DEEP LEARNING FOR DISTRIBUTION ESTIMATION

Hugo Larochelle (@hugo_larochelle) Twitter / Université de Sherbrooke

Joint work with Iain Murray, Benigno Uria, Yin Zheng, Stanislas Lauly, Mathieu GermainKarol Gregor

DISTRIBUTION ESTIMATION

- Task: produce a estimator of $p(\mathbf{x})$ based on samples from it
 - ${\scriptstyle \bullet}$ hard problem as dimensionality of observations ${\bf X}$ increases
 - very general problem
 - often used as a proxy for feature learning
 - can provide regularization cues for another model



Why is one a character and the other is not ?



character image

random image

OUTLINE

- Neural Autoregressive Distribution Estimator (NADE)
 - binary observations (with lain Murray)
 - real-valued observations (with Benigno Uria and Iain Murray)
 - multinomial observations (with Stanislas Lauly and Yin Zheng)
 - deep NADE (with Benigno Uria and Iain Murray)
- Masked Autoencoder Distribution Estimator (MADE)
 - binary observations (with Mathieu Germain, Karol Gregor and Iain Murray)

OUTLINE

- Neural Autoregressive Distribution Estimator (NADE)
 - binary observations (with lain Murray)
 - real-valued observations (with Benigno Uria and Iain Murray)
 - multinomial observations (with Stanislas Lauly and Yin Zheng)
 - deep NADE (with Benigno Uria and Iain Murray)
- Masked Autoencoder Distribution Estimator (MADE)
 - binary observations (with Mathieu Germain, Karol Gregor and Iain Murray)

MIXTURE MODEL

Distribution:
$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{C} p(\mathbf{x}|c=i)p(c=i)$$

- Simple, well understood model
- Performance can be disappointing



FULLY VISIBLE BAYESIAN NETWORKS

General graphical model

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{k} p(x_k | \mathbf{x}_{< k})$$



Fully Visible Sigmoid Belief Net (FVSBN)



 \widehat{x}_k $p(x_k = 1 | \mathbf{x}_{< k})$

• Models $p(x_k = 1 | \mathbf{x}_{< k})$ as logistic regression

NEURAL AUTOREGRESSIVE DISTRIBUTION ESTIMATOR

• NADE: autoencoder-like neural network wired to learn $p(x_k | \mathbf{x}_{< k})$

$$\mathbf{h}^{(k)} = \operatorname{sigm} \left(\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{W}_{\cdot, \langle k} \mathbf{x}_{\langle k} \right)$$
$$\widehat{x}_{k} = \operatorname{sigm} \left(c_{k} + \mathbf{V}_{k, \cdot} \mathbf{h}^{(k)} \right)$$

• in words, each conditional $p(x_k | \mathbf{x}_{< k})$ is modeled by the **same** neural network

• We can leverage the fact that:

 $(\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{W}_{\cdot, \langle k+1} \mathbf{x}_{\langle k+1}) - (\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{W}_{\cdot, \langle k} \mathbf{x}_{\langle k}) = \mathbf{W}_{\cdot, k+1} x_{k+1}$



NEURAL AUTOREGRESSIVE DISTRIBUTION ESTIMATOR

• NADE: training by maximizing the average log-likelihood

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \log p(\mathbf{x}^{(t)}) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{k=1}^{D} \log p(x_k^{(t)} | \mathbf{x}_{< k}^{(t)})$$

- Advantages
 - efficient: computations are in O(HD)
 - could make use of second-order optimizers
 - easily extendable to other types of observations (reals, multinomials)
- What order for the inputs
 - random order works fine!

