744	0.867	0.673	0.9s
Learned GOP	2286	0.756	0.877	0.699	1.4s
Baseline GOP	3958	0.756	0.881	0.699	1.2s
Learned GOP	4186	0.766	0.889	0.715	1.7s



VOLUME UNDER SURFACE (VUS)

	VOLUME UNDER SURFACE
	(VUS)
BING	0.278

	VOLUME UNDER SURFACE (VUS)
BING	0.278
Objectness	0.324

	VOLUME UNDER SURFACE (VUS)
BING	0.278
Objectness	0.324
Randomized Prim	0.511

	VOLUME UNDER SURFACE (VUS)
BING	0.278
Objectness	0.324
Randomized Prim	0.511
Selective Search	0.528

	VOLUME UNDER SURFACE (VUS)
BING	0.278
Objectness	0.324
Randomized Prim	0.511
Selective Search	0.528
GOP	0.546

METHOD # PROP. 50%-REC. 70%-REC.

METHOD	# PROP.	50%-REC.	70%-REC.
Sel Search	6504	0.717	0.369

METHOD	# PROP.	50%-REC.	70%-REC.
Sel Search	6504	0.717	0.369
Baseline GOP	6106	0.704	0.426

METHOD	# PROP.	50%-REC.	70%-REC.
Sel Search	6504	0.717	0.369
Baseline GOP	6106	0.704	0.426
Learned GOP	6264	0.717	0.447

METHOD	# PROP.	50%-REC.	70%-REC.
Sel Search	6504	0.717	0.369
Baseline GOP	6106	0.704	0.426
Learned GOP	6264	0.717	0.447

LARGE OBJECTS ≥ 25





METHOD	# PROP.	50%-REC.	70%-REC.
Sel Search	6504	0.717	0.369
Baseline GOP	6106	0.704	0.426
Learned GOP	6264	0.717	0.447

LARGE OBJECTS ≥ 25

Sel Search	6504	0.810	0.442



METHOD	# PROP.	50%-REC.	70%-REC.
Sel Search	6504	0.717	0.369
Baseline GOP	6106	0.704	0.426
Learned GOP	6264	0.717	0.447

LARGE OBJECTS ≥ 25				
Sel Search 6504 0.810 0.442				
Baseline GOP 6106 0.882 0.582				



METHOD	# PROP.	50%-REC.	70%-REC.
Sel Search	6504	0.717	0.369
Baseline GOP	6106	0.704	0.426
Learned GOP	6264	0.717	0.447

LARGE OBJECTS ≥ 25				
Sel Search	6504	0.810	0.442	
Baseline GOP	6106	0.882	0.582	
Learned GOP	6264	0.891	0.609	



METHOD	# PROP.	50%-REC.	70%-REC.
Sel Search	6504	0.717	0.369
Baseline GOP	6106	0.704	0.426
Learned GOP	6264	0.717	0.447

LARGE OBJECTS ≥ 25				
Sel Search	6504	0.810	0.442	
Baseline GOP	6106	0.882	0.582	
Learned GOP 6264 0.891 0.609				

SMALL OBJECTS < 25



METHOD	# PROP.	50%-REC.	70%-REC.
Sel Search	6504	0.717	0.369
Baseline GOP	6106	0.704	0.426
Learned GOP	6264	0.717	0.447

LARGE OBJECTS ≥ 25				
Sel Search	6504	0.810	0.442	
Baseline GOP	6106	0.882	0.582	
Learned GOP	6264	0.891	0.609	

SMALL OBJECTS < 25				
Sel Search	6504	0.525	0.219	



METHOD	# PROP.	50%-REC.	70%-REC.
Sel Search	6504	0.717	0.369
Baseline GOP	6106	0.704	0.426
Learned GOP	6264	0.717	0.447

LARGE OBJECTS ≥ 25				
Sel Search	6504	0.810	0.442	
Baseline GOP	6106	0.882	0.582	
Learned GOP	6264	0.891	0.609	

SMALL OBJECTS < 25				
Sel Search	6504	0.525	0.219	
Baseline GOP	6106	0.337	0.106	



METHOD	# PROP.	50%-REC.	70%-REC.
Sel Search	6504	0.717	0.369
Baseline GOP	6106	0.704	0.426
Learned GOP	6264	0.717	0.447

LARGE OBJECTS ≥ 25				
Sel Search	6504	0.810	0.442	
Baseline GOP	6106	0.882	0.582	
Learned GOP	6264	0.891	0.609	

SMALL OBJECTS < 25				
Sel Search	6504	0.525	0.219	
Baseline GOP	6106	0.337	0.106	
Learned GOP	6264	0.356	0.112	



Geodesic Object Proposals

- Geodesic Object Proposals
 - fast

- Geodesic Object Proposals
 - fast
 - good segment proposals

- Geodesic Object Proposals
 - fast
 - good segment proposals
 - good bounding box proposals

- Geodesic Object Proposals
 - fast
 - good segment proposals
 - good bounding box proposals
- Future work

- Geodesic Object Proposals
 - fast
 - good segment proposals
 - good bounding box proposals
- Future work
 - small objects

- Geodesic Object Proposals
 - fast
 - good segment proposals
 - good bounding box proposals
- Future work
 - small objects
 - learn proposals directly from data

Questions



C++, Python and Matlab Code: <u>http://www.philkr.net/home/gop</u>