Model	ADULT	CONNECT-4	DNA	MUSHROOMS	NIPS-0-12	OCR-LETTERS	RCV1	WEB
MoB	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	± 0.10	± 0.04	± 0.53	± 0.10	± 1.12	± 0.32	± 0.11	± 0.23
RBM	4.18	0.75	1.29	-0.69	12.65	-2.49	-1.29	0.78
	± 0.06	± 0.02	± 0.48	± 0.09	± 1.07	± 0.30	± 0.11	± 0.20
RBM	4.15	-1.72	1.45	-0.69	11.25	0.99	-0.04	0.02
mult.	± 0.06	± 0.03	± 0.40	± 0.05	± 1.06	± 0.29	± 0.11	± 0.21
RBForest	4.12	0.59	1.39	0.04	12.61	3.78	0.56	-0.15
	± 0.06	± 0.02	± 0.49	± 0.07	± 1.07	± 0.28	± 0.11	± 0.21
FVSBN	7.27	11.02	14.55	4.19	13.14	1.26	-2.24	0.81
	\pm 0.04	± 0.01	\pm 0.50	± 0.05	± 0.98	± 0.23	± 0.11	± 0.20
NADE	7.25	11.42	13.38	4.65	16.94	13.34	0.93	1.77
	\pm 0.05	\pm 0.01	± 0.57	\pm 0.04	\pm 1.11	\pm 0.21	\pm 0.11	\pm 0.20
Normalization	-20.44	-23.41	-98.19	-14.46	-290.02	-40.56	-47.59	-30.16

 Little variation when changing input ordering: DNA = +/- 0.05 MUSHROOMS = +/- 0.045 NIPS-0-12 = +/- 0.15

• On a binarized version of MNIST:

Intractable

	Model	Log. Like.	7	7	3	į		3	2		ŧ.	2	7	5
1		107 (4	8	5		3	6	Z	er.	Ł	8	Y	8	1
	MoB	-137.64	×1	E	9	\mathbf{S}	5	*4 .1 T	1	S	Э	6	4	ł
	RBM (CDI)*	≈ -125.53		1	Ç	2	Ģ	Э	ر.اہر.÷	4	Ч	0		
		105 5	ß	8	9	5	9	42	17	3	5	7		2
	RDM(CDS)	\approx -103.3	9	8	4	6	•	q	3	1	ŕ	1	2	ł
	RBM (CD25)*	≈ -86.34	8	2	æş,	9	5	1	2	1	3	4	S	5
	FVSBN	-97 45	5	13.	2		1	2	J.	×	\mathcal{D}	E	6	
				B		4	0	1	6	4		ËÞ	مطريقة	é
	NADE	-88.86	0	2	6	3	42	2	9	Ċ,	Ĝ	4	0	6

* : taken from Salakhutdinov and Murray (2008)

• On a binarized version of MNIST:

Intractable



* : taken from Salakhutdinov and Murray (2008)

OUTLINE

- Neural Autoregressive Distribution Estimator (NADE)
 - binary observations (with lain Murray)
 - real-valued observations (with Benigno Uria and Iain Murray)
 - multinomial observations (with Stanislas Lauly and Yin Zheng)
 - deep NADE (with Benigno Uria and Iain Murray)
- Masked Autoencoder Distribution Estimator (MADE)
 - binary observations (with Mathieu Germain, Karol Gregor and Iain Murray)

REAL-VALUED NADE

(Uria, Murray, Larochelle)

• **RNADE:** models real-valued observations by

• outputting the parameters of a mixture model for $p(x_k | \mathbf{x}_{< k})$

Means

 $\mu_{ik} = b_k^{\mu_i} + \mathbf{V}_{k,\cdot}^{\mu_i} \mathbf{h}^{(k)}$

Std. deviations

$$\sigma_{ik} = \exp(b_k^{\sigma_i} + \mathbf{V}_{k,\cdot}^{\sigma_i} \mathbf{h}^{(k)})$$

Mixing weights

$$\pi_{ik} = \operatorname{softmax}(b_k^{\pi_i} + \mathbf{V}_{k,\cdot}^{\pi_i} \mathbf{h}^{(k)})$$



REAL-VALUED NADE

- **RNADE:** models real-valued observations by
 - gradient descent training
 - rectified linear units
 - learned a position-specific scaling factor, applied before the activation function
 - we also experimented with mixture of Laplacians



- UCI datasets: comparison with
 - mixture of Gaussians
 - mixture of factor analyzers

Dataset	dim	size	Gaussian	MFA	RNADE-MoG	RNADE-MoL
Red wine	11	1599	-13.18	-10.19	-9.36	-9.46
White wine	11	4898	-13.20	-10.73	-10.23	-10.38
Parkinsons	15	5875	-10.85	-1.99	-0.90	-2.63
Ionosphere	32	351	-41.24	-17.55	-2.50	-5.87
Boston housing	10	506	-11.37	-4.54	-0.64	-4.04

- Natural image patches: comparison with
 - mixture of Gaussians

Model	Training LogL	Test LogL
MoG $K = 200 (Z\&W)$	161.9	152.8
MoG $K = 100$	152.8	144.7
MoG $K = 200$	159.3	150.4
MoG $K = 300$	159.3	150.4
RNADE-MoG $K = 5$	158.0	149.1
RNADE-MoG $K = 10$	160.0	151.0
RNADE-MoG $K = 20$	158.6	149.7
RNADE-MoL $K = 5$	150.2	141.5
RNADE-MoL $K = 10$	149.7	141.1
RNADE-MoL $K = 20$	150.1	141.5
RNADE-MoG $K = 10$ (sigmoid h. units)	155.1	146.4
RNADE-MoL $K = 10$ (1024 units, 400 epochs)	161.1	152.1

- TIMIT spectrograms: comparison with
 - mixture of Gaussians

Model	Training LogL	Test LogL
MoG $N = 50$	111.6	110.4 (SE: 0.3)
MoG $N = 100$	113.4	112.0 (SE: 0.3)
MoG $N = 200$	113.9	112.5 (SE: 0.3)
MoG $N = 300$	114.1	112.5 (SE: 0.3)
RNADE-MoG $K = 10$	125.9	123.9 (SE: 0.3)
RNADE-MoG $K = 20$	126.7	124.5 (SE: 0.3)
RNADE-MoL $K = 10$	120.3	118.0 (SE: 0.3)
RNADE-MoL $K = 20$	122.2	119.8 (SE: 0.3)

OUTLINE

- Neural Autoregressive Distribution Estimator (NADE)
 - binary observations (with lain Murray)
 - real-valued observations (with Benigno Uria and Iain Murray)
 - Multinomial observations (with Stanislas Lauly and Yin Zheng)
 - deep NADE (with Benigno Uria and Iain Murray)
- Masked Autoencoder Distribution Estimator (MADE)
 - binary observations (with Mathieu Germain, Karol Gregor and Iain Murray)

DOCUMENT NADE

(Larochelle, Lauly)

- DocNADE: models multinomial observations by
 - outputting a multinomial distribution
 - for efficiency, we use a tree structured multinomial

 $p(x_k = w | \mathbf{x}_{< k}) =$ $\prod_{m=1}^{|\pi(x_k)|} p(\pi(x_k)_m | \mathbf{x}_{< k})$

$$p(\pi(x_k)_m = 1 | \mathbf{x}_{< k}) =$$

sigm $(c_{l(x_k)_m} + \mathbf{V}_{l(x_k)_m, \cdot} \mathbf{h}^{(k)})$











DOCUMENT NADE

(Larochelle, Lauly)

- DocNADE: models multinomial observations by
 - for bags of words, we have
 - unordered words
 - variable number of words
 - we share all parameters across conditionals (not position dependent)

$$\mathbf{h}^{(k)} = \operatorname{sigm}\left(\mathbf{b} + \sum_{i < k} \mathbf{W}_{\cdot, x_i}\right)$$

word ID



DOCUMENT NADE (Larochelle, Lauly)

Text modeling

- we shuffle the word order at every update
 - learning not to insert «intruder words» at any position in the document
 - useful if word order is not available
- we evaluate the model on two measures
 - perplexity (normalized by document length)
 - information retrieval

(Larochelle, Lauly)

- Text modeling: comparison with
 - LDA
 - Replicated Softmax

Perplexity Results

	Softmax (50)		St. Dev
91 1058	953	896	6.9
37 1142	988	742	4.5
	91 1058 37 1142	91 1058 953 37 1142 988	91 1058 953 896 37 1142 988 742

(Larochelle, Lauly)

- Text modeling: comparison with
 - Replicated Softmax



(Larochelle, Lauly)

• Text modeling: learned representation

·	Hidden unit topics							
edSoftmax	jesus	shuttle	season	encryption				
	atheism	orbit	players	escrow				
	christianity	lunar	nhl	pgp				
	christ	spacecraft	league	crypto				
-	athos	nasa	braves	nsa				
	atheists	space	playoffs	rutgers				
-	bible	launch	rangers	clipper				
	christians	saturn	hockey	secure				
	sin	billion	pitching	encrypted				
5 100	atheist	satellite	team	keys				



- (Larochelle, Lauly)
- Text modeling: learned representation

weapons	medical	companies	define	israel	book	windows
weapon	treatment	demand	defined	israeli	reading	dos
shooting	medecine	commercial	definition	israelis	read	microsoft
firearms	patients	agency	refer	arab	books	version
assault	process	company	make	palestinian	relevent	ms
armed	studies	credit	examples	arabs	collection	pc

- Timing for I training iteration (20 newsgroups / RCVI-v2)
 - DocNADE: 13 sec. / 726 sec.
 - Replicated Softmax CDI: 28 sec. / 4945 sec.
 - Replicated Softmax CD5: 60 sec. / 11000 sec.

SUPERVISED DOCNADE

(Zheng, Zhang, Larochelle)

- Supervised DocNADE: incorporate label by
 - adding a final, supervised layer



SUPERVISED DOCNADE

(Zheng, Zhang, Larochelle)

Scene classif/annotation

- images are converted into a bag of «visual» words
 - extracted by k-means on dense SIFT features
 - grid pooling: assign different word IDs for visual words in different regions
- add the annotation words
 - word tree contains both visual and annotation words
- use hybrid training loss:



Annotation: rock,sand beach, sea water, sky,

Label: Coast

$$-\log p(\mathbf{x}, y) = -\log p(y|\mathbf{x}) + \lambda \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} -\log p(x_k|\mathbf{x}_{< k})$$

used as features to an SVM

- annotations are not used at test time



- Supervised LDA
- DocNADE
 Classification Results





(Zheng, Zhang, Larochelle)

• Scene annotation: learned representation

Class: Highway

Annotation words Visual words

car car occluded, road, fence, trees









(Zheng, Zhang, Larochelle)

• Scene annotation: learned representation

Class: Sailing

Annotation words

Visual words

athlete, sky, boat, oar, floater









(Zheng, Zhang, Larochelle)

• Scene annotation: learned representation

Class: Street

Annotation words

building, buildings, window, person walking, sky



Visual words







OUTLINE

- Neural Autoregressive Distribution Estimator (NADE)
 - binary observations (with lain Murray)
 - real-valued observations (with Benigno Uria and Iain Murray)
 - multinomial observations (with Stanislas Lauly and Yin Zheng)
 - deep NADE (with Benigno Uria and Iain Murray)
- Masked Autoencoder Distribution Estimator (MADE)
 - binary observations (with Mathieu Germain, Karol Gregor and Iain Murray)

- Deep NADE: use a deep neural network
 - use more > I hidden layer
 - makes update in $O(DH^2)$
 - train on all possible orderings of the inputs
 - stochastic update by sampling the conditioning subset to get $O(DH + H^2)$
 - predict all future inputs to train all conditionals
 - condition on whether the input is observed using «conditioning weights»



- Deep NADE: use a deep neural network
 - use more > I hidden layer
 - makes update in $O(DH^2)$
 - train on all possible orderings of the inputs
 - stochastic update by sampling the conditioning subset to get $O(DH + H^2)$
 - predict all future inputs to train all conditionals
 - condition on whether the input is observed using «conditioning weights»



- Deep NADE: use a deep neural network
 - use more > I hidden layer
 - makes update in $O(DH^2)$
 - train on all possible orderings of the inputs
 - stochastic update by sampling the conditioning subset to get $O(DH + H^2)$
 - predict all future inputs to train all conditionals
 - condition on whether the input is observed using «conditioning weights»



- Deep NADE: use a deep neural network
 - use more > I hidden layer
 - makes update in $O(DH^2)$
 - train on all possible orderings of the inputs
 - stochastic update by sampling the conditioning subset to get $O(DH + H^2)$
 - predict all future inputs to train all conditionals
 - condition on whether the input is observed using «conditioning weights»



- Deep NADE: use a deep neural network
 - use more > I hidden layer
 - makes update in $O(DH^2)$
 - train on all possible orderings of the inputs
 - stochastic update by sampling the conditioning subset to get $O(DH + H^2)$
 - predict all future inputs to train all conditionals
 - condition on whether the input is observed using «conditioning weights»



- Deep NADE: use a deep neural network
 - use more > I hidden layer
 - makes update in $O(DH^2)$
 - train on all possible orderings of the inputs
 - stochastic update by sampling the conditioning subset to get $O(DH + H^2)$
 - predict all future inputs to train all conditionals
 - condition on whether the input is observed using «conditioning weights»



- Deep NADE: use a deep neural network
 - use more > I hidden layer
 - makes update in $O(DH^2)$
 - train on all possible orderings of the inputs
 - stochastic update by sampling the conditioning subset to get $O(DH + H^2)$
 - predict all future inputs to train all conditionals
 - condition on whether the input is observed using «conditioning weights»



- Deep NADE: use a deep neural network
 - use more > I hidden layer
 - makes update in $O(DH^2)$
 - train on all possible orderings of the inputs
 - stochastic update by sampling the conditioning subset to get $O(DH + H^2)$
 - predict all future inputs to train all conditionals
 - condition on whether the input is observed using «conditioning weights»



- Deep NADE: use a deep neural network
 - use more > I hidden layer
 - makes update in $O(DH^2)$
 - train on all possible orderings of the inputs
 - stochastic update by sampling the conditioning subset to get $O(DH + H^2)$
 - predict all future inputs to train all conditionals
 - condition on whether the input is observed using «conditioning weights»



- Deep NADE: use a deep neural network
 - computing the full $p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{o})$ is in $O(DH^2)$
 - this reasonable and tractable
 - ▶ at test time, we can sample ensembles of deep NADE models on the fly
 - exploit the fact that $p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{o})$ varies across orderings \mathbf{o}
 - can reduce the variance of our estimate without retraining
 - can adapt to varying computational budget
 - can solve arbitrary inference problem $p(x_k | \mathbf{x}_{o < d})$ by invoking the corresponding neural network

(Uria, Murray, Larochelle)

• MNIST: comparison with

- ► MoB
- ► RBM (approx.)
- DBN (approx.)
- NADE

Model	Test LogL
MoBernoullis K=10	-168.95
MoBernoullis K=500	-137.64
RBM (500 h, 25 CD steps) approx.	-86.34
DBN 2hl approx.	-84.55
NADE 1hl (fixed order)	-88.86
NADE 1hl (fixed order, RLU, minibatch)	-88.33
NADE 1hl (fixed order, sigm, minibatch)	-88.35
NADE 1hl (no input masks)	-99.37
NADE 2hl (no input masks)	-95.33
NADE 1hl	-92.17
NADE 2hl	-89.17
NADE 3hl	-89.38
NADE 4hl	-89.60
EoNADE 1hl (2 orderings)	-90.69
EoNADE 1hl (128 orderings)	-87.71
EoNADE 2hl (2 orderings)	-87.96
EoNADE 2hl (128 orderings)	-85.10

(Uria, Murray, Larochelle)

• MNIST: samples

Examples from dataset

Samples from deep NADE

1	ł	1	}	١	7	2	7	3	Y
4	0	8	9	7	2	4	6	3	3
	ş	6	9	3	Ø	٩,	8	4	\$
6	S	3	Ņ	4	8	ŝ	6	00	9
Ś	4	ん	Ц	Ņ	ß	7	6	∂	Ŵ
ł	1	1	7	7	a,	2	8	0	ð
1	1	1	77	70	аз С)	2	85	0 4	رمه شر)
1	/ ?	/ 8 9	7	7 5 4	9 () X		850	0 4 8	1) (m
1206		/ 8 0		7 5 4 17	9 9 8 3		850	0 4 8	14 A) (m an

(Uria, Murray, Larochelle)

• MNIST: inference



- Natural image patches: comparison with
 - mixture of Gaussians
 - RNADE

Model	Test LogL
MoG $K = 200$ (Zoran & Weiss, 2012)	152.8
RNADE 1hl (fixed order)	152.1
RNADE 1hl	143.2
RNADE 2hl	149.2
RNADE 3hl	152.0
RNADE 4hl	153.6
RNADE 5hl	154.7
RNADE 6hl	${\bf 155.2}$
EoRNADE 6hl 2 ord.	156.0
EoRNADE 6hl 32 ord.	157.0

MODELING IMAGES AND TEXT (Zheng, Zhang, Larochelle)

- Back to modeling pairs of images and words
 - visual and annotation words are mixed
 - no need for the tree-structured multinomial
 - start by training a deep DocNADE model on unlabeled data
 - Flickr data set (≈IM image / annotation pairs)
 - train for several days
 - GPGPU implementation
 - finalize training with pure supervised learning (finetuning)



Annotation: rock,sand beach, sea water, sky, Label: Coast

MODELING IMAGES AND TEXT (Zheng, Zhang, Larochelle)

Back to modeling pairs of images and words

Quantitative evaluation: Classification

Model	MAP
TF-IDF Multiple Kernel Learning SVMs [6] TagProp [31] Multimodal DBM [13] MDRNN [15]	$ \begin{vmatrix} 0.384 \pm 0.004 \\ 0.623 \\ 0.640 \\ 0.651 \pm 0.005 \\ 0.686 \pm 0.003 \end{vmatrix} $
SupDeepDocNADE (1 hidden layer, 625 epochs pretraining) SupDeepDocNADE (2 hidden layers, 625 epochs pretraining) SupDeepDocNADE (3 hidden layers, 625 epochs pretraining)	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
SupDeepDocNADE (2 hidden layers, 2325 epochs pretraining) SupDeepDocNADE (3 hidden layers, 2325 epochs pretraining)	$\begin{array}{ } 0.682 \pm 0.005 \\ 0.686 \pm 0.005 \end{array}$
SupDeepDocNADE (2 hidden layers, 4125 epochs pretraining) SupDeepDocNADE (3 hidden layers, 4125 epochs pretraining)	$\begin{vmatrix} 0.684 \pm 0.005 \\ 0.691 \pm 0.005 \end{vmatrix}$

MODELING IMAGES AND TEXT (Zheng, Zhang, Larochelle)

Back to modeling pairs of images and words



ke,

letter, phy

ees,

MODELING IMAGES AND TEXT (Zheng, Zhang, Larochelle)

• Back to modeling pairs of images and words

• Quantitative evaluation: Annotating images

nset,	Image Input	Ground Truth Annotations	Generated Texts		
c red		cheese	food,vegan,recipe, cheese,breakfast,cake, dessert,dinner		
gig		explore, italy, europe, italia, roma, rome, public, i500, eu	sign, red, white, circle, letter, heart, sticker, typography		
me,girl, etrato		2007, boat, michigan, raw, ship, d70, harbor, nikond70	ship,boat,sea,chicago,sanfra ncisco,beach,water,harbour		
s, I,mountain China		france	wall,graffiti,streetart,bricks, tiles,pattern,london,mosaic		

OUTLINE

- Neural Autoregressive Distribution Estimator (NADE)
 - binary observations (with lain Murray)
 - real-valued observations (with Benigno Uria and Iain Murray)
 - multinomial observations (with Stanislas Lauly and Yin Zheng)
 - deep NADE (with Benigno Uria and Iain Murray)
- Masked Autoencoder Distribution Estimator (MADE)
 - binary observations (with Mathieu Germain, Karol Gregor and Iain Murray)

AUTOREGRESSIVE PROPERTY

- NADE is a distribution estimator because it respects the autoregressive property
 - each output depends only en previous inputs (in some ordering)
- Autoencoder doesn't respect that property
 - is there a simple way to adapt the autoencoder so that it does



AUTOREGRESSIVE PROPERTY

- NADE is a distribution estimator because it respects the autoregressive property
 - each output depends only en previous inputs (in some ordering)
- Autoencoder doesn't respect that property
 - is there a simple way to adapt the autoencoder so that it does



MADE IN PICTURE

Masked Autoencoder Distribution Estimator (MADE)



MADE IN WORDS

- Masked Autoencoder Distribution Estimator (MADE)
 - masks can be generated once and for all or for every update
 - training is similar to dropout, except the dropping out is on the connections, not the units (just like DropConnect)
 - at test time, can create an ensemble if used multiple masks
 - can easily generalize to other connections between layers (e.g. direct input to output)

MADE IN WORDS

- Related work
 - FVSBN is MADE without hidden units
 - Bengio and Bengio (2000) proposed the first version of MADE (single hidden layer, no mask sampling)
 - For the same number of hidden units, MADE is **much** faster to train than NADE
 - matrix multiplies are faster + fewer non-linearities to compute
 - At test time, MADE is much faster to evaluate than deep NADE
 - \blacktriangleright can compute the log-likelihood in one pass in MADE, instead of D

RESULTS

UCI benchmark

Model	Adult	Connect4	DNA	Mushrooms	NIPS-0-12	OCR-letters	RCV1	Web
MoBernoullis	20.44	23.41	98.19	14.46	290.02	40.56	47.59	30.16
RBM	16.26	22.66	96.74	15.15	277.37	43.05	48.88	29.38
FVSBN	13.17	12.39	83.64	10.27	276.88	39.30	49.84	29.35
NADE (fixed order)	13.19	11.99	84.81	9.81	273.08	27.22	46.66	28.39
EoNADE 1hl (16 ord.)	13.19	12.58	82.31	9.69	272.39	27.32	46.12	27.87
DARN	13.19	11.91	81.04	9.55	274.68	≈ 28.17	\approx 46.10	≈ 28.83
MADE MADE mask sampling	13.12 13.13	11.90 11.90	83.63 79.66	9.68 9.69	280.25 277.28	28.34 30.04	47.10 46.74	28.53 28.25

RESULTS

Binarize MNIST

Model	$-\log p$	
RBM (500 h, 25 CD steps)	≈ 86.34	()
DBM 2hl	≈ 84.62	able
DBN 2hl	≈ 84.55	lcté
DARN n_h =500	≈ 84.71	ntra
DARN n_h =500, adaNoise	≈ 84.13	Ir
MoBernoullis K=10	168.95	
MoBernoullis K=500	137.64	
NADE 1hl (fixed order)	88.33	
EoNADE 1hl (128 orderings)	87.71	ble
EoNADE 2hl (128 orderings)	85.10	Icta
MADE 1hl (1 mask)	88.40	Tra
MADE 2hl (1 mask)	89.59	
MADE 1hl (32 masks)	88.04	
MADE 2hl (32 masks)	86.64	

Ŧ	4	9	Ø	Ô	\boldsymbol{Z}	Ь	H.	3	٦.
0	5	Ç¢	3	1	1	Э.	0	0	ŝ
(****) - (***) - (***)	Ц.	******* ******	2	6	1.9	ġ	3	9	4
ij	ę	7	4	7		0	4	G	9
9	8	Ø	2	Ċ	6	č	¥	5	7
Čæ	4	Gr.	5	0 *	Ø	6	7	6	20
1	1	<i>.</i>	\$	Ŧ	S	, T	\mathfrak{G}	6	1
	С.	3	Q	2	7	Ľ	7	\sim	C
č,	4	7	5	5	7	${}^{\rm ext}$	Ð		3
9		tin tin		3	2	9	5	6	4

CONCLUSION

- Distribution estimation / generative modeling is a very active topic right now
 - **VAE**: Variational Autoencoder (Kingma et al., 2014)
 - DARN: Deep Autoregressive Networks (Gregor et al. 2014)
 - **DRAW**: Deep Recurrent Attentive Writer (Gregor et al. 2015)
 - GAN: Generative Adversarial Networks (Goodfellow et al. 2014)
 - **GSN**: Generative Stochastic Networks (Bengio et al. 2014)
 - **DBM**: deep Boltzmann machines (Salakhutdinov and Hinton, 2009)

Lots of research still needed to yield models with practical applications

Merci!